

**1999**

**ANNUAL REPORT OF THE CALIFORNIA  
DUI MANAGEMENT INFORMATION  
SYSTEM**

**ANNUAL REPORT TO THE LEGISLATURE  
OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**IN ACCORD WITH ASSEMBLY BILL 757  
CHAPTER 450, 1989 LEGISLATIVE SESSION**

**JANUARY 1999**

**GRAY DAVIS**  
Governor

**MARIA CONTRERAS-SWEET, Secretary**  
Business, Transportation and Housing Agency

**ED SNYDER**  
Acting Director

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE January 1999	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Final Report		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE 1999 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System		5. FUNDING NUMBERS		
6. AUTHOR(S) Helen N. Tashima and Clifford J Helander				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) California Department of Motor Vehicles Research and Development Section P.O. Box 932382 Sacramento, CA 94232-3820		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER CAL-DMV-RSS-99-179		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER		
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT		12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE		
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words) In this eighth annual legislatively mandated report, 1996 and 1997 DUI data from diverse sources were compiled and cross-referenced for the purpose of developing a single comprehensive DUI data and monitoring system. This report presents crosstabulated information on DUI arrests, convictions, court sanctions, administrative actions and alcohol-involved accidents. In addition, this report provides an evaluation of the effectiveness of alternative court and administrative sanctions (including alcohol treatment programs and license actions) upon the 1-year postconviction records of first and second DUI offenders over a time period of seven years. The postconviction driving records of second DUI offenders arrested in 1994, and 1996 were evaluated three- and one-year periods, respectively.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS Drinking drivers, DUI tracking data system, DUI reporting system, DUI countermeasures, DUI recidivism, alcohol education and rehabilitation, driver license disqualification		15. NUMBER OF PAGES 134		
		16. PRICE CODE		
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT None	

## DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1987 - 1997

	YEAR										
	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997
Total DUI arrests <sup>1</sup>	349576	327186	336059	366834	312571	260150	233673	208844	200754	203794	193250
Felony DUI arrests	8488	8604	10448	12948	11220	9803	8738	7567	6642	6364	5612
Misdemeanor DUI arrests	341088	318582	325611	353886	301351	250347	224935	201277	194112	197430	187638
DUI convictions received to date (by year of arrest) <sup>2</sup>	242582 <sup>r</sup>	219831 <sup>r</sup>	226227 <sup>r</sup>	258213 <sup>r</sup>	224483 <sup>r</sup>	188503 <sup>r</sup>	168902 <sup>r</sup>	150589 <sup>r</sup>	146497 <sup>r</sup>	143234 <sup>r</sup>	131723
Percent convicted of DUI as of September 1997	69%	67%	67%	70%	72%	72%	72%	72% <sup>r</sup>	73% <sup>r</sup>	70% <sup>r</sup>	68%
Estimated final DUI convictions <sup>3</sup>	242396	219767	226135	259810	225748	188116	168964	151550	148725	147153	139626
Estimated final DUI conviction rate	69%	67%	67%	70%	72%	72%	72%	72%	73% <sup>6</sup>	72%	72%
Total reckless driving convictions <sup>4</sup>	41724	39926	40456	39617	39386	34186	27835	27374	24516	25701	25879
Alcohol-involved reckless	24922	24013	25646	26960	27093	23675	18645	18246	16329	17446	16867
Total mandatory susp/rev <sup>5</sup>	103630	101779	111703	233680	373131 <sup>r</sup>	308399	277447	243645	226158 <sup>r</sup>	230600 <sup>r</sup>	205462
Admin per se/refusal susp/rev	25474	22757	21466	142525	272273 <sup>r</sup>	228790	209006	184045	173696 <sup>r</sup>	180343 <sup>r</sup>	169511
Postconviction susp/rev	78156	79022	90237	91155	100858	79609	68441	59600	52462	50257 <sup>r</sup>	35951
Alcohol-involved fatalities	2754	2510	2509	2382	2048	1832	1569	1488	1343	1254	1100
% of total fatalities	50.1	46.6	46.6	46.0	44.1	43.8	37.7	35.3	32.2	31.6	30.0
Alcohol-involved injuries	68816	65033	63937	63847	55779	48969	42936	39437	36961	35654	31189
% of total injuries	19.1	18.2	17.6	17.5	15.9	14.5	13.6	12.5	12.1	11.9	10.9

<sup>1</sup>These totals were reported by the Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, and include a small number of duplicates (0.9% in 1997).<sup>2</sup>These data represent a DMV master file count of the number of DUI abstracts received (by year of violation), minus duplicates. These totals do not include conviction abstracts not yet received. Thus, for the most recent years, these figures will substantially underestimate the final conviction totals.<sup>3</sup>These data include a projected number of abstracts not yet received. This number is based on an empirically derived function of the court abstract reporting rate.<sup>4</sup>These totals were taken from the DMV annual Suspension and Revocation reports and include late reporting of convictions from prior years.<sup>5</sup>Since 1991, total mandatory suspension/revocation exceeds the number of DUI arrests because many offenders now receive both an APS and postconviction suspension/revocation.<sup>6</sup>This estimated final conviction rate has been adjusted for arrest data known to be missing.<sup>r</sup>Revised from prior reports.

## DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1987 - 1997 (continued)

	YEAR											
	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	
TOTAL MANDATORY SUSPENSION/ REVOCATION (S/R) ACTIONS	103630	101779	111703	233680	373131	308399	277447	243645	226158 <sup>r</sup>	230600 <sup>r</sup>	205462	
PRECONVICTION												
Admin Per Se (APS) Actions	n/a	n/a	n/a	119610	272273	228790	209006	184045	173696 <sup>r</sup>	180343 <sup>r</sup>	169511	
Zero tolerance suspensions	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	9971	8608 <sup>r</sup>	9327 <sup>r</sup>	11517	
First-offender suspensions	n/a	n/a	n/a	82503	187527	157545	144321	120582	116636 <sup>r</sup>	122111 <sup>r</sup>	114247	
Repeat-offender suspensions	n/a	n/a	n/a	34792	74351	62656	57279	47429	43218 <sup>r</sup>	43922 <sup>r</sup>	39636	
Repeat-offender revocations	n/a	n/a	n/a	2315	10395	8589	7406	6063	5234 <sup>r</sup>	4983 <sup>r</sup>	4111	
Commercial driver actions	n/a	n/a	n/a	3739	7976	6449	5829	5038	4743 <sup>r</sup>	4939 <sup>r</sup>	4496	
Chemical test refusal actions	25474	22757	21466	22915	21296 <sup>r</sup>	17963 <sup>r</sup>	15662	13264	11711 <sup>r</sup>	11436 <sup>r</sup>	10110	
Test refusal suspensions	14877	13720	12390	15128	10901 <sup>r</sup>	9374 <sup>r</sup>	8256	7022	6477 <sup>r</sup>	6456 <sup>r</sup>	5865	
Test refusal revocations	10597	9037	9076	7787	10395 <sup>r</sup>	8589 <sup>r</sup>	7406	6063	5234 <sup>r</sup>	4983 <sup>r</sup>	4111	
TOTAL APS/REFUSAL ACTIONS	25474	22757	21466	142525	272273	228790	209006	184045	173696 <sup>r</sup>	180343 <sup>r</sup>	169511	
-----												
POSTCONVICTION												
Juvenile DUI suspensions	2374	1823	1995	1478	1576	1202	922	879	677	995	769	
First-offender suspensions	7278	6626	7679	10408	13575	10673	10208	8696	7266	7229	4847	
Misdemeanor	5558	5050	5658	8467	11547	8989	8607	7188	5806	5753	3834	
Felony	1720	1576	2021	1941	2028	1684	1601	1508	1460	1476	1013	
Second-offender S/R actions	43608	47698	53927	52334	57350	45478	38849	34300	31489	30404	22945	
Misdemeanor	42964	47093	53238	51593	56583	44756	38285	33794	30955	29864	22532	
Felony	644	605	689	741	767	722	564	506	534	540	413	
Third-offender revocations	17118	13991	18514	18650	19963	15553	12908	11193	9471	8728	5569	
Misdemeanor	17118	13671	18182	18219	19595	15233	12644	10974	9261	8550	5471	
Felony	n/a	320	332	431	368	320	264	219	210	178	98	
Fourth-offender revocations	7778	8884	8122	8285	8394	6703	5554	4532	3559	2901	1821	
TOTAL POSTCONVICTION ACTIONS	78156	79022	90237	91155	100858	79609	68441	59600	52462	50257 <sup>r</sup>	35951*	

<sup>f</sup>Revised from prior reports.

\*This spuriously low count is due to workload reporting processes.

## HIGHLIGHTS OF 1999 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT

- Alcohol-involved traffic fatalities declined by 12.3% in 1997, and have dropped by 60.1% since 1987.
- DUI arrests declined by 5.3% in 1997, following a 1.4% increase in 1996. Since 1987, DUI arrests have dropped by 44.7%.
- The number of persons injured in alcohol-involved accidents declined by 12.5% in 1997 (the eleventh consecutive year of decline). Since 1987, alcohol-involved injuries have dropped by over half (54.7%).
- 12.6% of all 1996 DUI arrests were associated with a reported traffic accident, compared to 12.4% in 1995, 13.2% in 1994 and 13.1% in 1993. Almost half (47.5%) of these accidents involved an injury or fatality.
- The average blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of a convicted DUI offender, as reported by law enforcement on APS forms, was .164% in 1996, which is more than double the California illegal per se BAC limit of .08%.
- Among 1997 DUI arrestees, Hispanics (44.2%) again constituted the largest racial/ethnic group, and were arrested at a rate substantially higher than their estimated 1997 percentage of California's adult population (30.4%). The ethnic distribution among those convicted closely parallels the distribution profile of the arrestees.
- The average age of a DUI offender in 1997 was 33.8 years. Less than 1% of arrested DUI offenders are juveniles (under age 18).
- Among convicted DUI offenders in 1996, 70.2% were first offenders and 29.8% were repeat offenders (one or more prior convictions within the previous 7 years). The proportion of repeat offenders has decreased slightly each year since 1989, when it stood at 37%.
- 18.4% of 1996 DUI arrest cases did not show any corresponding conviction on DMV records. This is an increase from 16.3% in 1995, and reverses three years of improvement in this rate.

- Alcohol treatment, in conjunction with license restriction, continued to be the most effective postconviction sanction in reducing subsequent DUI incidents among DUI offenders.
- Second offenders assigned to ignition interlock, in addition to license suspension and alcohol treatment, showed a significantly lower 1-year DUI incident rate than other sanction groups. Given the cost of interlock, however, the possibility of a self-selection bias must be considered. Also, the effects of interlock did not appear in the 3-year follow-up.
- DUI recidivism rates have declined by 44% to 55% since 1990, regardless of sanction group.
- The proportion of second offenders reinstating their driver license within 3 years of being eligible for reinstatement was only 16.4% for 1993 second offenders, compared with a 50% reinstatement rate for such offenders in 1976, the last time this rate was measured.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge with appreciation the many individuals who have contributed to the success of this project. In particular, we wish to acknowledge the cooperation of the Department of Justice, Criminal Justice Statistics Center, in providing annual DUI arrest data and documentation. The contributions of Debbie McKenzie, Associate Government Program Analyst, in transforming mainframe computer files into readable data tables and figures, as well as her general assistance in the production of this report, are acknowledged with appreciation. We also would like to acknowledge the contributions of Patrice Rogers, Research Program Specialist I, Pat Timmons, Staff Programmer Analyst, and the administrative direction and report review efforts of Raymond C. Peck, Chief, Research and Development Branch.

#### Report Authors:

Helen N. Tashima, Research Program Specialist I, Principal Investigator  
Clifford J. Helander, Research Manager I, Project Manager

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
DUI SUMMARY STATISTICS: 1987-1997 .....	i
HIGHLIGHTS OF 1999 CALIFORNIA DUI-MIS REPORT .....	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS .....	v
INTRODUCTION .....	1
SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS .....	4
SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS .....	10
SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS .....	22
SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS .....	29
EVALUATION METHOD AND RESULTS .....	33
Subject Selection and Data Collection .....	33
Evaluation Design and Analytical Procedures .....	36
One-Year Recidivism Rates for First and Second Offenders, by Sanctions from 1990 - 1996 .....	37
Results of the Second Offender Sanction Evaluation .....	39
Total Accidents .....	39
DUI Incidents .....	41
Comparison of DUI Reoffenses and License Reinstatement Between 1975 and 1993 Sample DUI Offenders .....	42
SECTION 5: ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS .....	45
SECTION 6: ACCIDENTS INVOLVING ALCOHOL .....	49
DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS .....	60
REFERENCES .....	61
GLOSSARY .....	62

## APPENDICES

### NUMBER

A	ASSEMBLY BILL NO. 757 - FRIEDMAN CHAPTER 450 .....	65
B1	1997 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY .....	66
B2	1996 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE .....	81

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

## APPENDICES (continued)

<u>NUMBER</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
B3	TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1996 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT.....	91
B4	1996 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS.....	101
B5	DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR SECOND DUI OFFENDERS.....	132
B6	ZIP CODE CENSUS VARIABLES (COVARIATES) BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR SECOND DUI OFFENDERS.....	132

## LIST OF TABLES

1	DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND ANNUAL PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 1995-1997.....	7
2	1997 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST.....	8
3a	1997 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY.....	9
3b	1997 DUI ARRESTS BY SEX, AGE AND RACE/ETHNICITY.....	9
4	1996 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND SEX.....	15
5	MATCHABLE 1996 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE, RACE/ETHNICITY AND SEX.....	16
6	ADJUSTED 1996 DUI CONVICTION RATES AND RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD OF CONVICTION BY AGE AND RACE/ETHNICITY.....	16
7	TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1996 DUI ARRESTEES.....	17
8	ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 1996 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY.....	19



## TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

## LIST OF TABLES (continued)

<u>NUMBER</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
9a 1996 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF DUI CONVICTIONS.....	20
9b 1996 REPORTED BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC) LEVELS OF THE PRELIMINARY ALCOHOL SCREENING TEST (PAS).....	21
10 1996 DUI CONVICTIONS BY OFFENDER STATUS AND AVERAGE REPORTED BAC LEVEL.....	21
11 1996 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS.....	25
12 1996 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - FIRST OFFENDERS.....	26
13 1996 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - REPEAT OFFENDERS.....	27
14 ONE-YEAR PROPORTIONS OF DUI-INCIDENT INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS, BY TYPE OF SANCTION 1990-1996.....	38
15a SECOND OFFENDER SANCTION EFFECTS ON TOTAL ACCIDENTS AND DUI INCIDENTS BY YEAR.....	40
15b SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT RESULTS FOR SECOND OFFENDER SANCTION GROUPS BY OUTCOME MEASURES.....	41
16 PROPORTIONS OF DUI REOFFENSES AND LICENSE REINSTATEMENT FOR 1993 AND 1976 DUI OFFENDER SAMPLE GROUPS.....	43
17 MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 1987-1997.....	47
18 ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES.....	48
19 FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1996 DUI ARRESTEES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND SOBRIETY CODE.....	53

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

## LIST OF TABLES (continued)

<u>NUMBER</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
20	FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1996 DUI ARRESTEES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND TYPE OF ARREST .....	53
21	FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1996 DUI ARRESTEES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND SOBRIETY CODE .....	54
22	FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1996 DUI ARRESTEES BY TYPE OF ARREST AND ADJUDICATION STATUS .....	54
23	1996 ACCIDENT-INVOLVED DUI ARRESTEES WITH NO RECORD OF CONVICTION, BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST .....	55
24a	1996 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY AGE AND SEX .....	56
24b	1996 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY AGE AND SEX (NOT ARRESTED) .....	56
25a	SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS OF 1996 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS .....	57
25b	SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS OF 1996 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS (NOT ARRESTED) .....	57
26a	1996 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS .....	58
26b	1996 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT ARRESTED) .....	58
27	1-, 3- AND 7-YEAR TOTAL, FATAL/INJURY AND ALCOHOL-RELATED ACCIDENT MEANS BY OFFENDER STATUS .....	59

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

## LIST OF FIGURES

<u>NUMBER</u>		<u>PAGE</u>
1	DUI management information system.....	2
2	DUI arrests 1987-1997.....	4
3	Percentage of 1997 DUI arrests and 1997 projected population (age 15 and over) by race/ethnicity.....	6
4	DUI abstracts received by DMV and DUI conviction volume and rate estimates, 1987-1997.....	12
5	Relative likelihood of conviction by race/ethnicity.....	15
6	Frequency of court-ordered DUI sanctions (1996).....	23
7	Adjusted proportions of first DUI offenders reoffending within one-year after conviction, by type of sanction (arrested in 1990-1996).....	30
8	Adjusted proportions of second DUI offenders reoffending within one-year after conviction, by type of sanction (arrested in 1990-1996).....	31
9	Adjusted 3-year accident and DUI incident rates of 1994 second offenders by type of sanction.....	32
10	Adjusted 1-year accident and DUI incident rates of 1996 second offenders by type of sanction.....	32
11	Mandatory DUI license disqualification actions, 1987-1997.....	46
12	Percentage of total injuries and total fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 1987-1997.....	50


## INTRODUCTION

This report is the eighth Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System, produced in response to Assembly Bill 757 (Friedman), Chapter 450, 1989 legislative session (see Appendix A). This bill required the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) to "establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted" of DUI in order to provide "accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics" to enhance "the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions." The need for such a data system had long been documented by numerous authorities, including the 1983 Presidential Commission on Drunk Driving. In responding to this legislative mandate, this report combines and cross-references DUI data from diverse sources and presents them in a single reference. Data sources drawn upon include the California Highway Patrol (CHP) for accident data, Department of Justice (DOJ) for arrest data, and the DMV driver record database. Each of these reporting agencies, however, initially draw their data from diffuse primary sources such as individual law enforcement agencies (arrest and accident reports) and the courts (abstracts of conviction).

The general conceptual design of the California DUI management information system (DUI-MIS) is presented in Figure 1. The basic theme of the DUI-MIS is to track the processing of offenders through the DUI system from the point of arrest and to identify the frequency with which offenders flow through each branch of the system process (from law enforcement through adjudication to treatment and license control actions). Figure 1 also illustrates the relationship between offender flow and data collection at each point of the process. The initiating data source for the DUI-MIS is the DUI arrest report, as compiled by the DOJ Law Enforcement Information Center's Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system.

Another major objective of this report is to evaluate the effectiveness of court and administrative sanctions on convicted DUI offenders. This is accomplished by examining the postconviction recidivism records (alcohol/drug-related accidents and traffic convictions) of offenders assigned to alternative sanctions, as detailed in Section 4 on "Postconviction Sanction Effectiveness."

Figure 1 . DUI management information system.



It should again be noted that it is not an objective of this report to make recommendations based on the data presented. Rather, the primary purpose of a reporting system such as the DUI-MIS is to provide objective data on the operating and performance characteristics of the system for others to assess in making policy decisions, formulating improvements and conducting more in-depth evaluations.

The DUI-MIS data system and report has led to numerous improvements in the California DUI system, from the identification of inappropriate dismissals in a small central valley court to major initiatives to improve the tracking and reporting of DUI cases. The success of the California DUI-MIS has also contributed to a national initiative to design a model DUI reporting system, developed under contract to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA).

## SECTION 1: DUI ARRESTS

The information presented below on DUI arrests is based primarily on data collected annually by the Department of Justice (DOJ), Law Enforcement Information Center, Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system. These data are the most current nonaggregated data available on DUI arrests.

Table 1: DUI Arrests By County and Annual Percentage Change from 1995-1997. The number of DUI arrests by county for the years 1995-1997 and the percentage changes from 1996 to 1997 are shown in Table 1.

Table 2: 1997 DUI Arrests by County and Type of Arrest. This table shows a breakdown of 1997 DUI arrests by felony, misdemeanor, and juvenile arrest type, by county. This table also shows county and statewide DUI arrest rates per 100 licensed drivers.

Tables 3a-3b: 1997 DUI Arrests by Age, Sex, and Race/Ethnicity. Table 3a crosstabulates age by sex and age by race/ethnicity of 1997 DUI arrestees statewide. The same tabulations by county are found in Appendix Table B1. Table 3b shows the same data crosstabulated by sex and age within race/ethnicity.

Figure 2 below displays the trend in DUI arrests from 1987 to 1997.




Figure 2 . DUI arrests 1987-1997.

Based on the data shown in Figure 2 and Tables 1, 2, 3a, and 3b, the following statements can be made about DUI arrests in California:

Statewide Parameters:

- DUI arrests decreased by 5.3% in 1997, following a 1.4% increase in 1996.
- The per capita DUI arrest rate was 0.9 in 1997, which represents a 50% reduction over the 1.8 rate in 1990.
- Felony DUI arrests (involving bodily injury or death) constitute a relatively small proportion (2.9% in 1997) of all DUI arrests.

County Variation:


- 25.8% of all 1997 California DUI arrests occurred in Los Angeles County. Four counties (Los Angeles, Orange, San Diego, and San Bernardino) had over 10,000 DUI arrests each, accounting for almost half (46.9%) of all arrests.
- The 1997 county per capita DUI arrest rates ranged from 0.3 to 2.5 DUI arrests per 100 licensed drivers. Five counties had rates of 0.7 or below. These low per capita arrest rate counties were San Francisco (0.3), Mariposa (0.5), Alameda, Contra Costa and San Mateo (0.7). Four counties had rates of 2.0 or higher [Imperial (2.1), Colusa (2.4) and Alpine and Trinity (2.5)].
- As in past years, many counties again showed a decline in DUI arrests in 1997. Among the larger counties, the greatest declines occurred in Kern (-18.2%) and Riverside (-14.1%). Among smaller counties, the largest decreases in DUI arrests occurred in Alpine (-52.8%), Mono (-37.9%) and Sierra (-30.2%). Among counties showing increases in DUI arrests were Trinity (40.1%), Modoc (18.2%), Nevada (16.8), and Siskiyou (15.0%).

Demographic Characteristics:

- The average age of a DUI arrestee in 1997 was 33.8 years. Roughly half (45.0%) of all arrestees were age 30 or younger and over three-quarters (75.2%) were age 40 or younger. Less than 1% of all DUI arrests involved juveniles (under age 18). 2.4% of all arrestees were over age 60.
- Males comprised 86.8% of all 1997 DUI arrests.



- Among 1997 DUI arrestees, Hispanics (44.2%) continued to be the largest ethnic group, being arrested at a rate substantially higher than its estimated 1997 population parity of 30.4% (Department of Finance, Demographic Research and Census Data Center). All other racial/ethnic groups were underrepresented among DUI arrestees, relative to their estimated 1997 population parity: Blacks (6.6% of arrests, 6.7% of the population), Whites (41.7% of arrests, 52.0% of the population), and Other (7.5% of arrests, 10.8% of the population). Figure 3 below shows the percentages of 1997 DUI arrests and 1997 estimated census adult population by race/ethnicity.
- Among male 1997 DUI arrestees, 48.0% were Hispanic, 38.1% were White, 6.5% were Black, and 7.4% were "Other." Among female DUI arrestees, 65.5% were White, 19.4% were Hispanic, 7.2% were Black, and 7.8% were "Other." The overrepresentation of Hispanics among DUI offenders is clearly limited to males.
- In the following 6 counties, Hispanics comprised over 60% of those arrested for DUI during 1997: Tulare (72.8%), Imperial (71.6%), Madera (70.9%), Fresno (69.5%), San Benito (68.4%), and Merced (66.6%). In most other counties, the majority of arrestees were White.
- The average age of a DUI arrestee varied considerably by race: Blacks were the oldest with a mean age of 36.2 years, while Hispanics were the youngest, with a mean age of 31.4 years.



**Figure 3 .** Percentage of 1997 DUI arrests and 1997 projected population (age 15 and over) by race/ethnicity.

TABLE 1: DUI ARRESTS\* BY COUNTY AND ANNUAL  
PERCENTAGE CHANGE FROM 1995-1997

COUNTY	1995	1996	1997	% CHANGE 1996-1997
STATEWIDE	198982	201765	191164	-5.3
ALAMEDA	5596	6148	6134	-0.2
ALPINE	72	53	25	-52.8
AMADOR	197	206	206	0.0
BUTTE	1293	1346	1248	-7.3
CALAVERAS	309	320	316	-1.2
COLUSA	323	329	292	-11.2
CONTRA COSTA	3990	4255	4200	-1.3
DEL NORTE	295	288	285	-1.0
EL DORADO	1256	1136	918	-19.2
FRESNO	6593	6441	6455	0.2
GLENN	339	377	273	-27.6
HUMBOLDT	1333	1238	1332	7.6
IMPERIAL	1899	1639	1692	3.2
INYO	306	281	272	-3.2
KERN	4188	5258	4303	-18.2
KINGS	1038	1304	1037	-20.5
LAKE	656	635	638	0.5
LASSEN	241	236	183	-22.5
LOS ANGELES	49570	49328	49255	-0.1
MADERA	1025	1056	820	-22.3
MARIN	1542	1623	1602	-1.3
MARIPOSA	92	78	63	-19.2
MENDOCINO	880	1017	778	-23.5
MERCED	2174	2173	1821	-16.2
MODOC	81	77	91	18.2
MONO	129	174	108	-37.9
MONTEREY	3931	3791	3609	-4.8
NAPA	1011	1066	1104	3.6
NEVADA	648	602	703	16.8
ORANGE	15925	15153	14856	-2.0
PLACER	1636	1716	1684	-1.9
PLUMAS	178	238	233	-2.1
RIVERSIDE	9190	9403	8078	-14.1
SACRAMENTO	7225	7617	6901	-9.4
SAN BENITO	317	394	377	-4.3
SAN BERNARDINO	10474	11389	10816	-5.0
SAN DIEGO	15530	15526	14701	-5.3
SAN FRANCISCO	1436	1489	1481	-0.5
SAN JOAQUIN	4122	4119	3710	-9.9
SAN LUIS OBISPO	2264	2255	1907	-15.4
SAN MATEO	4254	3782	3562	-5.8
SANTA BARBARA	3402	3125	2823	-9.7
SANTA CLARA	8685	9771	8995	-7.9
SANTA CRUZ	2444	2603	2483	-4.6
SHASTA	1044	1119	960	-14.2
SIERRA	37	43	30	-30.2
SISKIYOU	349	381	438	15.0
SOLANO	1905	1795	1436	-20.0
SONOMA	2913	3074	2948	-4.1
STANISLAUS	2839	2757	2590	-6.1
SUTTER	913	935	794	-15.1
TEHAMA	415	467	462	-1.1
TRINITY	222	177	248	40.1
TULARE	3552	3723	3109	-16.5
TUOLUMNE	384	378	362	-4.2
VENTURA	4285	3861	3917	1.5
YOLO	1441	1488	1134	-23.8
YUBA	594	512	366	-28.5

\*DOJ DUI arrest totals with duplicates removed and boat DUI removed.

TABLE 2: 1997 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST

COUNTY	TOTAL (100%)  N	TYPE OF ARREST						DUI ARRESTS PER 100 LICENSED DRIVERS
		FELONY		JUVENILE		MISDEMEANOR		
		N	%	N	%	N	%	
STATEWIDE	191164	5463	2.9	1709	0.9	183992	96.2	0.9
ALAMEDA	6134	112	1.8	55	0.9	5967	97.3	0.7
ALPINE	25	0	0.0	0	0.0	25	100.0	2.5
AMADOR	206	12	5.8	3	1.5	191	92.7	0.9
BUTTE	1248	17	1.4	22	1.8	1209	96.9	0.9
CALAVERAS	316	9	2.8	5	1.6	302	95.6	1.0
COLUSA	292	8	2.7	7	2.4	277	94.9	2.4
CONTRA COSTA	4200	87	2.1	69	1.6	4044	96.3	0.7
DEL NORTE	285	5	1.8	11	3.9	269	94.4	1.7
EL DORADO	918	48	5.2	18	2.0	852	92.8	0.8
FRESNO	6455	212	3.3	97	1.5	6146	95.2	1.5
GLENN	273	7	2.6	6	2.2	260	95.2	1.6
HUMBOLDT	1332	39	2.9	30	2.3	1263	94.8	1.5
IMPERIAL	1692	48	2.8	10	0.6	1634	96.6	2.1
INYO	272	17	6.3	6	2.2	249	91.5	1.9
KERN	4303	135	3.1	51	1.2	4117	95.7	1.2
KINGS	1037	25	2.4	18	1.7	994	95.9	1.8
LAKE	638	19	3.0	18	2.8	601	94.2	1.6
LASSEN	183	13	7.1	4	2.2	166	90.7	1.0
LOS ANGELES	49255	1523	3.1	226	0.5	47506	96.4	0.9
MADERA	820	25	3.0	9	1.1	786	95.9	1.3
MARIN	1602	26	1.6	12	0.7	1564	97.6	0.9
MARIPOSA	63	3	4.8	2	3.2	58	92.1	0.5
MENDOCINO	778	21	2.7	15	1.9	742	95.4	1.3
MERCED	1821	57	3.1	17	0.9	1747	95.9	1.6
MODOC	91	5	5.5	2	2.2	84	92.3	1.4
MONO	108	4	3.7	2	1.9	102	94.4	1.3
MONTEREY	3609	61	1.7	43	1.2	3505	97.1	1.6
NAPA	1104	21	1.9	8	0.7	1075	97.4	1.3
NEVADA	703	22	3.1	11	1.6	670	95.3	1.0
ORANGE	14856	251	1.7	68	0.5	14537	97.9	0.8
PLACER	1684	55	3.3	21	1.2	1608	95.5	1.0
PLUMAS	233	13	5.6	2	0.9	218	93.6	1.4
RIVERSIDE	8078	220	2.7	67	0.8	7791	96.4	0.9
SACRAMENTO	6901	286	4.1	78	1.1	6537	94.7	0.9
SAN BENITO	377	9	2.4	4	1.1	364	96.6	1.3
SAN BERNARDINO	10816	290	2.7	73	0.7	10453	96.6	1.1
SAN DIEGO	14701	323	2.2	136	0.9	14242	96.9	0.8
SAN FRANCISCO	1481	124	8.4	4	0.3	1353	91.4	0.3
SAN JOAQUIN	3710	112	3.0	53	1.4	3545	95.6	1.2
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1907	48	2.5	23	1.2	1836	96.3	1.2
SAN MATEO	3562	124	3.5	19	0.5	3419	96.0	0.7
SANTA BARBARA	2823	88	3.1	38	1.3	2697	95.5	1.1
SANTA CLARA	8995	352	3.9	79	0.9	8564	95.2	0.8
SANTA CRUZ	2483	43	1.7	34	1.4	2406	96.9	1.5
SHASTA	960	48	5.0	11	1.1	901	93.9	0.8
SIERRA	30	1	3.3	0	0.0	29	96.7	1.2
SISKIYOU	438	11	2.5	4	0.9	423	96.6	1.3
SOLANO	1436	38	2.6	26	1.8	1372	95.5	0.6
SONOMA	2948	75	2.5	39	1.3	2834	96.1	1.0
STANISLAUS	2590	101	3.9	39	1.5	2450	94.6	1.0
SUTTER	794	27	3.4	3	0.4	764	96.2	1.6
TEHAMA	462	11	2.4	8	1.7	443	95.9	1.3
TRINITY	248	7	2.8	0	0.0	241	97.2	2.5
TULARE	3109	82	2.6	46	1.5	2981	95.9	1.6
TUOLUMNE	362	11	3.0	5	1.4	346	95.6	1.0
VENTURA	3917	93	2.4	31	0.8	3793	96.8	0.8
YOLO	1134	26	2.3	18	1.6	1090	96.1	1.1
YUBA	366	13	3.6	3	0.8	350	95.6	1.0

TABLE 3a: 1997 DUI ARRESTS BY AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY\*

AGE	TOTAL		SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	191164	100.0	16541	86.8	25323	13.2	79727	41.7	84535	44.2	12621	6.6	14281	7.5
UNDER 18	1709	0.9	1430	83.7	279	16.3	868	50.8	675	39.5	57	3.3	109	6.4
18-20	12267	6.4	10867	88.6	1400	11.4	5069	41.3	5808	47.3	497	4.1	893	7.3
21-30	71956	37.6	63832	88.7	8124	11.3	23753	33.0	39137	54.4	3789	5.3	5277	7.3
31-40	57908	30.3	49329	85.2	8579	14.8	24118	41.6	25035	43.2	4369	7.5	4386	7.6
41-50	31694	16.6	26733	84.3	4961	15.7	16484	52.0	10192	32.2	2505	7.9	2513	7.9
51-60	10978	5.7	9507	86.6	1471	13.4	6407	58.4	2768	25.2	975	8.9	828	7.5
61-70	3550	1.9	3148	88.7	402	11.3	2251	63.4	730	20.6	357	10.1	212	6.0
71 & ABOVE	1102	0.6	995	90.3	107	9.7	777	70.5	190	17.2	72	6.5	63	5.7
MEAN AGE (YEARS)		33.8		33.7		34.7	36.0		31.4		36.2			33.9

\*Tabulations for DUI arrests by age, sex, race/ethnicity and county are found in Appendix Table B1.

TABLE 3b: 1997 DUI ARRESTS BY SEX, AGE AND RACE/ETHNICITY

SEX	AGE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
				WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		191164	100.0	79727	41.7	84535	44.2	12621	6.6	14281	7.5
MALE											
	UNDER 18	1430	0.9	684	47.8	613	42.9	47	3.3	86	6.0
	18-20	10867	6.6	4170	38.4	5501	50.6	438	4.0	758	7.0
	21-30	63832	38.5	18827	29.5	37234	58.3	3259	5.1	4512	7.1
	31-40	49329	29.7	18548	37.6	23398	47.4	3630	7.4	3753	7.6
	41-50	26733	16.1	13023	48.7	9394	35.1	2127	8.0	2189	8.2
	51-60	9507	5.7	5277	55.5	2597	27.3	884	9.3	749	7.9
	61-70	3148	1.9	1930	61.3	689	21.9	333	10.6	196	6.2
	71 & ABOVE	995	0.6	680	68.3	186	18.7	69	6.9	60	6.0
	TOTAL	165841	100.0	63139	38.1	79612	48.0	10787	6.5	12303	7.4
FEMALE											
	UNDER 18	279	1.1	184	65.9	62	22.2	10	3.6	23	8.2
	18-20	1400	5.5	899	64.2	307	21.9	59	4.2	135	9.6
	21-30	8124	32.1	4926	60.6	1903	23.4	530	6.5	765	9.4
	31-40	8579	33.9	5570	64.9	1637	19.1	739	8.6	633	7.4
	41-50	4961	19.6	3461	69.8	798	16.1	378	7.6	324	6.5
	51-60	1471	5.8	1130	76.8	171	11.6	91	6.2	79	5.4
	61-70	402	1.6	321	79.9	41	10.2	24	6.0	16	4.0
	71 & ABOVE	107	0.4	97	90.7	4	3.7	3	2.8	3	2.8
	TOTAL	25323	100.0	16588	65.5	4923	19.4	1834	7.2	1978	7.8

## SECTION 2: CONVICTIONS

Data on convictions resulting from court adjudication of DUI arrests are reported directly to the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) on court abstracts of conviction. The following tables compile and crosstabulate these conviction data by demographic, geographic, and adjudicative categories.

Table 4: 1996 DUI Convictions by Age and Sex. This table crosstabulates statewide DUI conviction information by age and sex. Corresponding county-specific conviction data are presented in Appendix Table B2.

Table 5: Matchable 1996 DUI Convictions by Age, Race/Ethnicity, and Sex. This table displays DUI conviction information by age, race/ethnicity, and sex. "Matchable" DUI convictions are those which are traceable to a DUI arrest appearing on the MACR system. Because not all arrests could be matched to an existing record, these conviction totals underestimate the total number of actual convictions.

Table 6: Adjusted 1996 DUI Conviction Rates and Relative Likelihood of Conviction, by Age and Race/Ethnicity. This table shows the relative probability of a DUI arrest leading to a DUI conviction, by age and race/ethnicity. DUI conviction totals from categories in Table 5 ("matchable DUI convictions") were increased by the proportion which matchable convictions constituted of "total DUI convictions," shown in Table 7, to arrive at the adjusted DUI conviction rates. Without this adjustment DUI conviction rates would be underestimated using the conviction data from Table 5 because not all reported convictions are "matchable" to an arrest.

Table 7: Total Conviction Data for 1996 DUI Arrestees. This table portrays county and statewide DUI-related conviction data as reported to the DMV on court abstracts of conviction. Corresponding court-specific data are shown in Appendix Table B3. Convictions not reported to DMV are considered nonconvictions for the purposes of this report. Actual nonconvictions include cases where the DUI arrest was not filed, not prosecuted, or resulted in a not guilty verdict. The DUI conviction rates by county were calculated by comparing the county conviction totals with DOJ arrest totals. Because not all 1996 DUI arrests have yet been adjudicated, these conviction totals and rates will slightly underestimate the "final" figures. The DUI conviction rates shown in the "DUI Summary Statistics: 1987-1997" table at the very beginning of this report include an estimate of these late convictions, and thus are slightly higher than those shown in


Tables 7 and 8. Conviction variables include felony and misdemeanor DUI convictions, alcohol- and nonalcohol-related reckless driving convictions, convictions of "other" lesser offenses, and DUI convictions dismissed or found unconstitutional. DUI arrest dates from the DOJ MACR system were matched to driver record violation dates to identify nonalcohol-related reckless driving and "other" convictions. The average (mean) adjudication time lags from DUI arrest to conviction, and from conviction to update on the DMV database, were calculated for each county.

Table 8: Adjudication Status of 1996 DUI Arrests by County. This table shows the adjudication status (court disposition) of 1996 DUI arrests, by county. Included are the percentages of arrests which have resulted in DUI convictions (misdemeanor or felony), reckless driving convictions (alcohol-related or nonalcohol-related), convictions of "other" offenses, or no reported conviction, as of the date of writing. Again, because not all 1996 DUI arrests have yet been adjudicated, these rates will slightly underestimate the "final" rate for each category, excepting the category "no record of any conviction," which will be slightly reduced (approximately 1-2%) by the eventual adjudication of these few late cases.

Table 9a: 1996 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of DUI Convictions and Table 9b: 1996 Reported Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) Levels of the Preliminary Alcohol Screening Test (PAS). Table 9a shows the frequency of reported positive BAC levels for DUI and alcohol-reckless convictions. Because of more complete reporting of BAC levels on APS reporting forms (68%), those reports are used to calculate statewide BAC levels. Abstracts of conviction, which were used in prior evaluations, report BAC levels in only 49% of cases. Table 9b shows the BAC distribution of arrested minors given the PAS.

Table 10: 1996 DUI Convictions by Offender Status and Average Reported BAC Level. This table displays the proportions of convicted DUI offenders by offender status (number of prior convictions in seven years), and the average (mean) BAC level from APS reporting forms and abstracts of conviction, for each offense level.

Figure 4 (below) shows, for the years 1987 to 1997, the number of DUI abstracts received to date by DMV from the courts, the estimated final number of DUI convictions which will ultimately be received, and the estimated final DUI conviction rate.



Note. Estimated DUI convictions = see footnote 3 to "DUI Summary Statistics: 1987-1997."

**Figure 4 . DUI abstracts received by DMV and DUI conviction volume and rate estimates, 1987-1997.**

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

Statewide Adjudication Parameters:

- The estimated DUI conviction rate for 1997 is the same as for 6 of the last 7 years (72%).
- 9.2% of 1996 DUI arrests resulted in reckless driving convictions, and 18.5% of these were not correctly identified as alcohol-related. Both of these rates are slightly higher than corresponding rates for the previous three years.
- 2.2% of 1996 DUI arrests have resulted in convictions of offenses other than DUI or reckless driving, down very slightly from the rates of the previous three years.
- 18.4% of 1996 DUI arrests have not yet resulted in any conviction on DMV's records, compared to 16.3% in 1995, 18.0% in 1994, 18.8% in 1993 and 19.2% in 1992. As additional cases are adjudicated and reported by the courts, this figure will decrease slightly.
- The average reported BAC level for all convicted DUI offenders in 1996, using APS reporting forms as the data source, was 0.164%, which is slightly lower than previous years, yet still more than double the illegal per se BAC limit of 0.08%.

- Average BAC levels increase as a function of the number of prior DUI convictions, from a 0.160% BAC for a first offense to a 0.185% BAC for a fourth or subsequent offense.
- Among 1996 convicted DUI offenders, 70.2% were first offenders, 22.1% were second offenders, 5.7% were third offenders, and 2.0% were on their fourth or more offense. (The statutorily defined time period for counting priors in California is seven years.) The proportion of repeat offenders (29.8%) among all convicted DUI offenders has decreased slightly each year since 1989 (at which time 37% of all convictions were repeat offenses).
- The average adjudication time lags were 2.8 months from DUI arrest to conviction and 3.1 months from conviction to update on the DMV database, totalling almost 6 months from arrest to update on the offender's driving record. This time lag is identical to last year's.

Variation by County:

- Among the larger counties, 1996 DUI conviction rates varied from highs of 85.1% in Orange and 84.1% in Ventura to a low of 38.9% in San Bernardino. Los Angeles County, which accounted for almost 25% of all DUI arrests in the state, had a DUI conviction rate of 71.8%.
- Among the smaller counties, 1996 DUI conviction rates varied from highs of 87.5% in Nevada and 87.2% in Napa to a low of 36.3% in Yolo.
- The rates at which DUI arrests were plea-bargained to alcohol-related reckless driving convictions varied from over 28% in Alpine County to 0% in Marin and Ventura counties.
- The percentage of DUI arrests that were improperly adjudicated as nonalcohol-related reckless driving convictions varied from 0% to 7.2%. Three counties had rates of 4% or more: Sacramento, Sierra, and San Francisco.
- The percentage of DUI arrests adjudicated as minor convictions ("other" convictions) varied from 0% to 5.6%. San Luis Obispo, Los Angeles, Alameda, Kern, and San Bernardino counties had rates of 3% or more.



- In nine counties, the proportion of arrestees not showing a conviction of any offense exceeded 30%. These counties were Yolo, San Bernardino, Sutter, Trinity, Fresno, Riverside, Imperial, Sierra, and Modoc. Eighteen counties had nonconviction rates of less than 10%, with Nevada and San Benito at less than 2%.


#### Variation by Court:

- As was true for prior years, the 1996 superior court time lags were generally longer than municipal court time lags, presumably due to the type of DUI case (felony) being adjudicated.
- Municipal court time lags from arrest to conviction (for courts with more than a handful of reported convictions) varied from a high of 5.0 months in the Hemet (Riverside County) court to a low of 1.3 months for the King City (Monterey) and Los Angeles Metro courts. The Los Angeles Metro court is also the busiest court in the state.
- Statewide, the proportion of reckless driving convictions (alcohol and nonalcohol), relative to all convictions resulting from DUI arrests, was about 11% in 1996 (as it was in 1994 and 1995). Among the courts which substantially exceeded this statewide average was Garberville (Humboldt), which adjudicated 36% of its convictions in DUI cases as reckless driving.
- Statewide, 18% of all DUI-related reckless driving convictions are designated as nonalcohol. In Riverside County, however, the Superior Court reported 88% (160 out of 182) of its DUI-related reckless driving convictions as nonalcohol.

#### Demographic Characteristics:

- The average age of a convicted DUI offender in 1996 was 34.5 years.
- 41.9% of 1996 DUI convictees were aged 30 years or younger and 74.2% were 40 years or younger.
- Females comprised 13.1% of all 1996 convicted DUI offenders, compared to 12.8% in 1995, 12.2% in 1994, 12.3% in 1993, 12.1% in 1992, 12.2% in 1991, 11.7% in 1990, and 11.4% in 1989.
- The racial/ethnic distribution of 1996 DUI convictions (White = 44.0%; Hispanic = 44.1%; Black = 6.2%; Other = 5.7%) generally paralleled that of 1996 arrests, although

Whites and Others were somewhat more likely to be convicted of the offense (as shown in Figure 5 below).



**Figure 5** . Relative likelihood of conviction by race/ethnicity. (Adjusted conviction rate by ethnicity ÷ overall conviction rate.)

**TABLE 4: 1996 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE AND SEX\***

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	141692	100.0	123129	86.9	18563	13.1
UNDER 18	409	0.3	352	86.1	57	13.9
18-20	6667	4.7	5933	89.0	734	11.0
21-30	52328	36.9	46662	89.2	5666	10.8
31-40	45768	32.3	38930	85.1	6838	14.9
41-50	24434	17.2	20646	84.5	3788	15.5
51-60	8536	6.0	7431	87.1	1105	12.9
61-70	2776	2.0	2482	89.4	294	10.6
71 & ABOVE	774	0.5	693	89.5	81	10.5
MEAN AGE (YEARS)	34.5		34.3		35.5	

\*County-specific tabulations of 1996 DUI convictions by age and sex are shown in Appendix Table B2.

TABLE 5: MATCHABLE 1996 DUI CONVICTIONS BY AGE, RACE/ETHNICITY AND SEX

AGE	TOTAL	RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)																	
		WHITE						HISPANIC						BLACK					
		MALE			FEMALE			MALE			FEMALE			MALE			FEMALE		
		N	%		N	%		N	%		N	%		N	%		N	%	
STATEWIDE	124855	100.0	43652	35.0	11271	9.0	51851	41.5	3288	2.6	6624	5.3	1063	0.9	6199	5.0	907	0.7	
UNDER 18	752	0.6	352	46.8	110	14.6	229	30.5	16	2.1	12	1.6	1	0.1	25	3.3	7	0.9	
18-20	7719	6.2	2710	35.1	528	6.8	3629	47.0	203	2.6	224	2.9	32	0.4	321	4.2	72	0.9	
21-30	46309	37.1	13262	28.6	3312	7.2	23620	51.0	1247	2.7	2002	4.3	306	0.7	2216	4.8	344	0.7	
31-40	39184	31.4	13393	34.2	4054	10.3	15524	39.6	1177	3.0	2323	5.9	436	1.1	1997	5.1	280	0.7	
41-50	20523	16.4	8652	42.2	2288	11.1	6343	30.9	512	2.5	239	6.0	211	1.0	1131	5.5	147	0.7	
51-60	7361	5.9	3564	48.4	724	9.8	1903	25.9	101	1.4	559	7.6	61	0.8	403	5.5	46	0.6	
61-70	2366	1.9	1309	55.3	195	8.2	498	21.0	29	1.2	224	9.5	4	0.6	89	3.8	8	0.3	
71 & ABOVE	641	0.5	410	64.0	60	9.4	105	16.4	3	0.5	41	6.4	2	0.3	17	2.7	3	0.5	

TABLE 6: ADJUSTED 1996 DUI CONVICTION RATES<sup>1</sup> AND RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD<sup>2</sup> OF CONVICTION BY AGE AND RACE/ETHNICITY

AGE	TOTAL BY AGE	RACE/ETHNICITY											
TOTAL BY RACE/ETHNICITY	ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD	WHITE			HISPANIC			BLACK			OTHER	
			ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD		ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD		ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD		ADJUSTED CONVICTION RATE	RELATIVE LIKELIHOOD
TOTAL BY RACE/ETHNICITY	0.70	1.00	0.73	1.03		0.68	0.97		0.67	0.95		0.71	1.01
UNDER 18	0.47	0.67	0.51	0.73		0.42	0.59		0.26	0.37		0.51	0.73
18-20	0.66	0.92	0.69	0.98		0.64	0.90		0.54	0.76		0.68	0.97
21-30	0.67	0.96	0.71	1.02		0.65	0.92		0.65	0.93		0.69	0.98
31-40	0.72	1.03	0.74	1.05		0.72	1.02		0.68	0.96		0.72	1.02
41-50	0.75	1.06	0.75	1.06		0.76	1.08		0.68	0.97		0.75	1.07
51-60	0.75	1.07	0.74	1.05		0.79	1.12		0.72	1.03		0.73	1.04
61-70	0.75	1.07	0.74	1.05		0.79	1.12		0.75	1.07		0.71	1.00
71 & ABOVE	0.69	0.99	0.70	0.99		0.69	0.98		0.76	1.09		0.54	0.77

<sup>1</sup> Adjusted DUI Conviction Rates = The matchable DUI conviction rate proportionally adjusted to the overall DUI conviction rate.

<sup>2</sup> Relative Likelihood =  $\frac{\text{Adjusted DUI Conviction Rate}}{\text{Overall Total DUI Conviction Rate}}$

TABLE 7: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1996 DUI ARRESTEES<sup>1</sup>

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI <sup>2</sup>	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NONALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSED <sup>3</sup> / UNCONST <sup>4</sup>	AVERAGE DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
								VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE	70.2	138747	2945	15219	3345	4410	27/318	2.8	3.1
ALAMEDA	70.0	4249	57	399	100	224	1/16	3.3	2.3
ALPINE	62.3	33	0	15	0	0	0/0	3.5	4.0
AMADOR	82.0	164	5	9	5	2	0/1	2.2	3.6
BUTTE	68.6	911	12	218	33	16	0/6	2.8	3.8
CALAVERAS	60.9	194	1	21	10	9	0/1	2.5	2.0
COLUSA	70.5	228	4	40	6	2	0/1	2.3	3.0
CONTRA COSTA	72.9	3064	39	369	39	53	0/14	4.0	2.5
DEL NORTE	72.6	209	0	46	5	4	1/0	3.1	3.6
EL DORADO	79.2	863	37	108	6	11	0/1	2.4	5.2
FRESNO	63.3	2940	135	578	64	64	2/4	3.9	5.1
GLENN	69.2	258	3	49	4	8	0/1	2.2	4.1
HUMBOLDT	56.1	674	20	212	35	24	0/1	3.6	2.5
IMPERIAL	50.8	828	5	155	64	12	0/1	4.5	2.5
INYO	61.6	169	4	49	3	3	0/0	2.9	3.7
KERN	74.2	3804	99	411	114	164	0/11	2.2	3.9
KINGS	75.0	955	23	105	2	10	0/3	2.0	3.0
LAKE	78.9	488	13	28	7	5	0/1	3.1	8.3
LASSEN	73.7	167	7	4	8	2	0/0	3.0	6.2
LOS ANGELES	71.8	34845	557	4248	550	1862	4/71	2.2	2.4
MADERA	61.3	619	28	104	24	16	0/0	3.3	4.3
MARIN	80.2	1288	13	0	1	43	0/5	3.0	4.1
MARIPOSA	65.2 <sup>5</sup>	91	1	9	1	2	0/0	2.4	1.6
MENDOCINO	77.4	771	16	109	14	15	0/7	2.7	5.0
MERCED	52.6	1107	36	282	64	63	0/4	3.8	6.1
MODOC	59.7	42	4	6	0	0	0/0	2.5	2.1
MONO	78.2	133	3	23	3	2	0/0	2.4	2.0

<sup>1</sup>Conviction data by court are found in Appendix Table B3.<sup>2</sup>This year's count includes misdemeanors which carried a felony disposition code. These counts do not include 4th offenses (in seven years) which are statutorily defined as felonies.<sup>3</sup>These may include dismissals of prior failure to appear (FTA) abstracts.<sup>4</sup>These 1996 arrestees showed prior DUIs declared unconstitutional on their records. The counties reported here are those in which the current DUI conviction occurred and not necessarily those in which a prior conviction was declared unconstitutional.<sup>5</sup>The calculation of the conviction rate was based on total arrests including federal DUI arrests (Yosemite National Park) not reported in the DOJ MACR system.

TABLE 7: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1996 DUI ARRESTEES<sup>1</sup> - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI <sup>2</sup>	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NONALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISMISSED <sup>3</sup> / UNCONST <sup>4</sup>	AVERAGE DUI ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
								VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
MONTEREY	71.8	2668	54	361	45	34	0/2	1.6	4.9
NAPA	87.2	907	23	48	10	6	0/2	2.5	2.4
NEVADA	87.5	513	14	62	9	3	0/1	2.5	4.9
ORANGE	85.1	12746	155	506	72	177	1/28	2.9	2.2
PLACER	77.7	1302	31	64	30	10	0/4	2.6	2.5
PLUMAS	74.4	172	5	37	8	1	0/0	2.3	7.6
RIVERSIDE	58.5	5366	134	166	289	132	0/12	3.8	2.2
SACRAMENTO	69.2	5067	202	562	549	61	2/15	3.1	1.9
SAN BENITO	86.0	331	8	41	3	4	1/10	2.3	8.0
SAN BERNARDINO	38.9	4285	141	575	300	354	1/10	4.1	3.2
SAN DIEGO	76.1	11731	77	869	263	254	2/11	3.2	4.2
SAN FRANCISCO	64.5	951	10	198	65	7	1/0	3.8	0.8
SAN JOAQUIN	72.6	2916	74	297	83	108	0/9	2.1	2.4
SAN LUIS OBISPO	68.1	1513	22	440	34	126	0/7	2.3	5.3
SAN MATEO	78.2	2921	35	420	22	55	1/2	2.4	3.3
SANTA BARBARA	77.1	2370	38	458	56	50	1/2	1.9	4.8
SANTA CLARA	72.7	6755	345	738	126	155	1/10	3.2	2.4
SANTA CRUZ	70.1	1799	27	158	62	31	0/11	2.0	5.4
SHASTA	76.1	810	41	132	14	13	0/1	2.8	4.5
SIERRA	53.5	22	1	2	2	1	0/0	3.4	4.1
SISKIYOU	67.7	246	12	42	9	5	0/0	2.7	2.9
SOLANO	75.7	1319	39	220	14	27	0/5	2.6	7.1
SONOMA	73.3	2131	122	441	32	38	0/15	3.2	4.7
STANISLAUS	74.8	2008	54	297	21	28	0/11	2.8	2.3
SUTTER	44.0	391	20	77	2	3	0/0	2.5	3.9
TEHAMA	82.4	380	5	38	4	8	0/0	2.3	3.6
TRINITY	45.2	79	1	17	0	5	0/0	3.4	4.3
TULARE	69.4	2524	61	94	19	27	1/3	2.6	3.7
TUOLUMNE	85.7	313	11	33	3	6	0/1	3.0	1.5
VENTURA	84.1	3217	31	0	0	53	1/1	2.4	3.7
YOLO	36.3	524	16	150	26	6	0/2	5.8	2.9
YUBA	76.0	376	13	79	11	6	0/0	2.4	2.5

TABLE 8: ADJUDICATION STATUS OF 1996 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY<sup>1</sup>

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTIONS		RECKLESS DRIVING CONVICTIONS		% OTHER CONVICTIONS	% NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION
	% MISDEMEANOR	% FELONY	% ALCOHOL RELATED	% NONALCOHOL RELATED		
STATEWIDE	68.8	1.5	7.5	1.7	2.2	18.4
ALAMEDA	69.1	0.9	6.5	1.6	3.6	18.2
ALPINE	62.3	0.0	28.3	0.0	0.0	9.4
AMADOR	79.6	2.4	4.4	2.4	1.0	10.2
BUTTE	67.7	0.9	16.2	2.5	1.2	11.6
CALAVERAS	60.6	0.3	6.6	3.1	2.8	26.6
COLUSA	69.3	1.2	12.2	1.8	0.6	14.9
CONTRA COSTA	72.0	0.9	8.7	0.9	1.2	16.2
DEL NORTE	72.6	0.0	16.0	1.7	1.4	8.3
EL DORADO	76.0	3.3	9.5	0.5	1.0	9.8
FRESNO	45.6	2.1	9.0	1.0	1.0	41.3
GLENN	68.4	0.8	13.0	1.1	2.1	14.6
HUMBOLDT	54.4	1.6	17.1	2.8	1.9	22.1
IMPERIAL	50.5	0.3	9.5	3.9	0.7	35.1
INYO	60.1	1.4	17.4	1.1	1.1	18.9
KERN	72.3	1.9	7.8	2.2	3.1	12.7
KINGS	73.2	1.8	8.1	0.2	0.8	16.0
LAKE	76.9	2.0	4.4	1.1	0.8	14.8
LASSEN	70.8	3.0	1.7	3.4	0.8	20.3
LOS ANGELES	70.6	1.1	8.6	1.1	3.8	14.7
MADERA	58.6	2.7	9.8	2.3	1.5	25.1
MARIN	79.4	0.8	0.0	0.1	2.6	17.1
MARIPOSA <sup>2</sup>	64.5	0.7	6.4	0.7	1.4	26.2
MENDOCINO	75.8	1.6	10.7	1.4	1.5	9.0
MERCED	50.9	1.7	13.0	2.9	2.9	28.6
MODOC	54.5	5.2	7.8	0.0	0.0	32.5
MONO	76.4	1.7	13.2	1.7	1.1	5.7
MONTEREY	70.4	1.4	9.5	1.2	0.9	16.6
NAPA	85.1	2.2	4.5	0.9	0.6	6.8
NEVADA	85.2	2.3	10.3	1.5	0.5	0.2
ORANGE	84.1	1.0	3.3	0.5	1.2	9.9
PLACER	75.9	1.8	3.7	1.7	0.6	16.3
PLUMAS	72.3	2.1	15.5	3.4	0.4	6.3
RIVERSIDE	57.1	1.4	1.8	3.1	1.4	35.3
SACRAMENTO	66.5	2.7	7.4	7.2	0.8	15.4
SAN BENITO	84.0	2.0	10.4	0.8	1.0	1.8
SAN BERNARDINO	37.6	1.2	5.0	2.6	3.1	50.3
SAN DIEGO	75.6	0.5	5.6	1.7	1.6	15.0
SAN FRANCISCO	63.9	0.7	13.3	4.4	0.5	17.3
SAN JOAQUIN	70.8	1.8	7.2	2.0	2.6	15.6
SAN LUIS OBISPO	67.1	1.0	19.5	1.5	5.6	5.3
SAN MATEO	77.2	0.9	11.1	0.6	1.5	8.7
SANTA BARBARA	75.8	1.2	14.7	1.8	1.6	4.9
SANTA CLARA	69.1	3.5	7.6	1.3	1.6	16.9
SANTA CRUZ	69.1	1.0	6.1	2.4	1.2	20.2
SHASTA	72.4	3.7	11.8	1.3	1.2	9.7
SIERRA	51.2	2.3	4.7	4.7	2.3	34.9
SISKIYOU	64.6	3.1	11.0	2.4	1.3	17.6
SOLANO	73.5	2.2	12.3	0.8	1.5	9.8
SONOMA	69.3	4.0	14.3	1.0	1.2	10.1
STANISLAUS	72.8	2.0	10.8	0.8	1.0	12.7
SUTTER	41.8	2.1	8.2	0.2	0.3	47.3
TEHAMA	81.4	1.1	8.1	0.9	1.7	6.9
TRINITY	44.6	0.6	9.6	0.0	2.8	42.4
TULARE	67.8	1.6	2.5	0.5	0.7	26.8
TUOLUMNE	82.8	2.9	8.7	0.8	1.6	3.2
VENTURA	83.3	0.8	0.0	0.0	1.4	14.5
YOLO	35.2	1.1	10.1	1.7	0.4	51.5
YUBA	73.4	2.5	15.4	2.1	1.2	5.3

<sup>1</sup>The percentages total to 100 by row (county).<sup>2</sup>The calculation of the conviction rates was based on total arrests including federal DUI arrests (Yosemite National Park) not reported in the DOJ MACR system.

TABLE 9a: 1996 REPORTED\* BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION  
(BAC) LEVELS OF DUI CONVICTIONS

DUI CONVICTIONS			ALCOHOL-RECKLESS CONVICTIONS		
BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT	BAC LEVEL	FREQUENCY	PERCENT
.01	45	0.0	.01	4	0.0
.02	40	0.0	.02	6	0.1
.03	40	0.0	.03	8	0.1
.04	48	0.1	.04	16	0.2
.05	71	0.1	.05	53	0.5
.06	120	0.1	.06	125	1.2
.07	245	0.3	.07	441	4.2
.08	1304	1.4	.08	2325	22.2
.09	2495	2.6	.09	3085	29.4
.10	4957	5.2	.10	2135	20.4
.11	6662	7.0	.11	982	9.4
.12	7548	7.9	.12	501	4.8
.13	7771	8.1	.13	216	2.1
.14	7822	8.2	.14	147	1.4
.15	7808	8.1	.15	98	0.9
.16	7240	7.6	.16	88	0.8
.17	6611	6.9	.17	62	0.6
.18	6016	6.3	.18	46	0.4
.19	5263	5.5	.19	37	0.4
.20	4803	5.0	.20	27	0.3
.21	4062	4.2	.21	23	0.2
.22	3259	3.4	.22	11	0.1
.23	2685	2.8	.23	13	0.1
.24	2154	2.2	.24	6	0.1
.25	1625	1.7	.25	4	0.0
.26	1250	1.3	.26	4	0.0
.27	940	1.0	.27	3	0.0
.28	707	0.7	.28	5	0.0
.29	490	0.5	.29	1	0.0
.30	444	0.5	.30	2	0.0
.31	341	0.4	.31	4	0.0
.32	275	0.3	.32	1	0.0
.33	180	0.2	.36+	1	0.0
.34	129	0.1			
.35	131	0.1			
.36	64	0.1			
.37	52	0.1			
.38	38	0.0			
.39	33	0.0			
.40	21	0.0			
.41	15	0.0			
.42	12	0.0			
.43	3	0.0			
.44	4	0.0			
.45	5	0.0			
.46	2	0.0			
.48	1	0.0			
.49+	3	0.0			
TOTAL	95834	100.0	TOTAL	10480	100.0
	MEAN BAC .164			MEAN BAC .097	

\*The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form for convicted DUI offenders, rather than the abstract of conviction for those offenders, which was the data source in prior reports. This change in data source was made because of the more complete BAC reporting on APS forms (68% of total) versus court abstracts (with only 49% showing BAC levels).

\*The source of BAC data is the APS reporting form for arrested DUI offenders. The proportion of BAC levels found for 1996 PAS cases is 30.0%.

---



### SECTION 3: POSTCONVICTION SANCTIONS

Data on court sanctions assigned to convicted DUI offenders were taken from DUI abstracts of conviction for offenders arrested in 1996. Also included are counts of postconviction DMV license actions for selected offender groups, while total counts of all license actions, including administrative per se (APS) license suspensions and revocations, are shown in the Administrative Actions Section. APS actions (effective July 1990) are initiated by law enforcement immediately upon arrest for DUI, and are administered independent of the criminal adjudication process. This section includes the following tables:

Table 11: 1996 DUI Court Sanctions by DUI Offender Status. This table shows the frequency of specific court sanctions statewide by number of prior DUI convictions. The specific court sanctions tallied include percentages of probation, jail, alcohol treatment programs (first offender, SB 38 second offender, and 30-month third offender programs), license restriction, court suspension, and ignition interlock. Crosstabulations of sanctions by county, court, and number of prior convictions appear in Appendix Table B4.

Table 12: 1996 DUI Sanction Combinations by County - First Offenders. This table displays the frequency of commonly assigned court sanction combinations (such as first offender alcohol program plus license restriction) by county for first DUI offenders. License suspensions include both court and DMV postconviction (non-APS) suspensions. The sanction combination groups portrayed in this table, as well as Table 13, were defined according to the conventions described in the "Evaluation Methods and Results" portion of Section 4: "Postconviction Sanction Effectiveness."

Table 13: 1996 DUI Sanction Combinations by County - Repeat Offenders. This table shows the frequency of commonly assigned court sanction combinations by county for second, third, and fourth (or subsequent) DUI offenders. License actions include both court and DMV postconviction (non-APS) license suspensions and revocations.

From the data in these and the Appendix tables, it is evident that the use of alternative sanctions continued to vary widely by county, court, and offender status in 1996. For example:

#### Statewide Parameters:

- The most frequently applied court sanction among all convicted DUI offenders was probation (96.5%), while the least frequently used court sanction was court license suspension (5.4%). DUI offenders were sentenced to jail in 76.5% of the cases. (However, in many jurisdictions, jail is often served as community service rather than actual jail time.)

Figure 6 (below) graphically displays the statewide data from Table 11 showing the frequency of specific types of court-ordered sanctions among all convicted DUI offenders. Because virtually all offenders receive more than one type of sanction, the cumulative percentage adds to more than 100%.




Figure 6 . Frequency of court-ordered DUI sanctions (1996).

#### County Variation:

- The proportion of first DUI offenders sentenced to jail varied by county from less than 10% in Marin County to almost 100% in Amador, Calaveras, Del Norte, Lassen, and Sutter counties.

- Counties such as Amador, Calaveras, Lake, Napa, San Luis Obispo, Sutter, Trinity, and Tuolumne preferred to assign first offenders to treatment program and jail (over 85%) rather than treatment program and license restriction (less than 3%). Humboldt, Inyo, Marin, Orange, Plumas, San Bernardino, and Tehama counties assigned treatment program and jail to less than 6% of their first offenders. Alpine, Inyo, and Orange counties assigned treatment program and license restriction to over 80% of first offenders.
- Counties departing from using typical first offender sanction combinations were Humboldt, Marin, Mariposa, and San Bernardino, as shown by relatively high percentages (over 10%) in the "other" category. ("Other" includes license restriction without treatment program assignment, probation only, and other unofficial nonstatutory sanction combinations.)

#### Court Variation:

- Statewide, there can be extreme variation by court in the use of available sanctions for DUI offenders. In Lake County alone, one court (Clearlake) assigned jail to every convicted first DUI offender (n = 130), while another court (Lakeport) in the same county did not assign a single first offender to jail (n = 186).
- In Los Angeles County, three municipal courts (Burbank, Compton, and Lancaster) used jail as a sanction in 95% or more of their DUI sentences. On the other hand, two other courts (Malibu and Culver City) used jail as a sanction in less than a third of their DUI sentences.
- In 1996, Los Angeles was the only county with an active 30-month third offender treatment program. Even within the county, however, assignment of third offenders to this program modality varied by court from 27.3% of third offenders sentenced in the Malibu court to 0% of such offenders in the Beverly Hills, Burbank, Culver City, Hollywood, Monrovia, and Southgate municipal courts.
- Although courts required only about 18% of repeat offenders to install the ignition interlock device statewide, the Santa Ana court required over three-fourths of such offenders to use interlock.

Variation by Offender Status:

- Less than 70% of 1996 first DUI offenders were sentenced to jail, compared to over 90% of all repeat offenders.
- 86% of first DUI offenders were assigned to alcohol treatment programs, along with 81% of second offenders, 44% of third offenders, and 19% of fourth or more DUI offenders. (By statute, however, all offenders must eventually complete specified alcohol treatment programs in order to be eligible for license reinstatement.)
- 9.0% of first DUI offenders and 23.0% of second DUI offenders received DMV or court license suspensions after adjudication. Since July 1990, all DUI offenders with BAC levels of 0.08% or more are also subject to 30 days to 1-year administrative license suspension/revocation under the APS law.
- Only 18% of repeat DUI offenders were assigned ignition interlock in 1996, in spite of the mandatory interlock law for all repeat offenders (AB 2851 - Freidman), which took effect on July 1, 1993. This law was repealed in 1998, and a new ignition interlock law and program enacted (AB 762 - Torlakson) which established mandatory interlock for DUI suspension/revocation violators, while providing incentives for repeat offenders to reinstate early with interlock.

TABLE 11: 1996 DUI COURT SANCTIONS BY DUI OFFENDER STATUS\*

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROGRAM	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROGRAM	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE	141692	96.5	76.5	61.2	20.0	0.1	45.3	5.4	5.6
1ST DUI	99412	97.8	69.5	84.1	2.1	0.0	39.7	4.4	0.4
2ND DUI	31380	96.9	93.3	8.9	71.7	0.1	70.2	6.7	20.2
3RD DUI	8127	92.1	90.6	3.4	40.2	1.4	30.0	12.6	14.0
4TH+ DUI	2773	59.9	93.9	2.0	16.6	0.5	9.0	8.8	4.8

\*Entries represent percentages of 1996 DUI convictees receiving each sanction by offender status. Sanctions within each offender status group (row) are not independent; therefore, row percentages always add to more than 100%. Percentages of sanctions by county and court appear in Appendix Table B4.

TABLE 12: 1996 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - FIRST OFFENDERS

COUNTY	TOTAL (100%)	DMV OR COURT SUSPENSION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG + JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG + RESTRICTION	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG + RESTRICTION*	OTHER
	N	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE	99412	9.0	4.6	45.4	35.1	3.2	2.6
ALAMEDA	3025	11.1	4.1	79.0	2.2	3.2	0.4
ALPINE	23	4.3	4.3	8.7	82.6	0.0	0.0
AMADOR	108	11.1	1.9	85.2	1.9	0.0	0.0
BUTTE	624	15.5	11.7	67.5	1.6	3.0	0.6
CALAVERAS	130	6.2	3.1	90.0	0.0	0.8	0.0
COLUSA	160	13.1	11.3	73.8	1.9	0.0	0.0
CONTRA COSTA	2196	8.1	13.0	74.9	1.5	2.0	0.5
DEL NORTE	143	9.1	6.3	71.3	4.2	9.1	0.0
EL DORADO	592	11.1	6.9	78.5	1.7	1.7	0.0
FRESNO	2671	13.8	5.1	53.8	23.8	2.0	1.5
GLENN	177	6.2	3.4	78.0	9.0	2.3	1.1
HUMBOLDT	490	4.7	1.4	0.0	24.1	5.3	64.5
IMPERIAL	654	0.5	4.6	30.6	54.7	1.8	7.8
INYO	117	7.7	0.0	4.3	83.8	3.4	0.9
KERN	2605	6.3	23.5	65.9	1.3	0.7	2.3
KINGS	661	26.9	7.1	64.8	0.6	0.5	0.2
LAKE	317	6.0	4.7	87.4	0.0	1.6	0.3
LASSEN	112	8.9	7.1	35.7	15.2	31.3	1.8
LOS ANGELES	25692	7.0	1.8	16.0	71.1	1.2	2.8
MADERA	431	9.3	3.7	75.2	6.7	4.4	0.7
MARIN	967	8.9	0.2	1.7	73.8	0.6	14.8
MARIPOSA	59	1.7	13.6	22.0	37.3	3.4	22.0
MENDOCINO	518	14.1	8.1	57.3	16.0	3.5	1.0
MERCED	752	4.8	16.0	68.5	3.9	5.2	1.7
MODOC	32	15.6	6.3	62.5	15.6	0.0	0.0
MONO	95	7.4	5.3	67.4	9.5	2.1	8.4
MONTEREY	1833	21.3	5.4	70.4	1.8	0.6	0.5
NAPA	617	4.5	2.6	89.3	1.1	1.3	1.1
NEVADA	357	4.8	2.8	70.6	17.4	3.1	1.4
ORANGE	9521	6.3	1.0	5.7	81.7	2.4	2.9
PLACER	950	7.3	2.2	71.7	12.2	5.2	1.5
PLUMAS	123	6.5	5.7	5.7	73.2	3.3	5.7
RIVERSIDE	3953	8.1	2.0	44.1	39.8	1.8	4.2
SACRAMENTO	3520	9.8	4.0	81.9	1.8	1.5	1.0
SAN BENITO	216	28.7	9.7	56.5	2.3	1.4	1.4
SAN BERNARDINO	2881	13.7	20.0	2.8	4.9	48.3**	10.4
SAN DIEGO	8695	5.9	4.7	50.5	34.6	3.0	1.3
SAN FRANCISCO	748	4.3	0.9	85.0	8.0	1.6	0.1
SAN JOAQUIN	1940	9.3	6.7	81.4	0.9	1.3	0.4
SAN LUIS OBISPO	1035	5.5	4.7	87.2	1.4	0.6	0.5
SAN MATEO	2128	6.6	2.8	88.0	0.5	1.6	0.6
SANTA BARBARA	1666	15.6	3.4	19.7	56.3	0.5	4.5
SANTA CLARA	4638	19.1	2.2	72.6	3.4	1.8	0.9
SANTA CRUZ	1241	12.2	2.5	78.7	4.1	1.2	1.3
SHASTA	556	9.9	2.0	83.3	3.1	1.6	0.2
SIERRA	19	5.3	0.0	84.2	10.5	0.0	0.0
SISKIYOU	183	10.4	12.6	72.1	1.1	2.7	1.1
SOLANO	898	9.0	3.7	78.4	5.9	2.6	0.4
SONOMA	1487	9.6	5.1	82.3	1.3	0.4	1.2
STANISLAUS	1341	7.2	4.5	83.4	1.2	3.0	0.7
SUTTER	284	8.5	3.5	86.3	1.4	0.4	0.0
TEHAMA	268	21.3	50.4	3.4	19.4	0.4	5.2
TRINITY	45	4.4	0.0	91.1	2.2	2.2	0.0
TULARE	1696	8.8	7.2	78.4	1.8	3.0	0.8
TUOLUMNE	208	8.7	1.9	88.5	1.0	0.0	0.0
VENTURA	2415	9.7	3.4	84.3	0.6	1.4	0.5
YOLO	326	8.0	6.4	71.5	5.5	5.8	2.8
YUBA	273	13.2	1.1	84.2	0.7	0.4	0.4

Note: The vast majority of convicted DUI offenders also receive fine and probation.

\*Includes referral to alcohol clinics and 30-month programs.

\*\*The majority of these are referrals to alcohol clinics.

TABLE 13: 1996 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - REPEAT OFFENDERS

COUNTY	TOTAL REPEAT DUI N	2ND OFFENDERS				3RD OFFENDERS				4TH+ OFFENDERS			
		LICENSE SUSPENSION	SR 38 PROGRAM + RESTRICTION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%	LICENSE REVOCATION + JAIL	ALCOHOL* PROGRAM + REVOCATION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%	LICENSE REVOCATION + JAIL	ALCOHOL PROGRAM + REVOCATION	OTHER	TOTAL 100%
		%	%	%	N	%	%	%	N	%	%	%	N
STATEWIDE	42280	23.0	40.7	36.3	31380	56.1	38.2	5.7	8127	79.1	15.3	5.6	2773
ALAMEDA	1281	12.9	53.0	34.1	940	40.1	54.7	5.2	232	67.9	21.1	11.0	109
ALPINE	10	42.9	28.6	28.6	7	0.0	100.0	0.0	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	1
AMADOR	61	9.8	17.1	73.2	41	30.8	61.5	7.7	13	85.7	14.3	0.0	7
BUTTE	299	33.6	37.7	28.6	220	65.5	23.6	10.9	55	75.0	20.8	4.2	24
CALAVERAS	65	18.4	55.1	26.5	49	36.4	63.6	0.0	11	20.0	0.0	80.0	5
COLUSA	72	36.5	25.0	38.5	52	76.9	23.1	0.0	13	28.6	42.9	28.6	7
CONTRA COSTA	907	13.7	48.1	38.2	636	59.6	34.7	5.7	193	60.3	39.7	0.0	78
DEL NORTE	66	17.4	21.7	60.9	46	31.3	56.3	12.5	16	50.0	50.0	0.0	4
EL DORADO	308	18.0	40.5	41.4	222	53.8	40.0	6.2	65	57.1	38.1	4.8	21
FRESNO	1404	22.7	41.7	35.6	1020	54.2	37.8	8.0	286	82.7	10.2	7.1	98
GLENN	84	40.0	43.3	16.7	60	73.3	20.0	6.7	15	88.9	11.1	0.0	9
HUMBOLDT	204	54.5	15.4	30.1	143	66.0	29.8	4.3	47	85.7	14.3	0.0	14
IMPERIAL	179	34.7	26.5	38.8	147	37.9	55.2	6.9	29	33.3	66.7	0.0	3
INYO	56	17.9	46.2	35.9	39	66.7	33.3	0.0	15	50.0	0.0	50.0	2
KERN	1298	67.6	5.1	27.2	933	90.4	5.1	4.4	272	92.5	4.3	3.2	93
KINGS	317	41.6	34.1	24.3	226	75.0	19.1	5.9	68	91.3	4.3	4.3	23
LAKE	184	17.8	36.4	45.7	129	72.1	18.6	9.3	43	75.0	0.0	25.0	12
LASSEN	62	23.7	39.5	36.8	38	54.5	40.9	4.5	22	100.0	0.0	0.0	2
LOS ANGELES	9710	18.5	46.1	35.4	7433	51.7	39.6	8.8	1719	83.0	9.9	7.2	558
MADERA	216	29.2	31.4	39.4	137	77.8	22.2	0.0	63	100.0	0.0	0.0	16
MARIN	334	14.7	64.9	20.3	251	64.2	28.4	7.5	67	75.0	25.0	0.0	16
MARIPOSA	33	52.0	28.0	20.0	25	80.0	20.0	0.0	5	100.0	0.0	0.0	3
MENDOCINO	269	23.4	42.9	33.7	184	39.4	56.1	4.5	66	73.0	21.1	5.3	19
MERCED	391	21.5	42.3	36.1	274	53.9	37.1	9.0	89	82.1	14.3	3.6	28
MODOC	14	41.7	25.0	33.3	12	0.0	100.0	0.0	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
MONO	41	24.2	45.5	30.3	33	62.5	37.5	0.0	8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
MONTEREY	889	64.2	6.2	29.6	631	84.4	13.1	2.5	199	93.2	3.4	3.4	59
NAPA	313	13.3	56.0	30.7	218	43.6	48.7	7.7	78	70.6	29.4	0.0	17
NEVADA	170	22.9	40.5	36.6	131	31.0	62.1	6.9	29	80.0	10.0	10.0	10

Note: The vast majority of convicted offenders also receive fine and probation.

\*Includes referral to alcohol clinics and 30-month programs.

TABLE 13: 1996 DUI SANCTION COMBINATIONS BY COUNTY - REPEAT OFFENDERS - continued

COUNTY	TOTAL REPEAT DUI N	2ND OFFENDERS			3RD OFFENDERS			4TH+ OFFENDERS					
		LICENSE SUSPENSION %	SB 38 PROGRAM + RESTRICTION %	OTHER %	TOTAL 100% N	LICENSE REVOCATION + JAIL %	ALCOHOL* PROGRAM + REVOCATION %	OTHER %	TOTAL 100% N	LICENSE REVOCATION + JAIL %	ALCOHOL PROGRAM + REVOCATION %	OTHER %	TOTAL 100% N
ORANGE	3380	10.6	51.1	38.3	2689	24.4	71.8	3.9	542	69.1	25.5	5.4	149
PLACER	383	16.3	49.3	34.4	276	37.2	54.7	8.1	86	42.9	52.4	4.8	21
PLUMAS	54	25.6	51.2	23.3	43	30.0	60.0	10.0	10	0.0	100.0	0.0	1
RIVERSIDE	1547	24.6	26.1	49.3	1159	64.8	31.5	3.7	273	73.0	18.3	8.7	115
SACRAMENTO	1749	28.9	46.4	24.7	1177	71.1	24.6	4.2	402	85.3	13.5	1.2	170
SAN BENITO	123	43.6	35.1	21.3	94	88.9	0.0	11.1	18	81.8	0.0	18.2	11
SAN BERNARDINO	1545	18.2	36.7	45.1	1136	58.8	33.4	7.7	311	83.7	10.2	6.1	98
SAN DIEGO	3113	19.4	40.6	40.0	2461	50.1	45.1	4.8	523	68.2	21.7	10.1	129
SAN FRANCISCO	213	21.7	35.0	43.3	180	30.8	61.5	7.7	26	100.0	0.0	0.0	7
SAN JOAQUIN	1050	20.6	40.8	38.6	733	74.0	21.9	4.1	219	82.7	15.3	2.0	98
SAN LUIS OBISPO	500	12.7	57.3	30.0	363	30.7	65.9	3.4	88	85.7	12.2	2.0	49
SAN MATEO	828	8.7	33.9	57.5	623	21.9	74.0	4.1	146	71.2	27.1	1.7	59
SANTA BARBARA	742	49.4	6.5	44.1	555	44.5	54.1	1.4	146	85.4	12.2	2.4	41
SANTA CLARA	2462	27.7	50.1	22.2	1778	83.7	13.1	3.3	520	76.2	18.9	4.9	164
SANTA CRUZ	585	35.2	38.6	26.3	415	89.9	5.9	4.2	119	100.0	0.0	0.0	51
SHASTA	295	13.3	61.5	25.1	195	46.7	49.3	4.0	75	88.0	12.0	0.0	25
SIERRA	4	50.0	25.0	25.0	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
SISKIYOU	75	28.3	28.3	43.3	60	20.0	80.0	0.0	10	100.0	0.0	0.0	5
SOLANO	460	16.8	15.6	67.6	352	39.0	57.1	3.9	77	71.0	19.4	9.7	31
SONOMA	766	36.6	33.4	30.0	527	82.5	11.0	6.5	154	96.5	2.4	1.2	85
STANISLAUS	722	14.4	40.2	45.4	485	18.8	76.5	4.7	170	64.2	26.9	9.0	67
SUTTER	127	19.4	34.4	46.2	93	86.2	10.3	3.4	29	100.0	0.0	0.0	5
TEHAMA	117	23.9	37.5	38.6	88	80.0	12.0	8.0	25	100.0	0.0	0.0	4
TRINITY	35	8.3	37.5	54.2	24	28.6	71.4	0.0	7	75.0	25.0	0.0	4
TULARE	889	31.0	20.9	48.2	623	46.3	47.3	6.4	188	78.2	15.4	6.4	78
TUOLUMNE	116	15.5	56.0	28.6	84	90.9	4.5	4.5	22	70.0	30.0	0.0	10
VENTURA	833	16.0	51.0	33.0	667	41.7	53.2	5.0	139	85.2	7.4	7.4	27
YOLO	214	17.4	55.1	27.5	138	69.1	25.5	5.5	55	61.9	23.8	14.3	21
YUBA	116	34.6	34.6	30.9	81	97.0	8.0	0.0	25	100.0	0.0	0.0	10

## SECTION 4: POSTCONVICTION SANCTION EFFECTIVENESS

This section presents and describes the results of an evaluation assessing the effectiveness of court and administrative sanctions applied to first and second DUI offenders over a time period of seven years.<sup>1</sup> The effectiveness of alternative sanctions for second offenders is evaluated in terms of postconviction driving record as measured by: 1) total accidents and 2) DUI incidents, including alcohol-involved accidents, major convictions (primarily DUI, also reckless driving and hit-and-run), APS (0.08% BAC or chemical test refusal) suspensions and DUI failure-to-appear notices (FTA). Displayed below in Figures 7 and 8 are proportions of DUI recidivist incidents over time from 1990 through 1996; these proportions were derived from the sanction analyses for first and second offenders (grouped by sanction assignment) from previous DUI-MIS annual reports and are based on follow-up time periods of one year. The reoffense rates of the 1989 offenders were not included in these figures because their postconviction driving records were not comparable to those of subsequent years, given the significant impact of the implementation of the APS suspension law in 1990. There are typically three variants of first offender DUI alcohol education/treatment program sanctions, and these were collapsed together into a single sanction group for ease of viewing and interpretation.

Figures 9 and 10 show the proportion of accident- or DUI incident-involved second offenders for 1994 and 1996, with follow-up time periods of 3 years and 1 year, respectively. The evaluation of first offenders for these years was not reported because, beginning in 1995, statutory requirements for license reinstatement became homogenous for all first offenders: SB 1295 (1/1/95) mandates all first offenders to attend alcohol treatment programs in order to reinstate their driving privilege, and, since 1990, all offenders are suspended upon DUI arrest under the administrative per se license suspension law (APS). However, the evaluation for second DUI offenders is reported because the ignition interlock sanction is not imposed on all second offenders, and its assessment may contribute to clarifying and modifying current sanctioning policy. The figures are followed by a narrative description of the evaluation design, subject selection, data collection, analytical procedures, and evaluation results. In addition, results of tracking the license reinstatement status of a sample of 1993 suspended offenders are reported and compared to a 1976 sample group. The reader is cautioned that license suspension (as assessed in this study) refers to postconviction

---

<sup>1</sup> Third or more offenders were not included because a previous study (Tashima & Marelich, 1989) indicated serious confounding due to group differences on prior interventions. In addition, sanctions for third and subsequent offenders do not vary much, due to the statutorily prescribed sanction requirements.



suspensions only, and does not include preconviction administrative per se license suspensions (which are applied to all offender groups).

Based on the data represented in Figures 7 and 8, the following conclusions can be drawn about first and second offender sanctions from 1990 to 1996:

- One-year recidivism rates for all first offender sanction groups declined noticeably from 1990 to 1996, with reductions in DUI reoffenses of 54.8% for the suspended group, 49.3% for the jail group, and 44.1% for the combined first offender DUI treatment groups.
- A similar decline is evident in the one-year reoffense rates for the second offender sanction groups with recidivism decreasing (from 1990 to 1996) by 47.6% for the SB 38/license restriction group, 45.9% for the suspended group, and 46.9% for the “other” group.
- The relationship between type of sanction and subsequent reoffense rate has remained relatively constant for first offenders since 1990, with the DUI treatment and license suspension groups exhibiting the lowest reoffense rates and the jail sanction group accumulating significantly higher rates than the other two.





Figure 7 . Adjusted proportions of first DUI offenders reoffending within one-year after conviction, by type of sanction (arrested in 1990-1996).



**Figure 8 .** Adjusted proportions of second DUI offenders reoffending within one-year after conviction, by type of sanction (arrested in 1990-1996).

Based on the data represented in Figures 9 and 10, the following conclusions can be drawn about second offender sanctions:

- Consistent with six previous DUI-MIS reports, but contrary to earlier California studies, including the first annual DUI-MIS report (1989 offenders), second offenders suspended in 1996 do not have significantly lower total accident rates than do those offenders assigned to SB 38 treatment programs during the first year following suspension or SB 38 assignment. This finding is likely due to the implementation of administrative per se (APS) license suspensions beginning in July, 1990, whereby all second offenders are suspended for one year. However, for the longer 3-year follow-up period, the 1994 suspended group had significantly lower total accident rates than those of all other second offenders.
- In 1994 and 1996, second offenders who were suspended had a significantly higher proportion of DUI incidents in the subsequent 3-year and 1-year periods than did those who received the SB 38 and license restriction sanction. The respective percentage increases associated with the license suspension group for the two years (1994 and 1996) were 27.1% and 48.3%, respectively.




Figure 9 . Adjusted 3-year accident and DUI incident rates of 1994 second offenders by type of sanction.




Figure 10 . Adjusted 1-year accident and DUI incident rates of 1996 second offenders by type of sanction.

- In contrast to previous evaluations, the SB 38 program/restriction plus ignition interlock sanction group had a significantly lower 1-year subsequent DUI incident rate than the other 1996 second offender groups, including the SB 38 group without interlock.

Based on the data presented in Table 16, the following conclusion can be made about the reinstatement status of 1993 first and second offenders:

- The tracking of driver license reinstatement status for 1993 DUI offenders receiving a postconviction license suspension or revocation showed the following results:

within three years following the end of their license suspension period, 34.7% of 1993 first offenders (sample) had their licenses reinstated, while only 16.4% of 1993 second offenders (sample) had their driving privilege restored.

## EVALUATION METHODS AND RESULTS

### Subject Selection and Data Collection

Convicted DUI offenders were identified from monthly abstract update tapes which contain all DUI conviction data reported to DMV by the courts. In the present study, follow-up data for first and second offenders were compiled from six previous and current DUI-MIS evaluations. Additional follow-up data for two sets of second offenders were evaluated for sanction effectiveness:

- 1) A 3-year follow-up period for convicted 1994 second offenders who were previously evaluated in the 1997 DUI-MIS report.
- 2) A 1-year follow-up period for convicted DUI second offenders who were arrested for DUI in 1996.

For each year's annual DUI-MIS report, an additional year of DUI data is added to the sanction analyses. In order to simplify the analyses and reporting of results, the 1989 through 1993 and 1995 DUI offenders were not included in this year's evaluation (except for license reinstatement follow-up on 1993 suspended first and second offenders).

The conviction date in all cases was considered to be the "treatment date" for defining prior and subsequent driving record data, because the penalties and sanctions for the DUI conviction are typically effective as of that date.

Since DUI penalties and sanctions are enhanced as a function of the number of prior DUI and alcohol-related reckless driving convictions within the previous seven years, subjects were selected based on the number of such convictions within the seven years prior to their entry DUI arrest. For this year's report and all previous DUI-MIS reports, subjects selected for evaluation were: 1) first DUI offenders—drivers who had no DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving convictions within the previous seven years, and 2) second DUI offenders—drivers who had one DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving conviction within the previous seven years. DUI offenders with felony convictions and chemical test refusal suspensions were not included because their license control penalties are more severe than those of the other second offender groups. Also

excluded were drivers who did not have a full one-year subsequent time period because of late conviction dates, drivers with "X" license numbers (no California license number could be found), and drivers with out-of-state ZIP Codes. Altogether, the excluded cases represented 21.3% of the original convicted offender file.

Court sanctions are reported to, and recorded by, DMV in the form of disposition codes on the abstract of conviction. A convicted DUI offender, especially a first offender, might receive any one of many combinations of individual sanctions, including jail, fine, license restriction or suspension, alcohol treatment program, or probation. Therefore, in defining postconviction sanction combination groups for the purpose of all previous and current analyses, the following conventions were used for first offenders:

- 1) if suspension (non-APS) was one of the sanctions imposed by DMV or the court, then the offender was included in the suspension group;
- 2) if suspension (non-APS) was not imposed, but the offender was assigned to an alcohol treatment program, then the offender was included in one of the treatment groups, according to the type of treatment program (first offender or SB 38) and whether they were also sentenced to license restriction or jail; and
- 3) if neither suspension nor treatment was imposed, but the offender was sentenced to jail, then the offender was included in the jail-only group.

Fine and probation are generally imposed on most DUI offenders (except that probation is not usually granted to court-suspended first offenders), and for that reason are not included as sanctions evaluated in this report. Also, since July, 1990, virtually all DUI offenders have had their licenses administratively suspended upon DUI arrest.

It should be noted that the definition of the sanction combination groups was not an arbitrary analytical convention, but rather a reflection of the most common naturally occurring sanction combinations assigned by the courts. Based on the above taxonomy, the following five first-offender sanction combination groups were evaluated separately in prior reports: 1) license suspension, 2) jail, 3) first offender treatment program plus jail, 4) first offender treatment program plus license restriction, and 5) SB 38 (second offender) treatment program plus license restriction (some courts assign this sanction to a small number of first offenders). For the 1990-1996 overview analysis presented in this year's report, the three treatment program groups were combined into one group. However, when compared individually, the subsequent driving records of the groups exhibited a very similar pattern as was evident in prior DUI-MIS reports.

A similar convention was used for grouping second offenders with various sanction combinations; the groups used in this analysis are: 1) license suspension, 2) SB 38 treatment program plus license restriction, 3) a group of 1994 and 1996 second offenders ("other") who did not meet the selection criteria of groups 1 or 2, and 4) a fourth group of 1994 and 1996 second offenders who were ordered to install an ignition interlock device in their vehicles as mandated by AB 2851 (implemented July, 1993, but effectively abolished by AB 762, effective July, 1999). This device requires that the offender blow into it prior to starting the vehicle, which will not start if he/she has a BAC above a specified level. This group was identified by certain vehicle code designations on their abstract of conviction. In examining these abstract disposition codes, it was found that 89% of interlock cases were also referred to SB 38 treatment programs (along with license restrictions), while 38% had their licenses suspended (non-APS); of those that were suspended, 72% were assigned to SB 38 treatment programs. All second offenders who were assigned to install interlock are included in this evaluation, irrespective of other sanctions and regardless of actual installation. This is reflective of the "real world" conditions under which interlock is assigned which is an integral part of the total impact of this sanction.<sup>2</sup>

The group designated as "other" represent offenders who were originally referred to an SB 38 treatment program, but were suspended as well, either by intent (court sentence to both treatment program and suspension), or omission (court misreporting of disposition codes and/or the offender's lack of compliance with required procedures, such as failure to provide proof of insurance, program enrollment, pay fees, etc.). Even if the courts amend the abstracts of conviction, the offenders still need to meet the insurance and program enrollment requirements. The final sanctions ultimately received by this group are unclear, which makes interpretation difficult. This difficulty is further exacerbated by strong self-selection biases, such as inability or unwillingness to obtain insurance.

Prior driver record data were extracted for the two years preceding an offender's DUI conviction date. Appendix Tables B5 and B6 list the prior driver record variables for the second offenders, which were used as covariates in the analyses. The evaluation period for the postconviction driving measures, starting from the conviction date, was three years for the 1994 drivers, and one year for the 1996 drivers. A buffer period of six

---

<sup>2</sup> It should be noted, however, that a 1993 policy directive from DMV to the courts originally requested that only offenders who had shown proof of installation be reported as assigned to interlock. To the extent that this directive was followed by the courts (and there is evidence that it was not), the present evaluation would be assessing only those cases where the device was actually installed. This DMV policy directive has since been corrected.

months was allowed between the end of the evaluation period and the data extraction date to allow for processing and reporting of the most recent data to DMV. DUI offenders who had less than the full follow-up time period (from conviction date to the buffer period) were excluded. The outcome driving record measures consisted of the proportion of offenders who were involved in: 1) total accidents and 2) DUI incidents (alcohol-involved accidents, major convictions, APS/refusal suspensions, or DUI failures to appear).

For tracking license reinstatement follow-up data, driver record printouts were obtained for 1.5% and 3% random samples of 1993 suspended (postconviction) first and second offenders, respectively. Comparisons of the follow-up data were made between the 1993 samples and the 1976 sample from Sadler and Perrine's (1984) license reinstatement investigation. The follow-up time period for all groups was 3 years after the end of the suspension period. Information on the methodology used to determine license reinstatement in Sadler and Perrine's study was not available, but in this study, the issuance dates following the suspension periods and type of issuance were examined for ascertaining reinstatement status.

#### Evaluation Design and Analytical Procedures

Logistic regression analysis was used to evaluate the differences in the proportion of accident- and DUI-incident-involved drivers in each sanction group at the end of the evaluation period. Only the first accident or DUI incident or "failure" was evaluated. This is not an important limitation with these data because the incidence of repeat failures (two or more accidents or DUI incidents) was very low over the study time window. More importantly, analysis of repeat failures would be subject to confounding by court sanctions received in connection with the first failure incident. This type of confounding is avoided because multiple incidents were not included in this analysis.

Since it was not possible to randomly assign drivers to the various sanction groups, potential biases due to preexisting group differences were statistically controlled by entering into the analyses as covariates biographical data, prior driving record data, and ZIP Code indices (accident and traffic conviction averages of each driver's ZIP Code area, and selected ZIP Code variables from the 1990 census data for the 1994 and 1996 drivers). (Tables B5 and B6 show significant group differences on most of these variables.) While this "quasi-experimental" design is subject to a number of limitations in assessing cause-effect relationships, the statistical control of group differences removes at least part of the bias in group assignment and provides a more precise estimate of the relationship between type of sanction and subsequent record. It is likely, of course, that the groups also differ on characteristics not measured by, or reflected in,

the covariates. The possibility of uncontrolled biases becomes particularly problematic if sanctions are commonly received by atypical offenders through self- or judicial-selectivity (e.g., drivers of higher socio-economic status might be more likely to receive program with restriction and less likely to receive jail than those of lower status).

In the 1994 second offender analyses for accidents and DUI incidents, one or two significant ( $p < .01$ ) covariate by sanction interactions were evident (statistical significance at  $p < x$  means that a differential effect between groups would occur by chance less than  $x\%$  of the time). These significant interactions indicated that the relationship between the covariates and the outcome measure varied across sanction groups, and therefore the covariates were included in the initial analyses for all three data sets to determine the magnitude of the interactions. In the 1994 analyses, where sanction differences were significant ( $p < .06$ ), the interaction effect was generally one-third or less than the main effect of sanction (chi squares were divided by their respective degrees of freedom to provide an approximate measure of effect size). Since the sanction main effect had substantially greater magnitude than the interaction effects, conclusions about sanction differences were based on analyses that did not include the interactions.

#### One-Year Recidivism Rates for First and Second Offenders, by Sanctions, from 1990 - 1996

The one-year subsequent DUI-incident reoffense rates for both first and second offender sanction groups were compiled from the six previous and current annual DUI-MIS evaluations and configured onto two separate graphs to display these rates over time. Figures 7 and 8 show the proportions of first and second offender sanction groups, respectively, arrested between 1990 and 1996 who reoffended within one year after conviction. As discussed earlier, the reoffense rates of these sanction groups were statistically adjusted for group differences related to available covariates. The DUI incidents include alcohol-involved accidents, major convictions (primarily DUI, reckless driving and hit-and-run), APS (0.08% BAC or chemical test refusal) suspensions and DUI failure-to-appear notices (FTA).

Figure 7 and Table 14 reveal a noticeable decline in the one-year recidivism rates for all of the first offender sanction groups from 1990 to 1996. This overall decline translates into a 54.8% reduction in recidivism for the suspension group, a 49.3% drop for the jail group, and a 44.1% decrease for the alcohol treatment group. Although the recidivism rates of the suspended and DUI program groups appear quite similar, the decline over time for the suspended group is much greater (54.8%) than that of the treatment group (44.1%). Also, in the earlier years, the combined DUI treatment group exhibited lower



reoffense rates than those of the suspended group, possibly due to the initial impact of APS suspensions on a group that previously avoided license suspension. However, midway in 1994, the rates of the two groups merge and the downward trend of both groups diminishes. (These two groups together comprise about 95% of first offenders.) The reoffense rate of the jail group also shows a much sharper decline in the earlier years; again this may reflect the more immediate impact of APS suspensions on a group which, before APS, had neither license actions nor treatment program referral. The more recent years continue to show that first offenders receiving jail perform more poorly than the other sanction groups. This could reflect the fact that jail (or community service) is less effective, but it is also likely that uncontrolled selection biases are operating.

TABLE 14: ONE-YEAR PROPORTIONS OF DUI-INCIDENT INVOLVED FIRST AND SECOND OFFENDERS, BY TYPE OF SANCTION, 1990-1996

YEAR	FIRST DUI OFFENDERS			SECOND DUI OFFENDERS			
	SUSPENDED	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER DUI PROGRAM	SUSPENDED	SB 38 RESTRICTED	IGNITION INTERLOCK	OTHER
1990	9.65	17.70	8.51	14.53	10.14	0	11.82
1991	8.20	14.39	6.48	11.53	7.89	0	9.68
1992	7.69	12.04	5.88	10.86	7.40	0	9.67
1993	6.40	10.03	5.50	10.48	6.62	5.95	8.62
1994	4.78	9.01	5.05	8.27	5.90	5.60	7.24
1995	5.70	10.21	5.31	9.34	5.90	5.78	6.84
1996	4.36	8.97	4.76	7.86	5.31	4.50	6.28
% DIFFERENCE 1990-1996	-54.8%	-49.3%	-44.1%	-45.9%	-47.6%	NA	-46.9%

A similar overall decline is evident in the one-year reoffense rates for the second offender groups as displayed in Figure 8, but the rate of decline is virtually the same for all three groups. Table 14 shows that, from 1990 to 1996, the reoffense rates decreased 47.6% for the SB 38 group, 45.9% for the suspended group, and 46.9% for the "other" group. Obviously, a rate change over the 1990 to 1996 time period is not available for the ignition interlock group since this sanction was not applied to second offenders until 1993; the reoffense rate for this group is slightly lower than that of the SB 38 group. The differences in rates between sanction groups remain relatively steady across the years, and, like the first offenders, may reflect uncontrolled self- or judicial-selection group differences. This is particularly likely for the ignition interlock group, given the cost of installing and maintaining the device. Previous DUI-MIS reports have suggested that, while many factors may be associated with the overall decline in DUI

incidents for both first and second offenders, the reduction is likely attributable to the implementation of APS suspensions in 1990. An evaluation (Rogers, 1997) of the California APS Law, in fact, documents recidivism reductions of up to 21.1% for first offenders and 19.5% for repeat offenders attributable to the law.

### Results of the Second Offender Sanction Evaluation

Total Accidents: Results of the 1996 analyses (see Figures 9 and 10, Tables 15a and 15b) were similar to those of the 1990 to 1993 one-year analyses (contained in the previous four DUI-MIS reports) in that significant differences were not evident among second offenders on accidents. It has been noted in previous reports that since license suspension has been consistently effective in reducing accident risk, it was likely that the lack of significant group differences in the one-year period was due to the immediate short-term positive effect of the imposition of one-year APS license suspensions on all second offenders. It was found in the previous four analyses and in this year's analysis that the accident rates of the SB 38 participants have continued to decline. Since the one-year follow-up period covers the time window when all second offenders are under APS suspension, it was reasoned that APS suspension would be expected to have a larger effect on the accident rates of previously nonsuspended SB 38 participants than it did on those who were suspended upon conviction. The data continue to support this interpretation.

In contrast to the 3-year follow-up analyses of the previous 2 reports, significant differences were evident among the 1994 second offenders. The accident rate of the suspended group was significantly lower than that of the other three groups, and the accident rate of the ignition interlock group was significantly lower than that of the "other" group. However, the accident rates between the ignition interlock and the SB 38 groups were not significantly different; this finding was similarly reported in previous 1- and 3-year analyses on accidents involving the ignition interlock group.

In order to increase the power of the statistical analysis for detecting the effects of the interlock sanction, an additional analysis was conducted in which the 1-year 1993, 1994, 1995, and 1996 second offender files were combined. Results from this analysis, which are shown in Tables 15a and 15b, are very similar to last year's analysis of 1993, 1994 and 1995 data. Differences in accident rates between sanctions were statistically significant ( $p = .035$ ). The rates of the SB 38 and ignition interlock groups were significantly lower than those of the suspension and "other" groups; however, the accident rates between the SB 38 and ignition interlock groups were not significantly different ( $p = .73$ ). In addition, the 3-year subsequent files of the 1993 and 1994 groups were combined and analyzed, but differences in accident rates were not significantly different.

TABLE 15a: SECOND OFFENDER SANCTION EFFECTS ON TOTAL ACCIDENTS  
AND DUI INCIDENTS BY YEAR

YEAR	SANCTION GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	NUMBER OF ACCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1} - \text{GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$	NUMBER OF DUI INCIDENT-INVOLVED, PER 100 DRIVERS	PERCENTAGE EFFECT (DIFFERENCE IN FAILURE RATES) $\frac{\text{GRP 1} - \text{GRP 2}}{\text{GRP 2}} \times 100$
<u>1994</u> (follow-up period = 3 years)	1) Suspension	(5,460)	7.29		19.24	
	2) SB 38 program & license restriction	(8,595)	9.32	-21.8%	15.14	27.1%
	3) SB 38 program/ restriction interlock	(4,338)	8.72		14.61	
	4) Other	(7,272)	9.83		17.40	
<u>1993-1994</u> (follow-up period = 3 years)	1) Suspension	(12,619)	8.49		20.20	
	2) SB 38 program & license restriction	(20,303)	9.43	-10.0%	15.63	29.2%
	3) SB 38 program/ restriction interlock	(6,140)	8.92		15.43	
	4) Other	(15,167)	9.87		18.00	
<u>1996</u> (follow-up period = 1 year)	1) Suspension	(4,623)	2.38		7.86	
	2) SB 38 program & license restriction	(7,810)	2.42	-1.7%	5.30	48.3%
	3) SB 38 program/ restriction interlock	(5,408)	2.56		4.50	
	4) Other	(7,300)	2.31		6.28	
<u>1993, 1994, 1995 &amp; 1996</u> (follow-up period = 1 year)	1) Suspension	(22,153)	3.17		9.04	
	2) SB 38 program & license restriction	(35,882)	2.85	11.2%	5.92	52.7%
	3) SB 38 program/ restriction interlock	(16,458)	2.79		5.61	
	4) Other	(29,956)	3.13		7.26	

TABLE 15b: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT RESULTS FOR SECOND OFFENDER SANCTION GROUPS BY OUTCOME MEASURES

YEAR GROUP	SECOND OFFENDER							
	TOTAL ACCIDENTS				DUI INCIDENTS			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
<u>1994</u> (3-year follow up)								
(1) Suspension	na	S1	S1	S1	na	S2	S3	S4
(2) SB 38 program & restriction		na	ns	ns		na	ns	S2
(3) SB 38 program & interlock			na	S3			na	S3
(4) Other				na				ns
<u>1993-1994</u> (3-year follow up)								
(1) Suspension	na	S1	ns	S1	na	S2	S3	S4
(2) SB 38 program & restriction		na	ns	ns		na	ns	S2
(3) SB 38 program & interlock			na	S3			na	S3
(4) Other				na				na
<u>1996</u> (1-year follow up)								
(1) Suspension	na	ns	ns	ns	na	S2	S3	S4
(2) SB 38 program & restriction		na	ns	ns		na	S3	S2
(3) SB 38 program & interlock			na	ns			na	S3
(4) Other				na				na
<u>1993, 1994, 1995 &amp; 1996</u> (1-yr follow up)								
(1) Suspension	na	S2	S3	ns	na	S2	S3	S4
(2) SB 38 program & restriction		na	ns	S2		na	ns	S2
(3) SB 38 program & interlock			na	S3			na	S3
(4) Other				na				na

**Note:** A significant ( $p < .03$  for 1st offenders and  $p < .06$  for 2nd offenders) difference between sanction groups relative to the proportion of accident-involved or DUI incident-involved drivers is represented by an "S." The group number with the "S" indicates the group with the better (lower) rate. A nonsignificant difference is indicated by "ns." "na" means not applicable. Blanks appear in the lower half of each matrix, since the halves are identical.

**DUI Incidents:** Figures 9 and 10 and Tables 15a and 15b show that, in both years, the suspended groups had significantly higher failure rates (by 27.1% and 48.3% for 1994 and 1996, respectively) than corresponding rates for the SB 38 program participants. The third group ("other") in the 1994 and 1996 analyses had failure rates midway between the suspended and SB 38 groups. Failure rates of all four groups in 1994 were significantly different from each other, except between the interlock and SB 38 groups. The 3-year recidivism rate of the interlock group was significantly lower than that of the suspension and "other" groups.

A notable finding in the 1996 analysis was that the 1-year recidivism rate of the interlock group was significantly ( $p = .04$ ) lower than that of all three groups. However,

the combined 1993-1996 1-year analyses and the 1993-1994 3-year analyses did not show significant differences in the recidivism rates ( $p = .15$  and  $p = .70$ , respectively) between the SB 38 and ignition interlock groups. Note that in all of the four 1-year analyses, both the SB 38 and ignition interlock groups had significantly lower reoffense rates than the suspended or the "other" groups.

In summary, findings from the 1996 second offender analyses were similar to previous post-APS one-year evaluations of second offenders in showing no evidence of significant differences between the sanction groups on subsequent accident rates. In contrast to the findings of the 3-year accident analyses in the last 2 reports, the accident rate of the 1994 suspended group was significantly lower than that of the other groups. The fact that both the 1- and 3-year accident rates are the lowest of all previous accident rates could reflect the ongoing impact of APS suspensions over time, since all second offenders since 1990 are suspended under APS for the duration of the one-year follow-up period.

The results on reoffense rates for second offenders continue to be consistent with the findings of prior studies on alcohol-related incidents, indicating that SB 38 programs with license restriction are associated with a reduction in subsequent DUI incidents over both follow-up periods.

#### Comparison of DUI Reoffenses and License Reinstatement Between 1975 and 1993 Sample DUI Offenders

One aspect of the DUI system that has not been investigated in previous DUI-MIS reports is the extent to which DUI offenders reinstate their licenses at the end of their postconviction suspension period. In order to determine the proportions of offenders who reinstated and reoffended, driver record printouts of two samples of 1993 suspended first and second offenders were reviewed and tallied; these results were then compared to findings reported by Sadler and Perrine (1984) in their investigation of license reinstatement on a sample of 1976 suspended second offenders.

Table 16 displays license reinstatement and reoffense proportions on three DUI suspended sample groups: second offenders from 1976 and 1993, and first offenders arrested in 1993. The length of the suspension period varies for the three groups from 12 months (1976), 18 months (1993 second offenders) and 6 months (or 1 year for under age 18) for the 1993 first offenders receiving a postconviction license action. The time period for tracking the reinstatement data was 3 years after the end of the suspension period for all three groups.

TABLE 16: PROPORTIONS OF DUI REOFFENSES AND LICENSE REINSTATEMENT FOR 1993 AND 1976 DUI OFFENDER SAMPLE GROUPS

	1993 SAMPLE SUSPENDED		1976 SAMPLE SUSPENDED
	1ST OFFENDERS (N = 84)	2ND OFFENDERS (N = 201)	2ND OFFENDERS (N = 800)
Suspension period	6 mo - 1 yr	18 mo	12 mo
Time period after end of suspension	3 yrs	3 yrs	3 yrs
Proportion reoffending during suspension period	14.3%	11.5%	Unknown
Proportion of eligible drivers (no DUI during suspension period) reoffending within 3 years after end of suspension period	11.1%	11.3%	10%
Proportion of eligible drivers (no DUI during suspension period) reinstating within 3 years after end of suspension period	34.7%	16.4%	50%
Proportion reinstated 3 years after end of suspension	12.5%	6.2%	Unknown

Although the proportion of DUI reoffenses during the suspension period for the 1976 group was not reported, the proportions for the 1993 first and second offender groups were 14.3% and 11.5%, respectively. Within the three years after the end of suspension, the three sample groups had very similar proportions of reoffenses during this period of time (11.1%, 11.3% and 10%). However, the proportions of eligible drivers who had their licenses reinstated were quite different for each of the sample groups; Sadler & Perrine reported that 50% of their eligible offenders were reinstated during the 3-year period, while only 16.4% of the 1993 second offenders were reinstated. A larger proportion, 34.7%, of eligible 1993 first offenders were reinstated, which might be expected, given that the feasibility of obtaining insurance is probably better with a single DUI offense than for two offenses. Nevertheless, the proportions of 1993 first and second offenders reinstating are quite low compared to the 1976 sample, particularly considering that in 1993, first and second offenders were not required to show completion of treatment program attendance as a condition for license reinstatement. The law requiring proof of completion of an alcohol treatment program for second offenders was effective 1/1/94 (SB 126) and for first offenders 1/1/95 (SB 1205). However, since 1990, an additional requirement for reinstatement is that all offenders must pay the APS reinstatement fee of \$100; this is the maximum fee and any other

postconviction reinstatement fees above \$100 do not have to be paid (except drug violation and restriction fees).

Both the 1976 and 1993 offenders had to maintain proof of insurance for 3 years to keep their driving privilege. It may be possible that rather than conform to the requirements of license reinstatement, these drivers chose to take the risk of driving without a license because such violations have traditionally not been readily cited, enforced nor heavily punished. Another DMV study (DeYoung, 1997) evaluated the effectiveness of the impoundment of cars owned by suspended/revoked and unlicensed drivers, and found a significant reduction in crashes and traffic convictions among drivers whose vehicles were impounded. An additional possible explanation for the large difference in the proportions of offenders reinstating in 1976 compared to 1993 is that the methodology used for determining reinstatement may have been different for the two investigations. In the 1976 analysis, the reinstatement date was used to determine reinstatement status, whereas in the 1993 evaluation the application/issuance date was used. The reinstatement date determines eligibility for reinstatement, but the application/issuance date indicates that the license is, in fact, valid. Sometimes the two dates are identical.

In reviewing the records of 1993 offenders who had not reinstated, it was apparent that these offenders had not met the requirement of paying the APS reinstatement fee (\$100). The likelihood of not paying the fee is probably related to the difficulty and expense in obtaining insurance and maintaining it for three years. However, after the license action is terminated (in 3 years), and if there are no other suspensions or unpaid fees, DUI offenders are able to reinstate without showing proof of insurance. Table 16 shows that the proportions of 1993 offenders who reinstated beyond the 3-year review period are still somewhat small (12.5% and 6.2%, of first and second offenders, respectively). As mentioned earlier, it is possible that DUI offenders are giving up on license reinstatement efforts, due to cost factors and a perception that detection is unlikely. This raises concern about DUI offenders continuing to drive without licensure, thereby increasing traffic safety risk. A recent paper (DeYoung, Peck & Helander, 1998) on unlicensed drivers reported that 8.8% of drivers on the road had suspended or revoked licenses and an additional 3.3% had no record of any driver's license. The study also found that suspended/revoked drivers are 7.8 times more likely to be the cause of a fatal crash than are drivers with valid licenses when both parties are involved in such accidents. These findings support the fact that driving without a valid license poses a serious traffic safety risk, and that ongoing and additional intervention efforts should be applied in order to further curtail the incidence of driving without a license.

## SECTION 5: ADMINISTRATIVE ACTIONS

Data on DMV administrative license disqualification actions (license suspension or revocation—S/R) taken in DUI cases are presented below. These statutorily mandated actions are initiated by the receipt of either a law enforcement APS report (.08% BAC, zero tolerance, or chemical test refusal) or court abstract of conviction. It should be noted that multiple actions can result from a single DUI incident—for example, a single DUI arrest frequently will result in both an APS suspension and a mandatory postconviction suspension action. This section includes the following tables and figure:

Table 17: Mandatory DUI License Disqualification Actions, 1987-1997. This table shows preconviction (APS) and postconviction license disqualification totals from 1987 through 1997. The postconviction totals include juvenile suspensions, first-offender suspensions, second-offender suspensions and revocations, and third- and fourth-offender revocations.

Table 18: Administrative Per Se Process Measures. This table presents APS process measure data for fiscal years 95/96 through 97/98.

Figure 11 graphically portrays mandatory DUI license disqualification totals from 1987 through 1997.

The following statements are based on the data shown in Tables 17-18 and Figure 11.

- During 1991, the first full calendar year of APS license suspension, the total number of DMV DUI pre- and postconviction suspension/revocation actions increased by 60% over 1990. Each year since then (with the exception of 1996) these numbers have declined, by an overall 45%. The decline in 1997 totals (from 1996) was 11%.
- In 1997, 169,511 APS license actions were taken. Of these actions, 74% were first-offender actions and 26% were repeat-offender actions.



- In FY 97/98, APS actions decreased by 3.3%, following a 3.9% decrease the previous fiscal year.
- Chemical test refusal actions dropped by 12.1% in 1997, following a 1.3% decline in 1996. The total number of refusal actions have fallen 51% below the 1991 totals.
- The number of mandatory postconviction license actions decreased by 29.5% in 1997, and is 64% lower than in 1991.
- In the first eight years since APS was implemented in July 1990, over one and three-quarters million (1,753,089) APS suspension or revocation actions had been taken.
- Requests for APS hearings have increased from 7.1% of all APS actions in FY 90/91 to 18.2% in 97/98. The rate at which APS suspension/revocation actions are upheld after hearing has risen to 80.1% in 97/98, after falling to only 67% in 95/96.
- During the first 4.5 years after implementation (on January 1, 1994) of the "zero tolerance" law for minors, 45,958 suspension actions were taken.




Figure 11 . Mandatory DUI license disqualification actions, 1987-1997.

TABLE 17: MANDATORY DUI LICENSE DISQUALIFICATION ACTIONS, 1987-1997

	YEAR										
	1987	1988	1989	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997
TOTAL MANDATORY SUSPENSION/ REVOCATION (S/R) ACTIONS	103630	101779	111703	233680	373131	308399	277447	243645	226158 <sup>r</sup>	230600 <sup>r</sup>	205462
<b>PRECONVICTION</b>											
Admin Per Se (APS) Actions	n/a	n/a	n/a	119610	272273	228790	209006	184045	173696 <sup>r</sup>	180343 <sup>r</sup>	169511
Zero tolerance suspensions	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	9971	8608 <sup>r</sup>	9327 <sup>r</sup>	11517
First-offender suspensions	n/a	n/a	n/a	82503	187527	157545	144321	120582	116636 <sup>r</sup>	122111 <sup>r</sup>	114247
Repeat-offender suspensions	n/a	n/a	n/a	34792	74351	62656	57279	47429	43218 <sup>r</sup>	43922 <sup>r</sup>	39636
Repeat-offender revocations	n/a	n/a	n/a	2315	10395	8589	7406	6063	5234 <sup>r</sup>	4983 <sup>r</sup>	4111
Commercial driver actions	n/a	n/a	n/a	3739	7976	6449	5829	5038	4743 <sup>r</sup>	4939 <sup>r</sup>	4496
Chemical test refusal actions	25474	22757	21466	22915	21296 <sup>r</sup>	17963 <sup>r</sup>	15662	13264	11711 <sup>r</sup>	11436 <sup>r</sup>	10110
Test refusal suspensions	14877	13720	12390	15128	10901 <sup>r</sup>	9374 <sup>r</sup>	8256	7022	6477 <sup>r</sup>	6456 <sup>r</sup>	5865
Test refusal revocations	10597	9037	9076	7787	10395 <sup>r</sup>	8589 <sup>r</sup>	7406	6063	5234 <sup>r</sup>	4983 <sup>r</sup>	4111
TOTAL APS/REFUSAL ACTIONS	25474	22757	21466	142525	272273	228790	209006	184045	173696 <sup>r</sup>	180343 <sup>r</sup>	169511
-----											
<b>POSTCONVICTION</b>											
Juvenile DUI suspensions	2374	1823	1995	1478	1576	1202	922	879	677	995	769
First-offender suspensions	7278	6626	7679	10408	13575	10673	10208	8696	7266	7229	4847
Misdemeanor	5558	5050	5658	8467	11547	8989	8607	7188	5806	5753	3834
Felony	1720	1576	2021	1941	2028	1684	1601	1508	1460	1476	1013
Second-offender S/R actions	43608	47698	53927	52334	57350	45478	38849	34300	31489	30404	22945
Misdemeanor	42964	47093	53238	51593	56583	44756	38285	33794	30955	29864	22532
Felony	644	605	689	741	767	722	564	506	534	540	413
Third-offender revocations	17118	13991	18514	18650	19963	15553	12908	11193	9471	8728	5569
Misdemeanor	17118	13671	18182	18219	19595	15233	12644	10974	9261	8550	5471
Felony	n/a	320	332	431	368	320	264	219	210	178	98
Fourth-offender revocations	7778	8884	8122	8285	8394	6703	5554	4532	3559	2901	1821
TOTAL POSTCONVICTION ACTIONS	78156	79022	90237	91155	100858	79609	68441	59600	52462	50257 <sup>r</sup>	35951*

<sup>r</sup>Revised from prior reports.

\*This spuriously low count is due to workload reporting processes.

TABLE 18. ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE PROCESS MEASURES<sup>1</sup>

	7/95-6/96	7/96-6/97	7/97-6/98
Total APS actions taken (including actions later set aside)	199,713	192,021	185,714
Total .08 <sup>2</sup> APS actions set aside	17,369	18,086	13,739
Total .01 <sup>3</sup> suspensions set aside	681	857	725
Net total APS actions taken (excluding actions later set aside)	181,663	173,078	171,250
Net total .08 APS actions	173,162	163,015	157,495
Net total .01 suspensions	8,501	10,063	13,755
<b>APS Actions by Offender Status/License Classification:<sup>4</sup></b>			
Total APS actions, noncommercial drivers	176,656	168,478	166,644
Total commercial driver (CDL) APS actions taken	5,007	4,600	4,606
Number of APS actions of commercial drivers in commercial vehicles	13	16	30
APS .08 suspensions for drivers with no prior DUI convictions <sup>5</sup>	122,718	117,160	114,645
4-month license suspensions	99,646	90,983	86,501
30-day suspensions plus 3-month restrictions	1,679	1,418	1,536
30-day suspensions plus 5-month COE <sup>6</sup> restrictions	11,268	15,294	17,161
First-offender chemical test refusals	6,426	6,057	5,894
CDL first offender suspensions/restrictions	3,699	3,408	3,553
Total APS .08 actions taken for drivers with prior DUI convictions	50,444	45,855	42,850
Suspensions	45,130	41,236	38,927
Revocations	5,314	4,619	3,923
<b>APS Chemical Test Refusal Process Measures:</b>			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions taken (including actions later set aside)	12,899	11,749	10,690
Total .08 refusal actions set aside	1,000	910	517
Total .01 refusal actions set aside	10	17	10
Net total .08 and .01 APS refusal actions (excluding actions later set aside)	11,889	10,822	10,163
Net total .08 refusal actions	11,740	10,676	9,985
Net total .01 refusal actions	149	146	178
Chemical test refusal rate (excluding actions later set aside)	6.54%	6.25%	5.93%
Net .08 APS refusal (suspension) actions for subjects with no prior DUIs	6,426	6,057	5,894
Net .08 APS refusal (revocation) actions for subjects with prior DUIs	5,314	4,619	3,923
<b>APS Hearings</b>			
Total .08 and .01 APS hearings scheduled <sup>7</sup>	26,380	32,434	33,897
Proportion of total APS actions	13.2%	16.9%	18.2%
.08 hearings held and/or completed	24,006	30,012	30,916
.08 actions sustained or upheld following a hearing	16,130	21,468	24,777
.08 hearing action sustain/upheld rate	67.2%	71.5%	80.1%
.01 hearings held and/or completed	986	1,387	1,956
.01 actions sustained or upheld following a hearing	615	954	1,623
.01 hearing action sustain/upheld rate	62.4%	68.8%	83.0%
<b>APS Chemical Test Refusal Hearings</b>			
Total .08 and .01 APS refusal hearings scheduled	2,590	2,797	2,563
.08 APS refusal hearings held and/or completed	2,394	2,635	2,450
.08 APS refusal actions sustained or upheld following a hearing	1,639	1,840	1,873

<sup>1</sup>Figures have been adjusted from prior reports for FY 94/95 through FY 96/97 to account for previously overcounted total actions (resulting from duplication among stayed cases), and undercounted hearings (resulting from excluded stayed cases). Copies of corrected past reports are available upon request.

<sup>2</sup>.08 refers to APS actions taken subsequent to obtaining evidence of a BAC equal to or in excess of the .08% per se level or on the basis of a chemical test refusal. Such an action is taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

<sup>3</sup>.01 refers to APS suspensions taken against drivers under the age of 21 with BACs in excess of .01%, or on the basis of a chemical test refusal, and are not necessarily taken in conjunction with a DUI arrest.

<sup>4</sup>All entries in this category exclude actions later set aside but, where possible, include actions taken on the basis of either a chemical test refusal or a BAC test result. This category does not include .01 actions, which are not varied by offender status or license class.

<sup>5</sup>Prior DUI convictions consist of any such conviction where the violation occurred within seven years prior to the current violation.

<sup>6</sup>Introduced 1/1/95 this restriction allows driving to, from, and during the course-of-employment.

<sup>7</sup>This figure excludes subsequent APS dismissal hearings and departmental review hearings or procedures. Both numerator and denominator include those actions later set aside as a result of the hearing.

## SECTION 6: ACCIDENTS INVOLVING ALCOHOL

This section presents data on alcohol-involved accidents, as compiled and reported by the California Highway Patrol, as well as accident data which have been crosstabulated with Department of Justice DUI arrest data. Only accidents involving injury or fatality are assessed, due to incomplete reporting on property-damage-only (PDO) accidents.<sup>3</sup> Drivers identified as being under the influence of drugs other than alcohol are also included in the "alcohol-involved accident" category, but typically comprise less than 1% of the total (only 3 total cases for 1994 data). This section includes the following tables:

Table 19: Fatal/Injury Accidents of 1996 DUI Arrestees by Race/Ethnicity and Sobriety Code. This table shows the law enforcement officer's determination of sobriety for accident-involved 1996 DUI arrestees, by race/ethnicity.

Table 20: Fatal/Injury Accidents of 1996 DUI Arrestees by Race/Ethnicity and Type of Arrest. This table portrays the fatal/injury accident involvement of DUI arrestees, by race/ethnicity and type of arrest (felony, juvenile, or misdemeanor).

Table 21: Fatal/Injury Accidents of 1996 DUI Arrestees by Adjudication Status and Sobriety Code. This table crosstabulates accident sobriety codes (from law enforcement accident reports) with the court disposition of the 1996 DUI arrests associated with those accidents.

Table 22: Fatal/Injury Accidents of 1996 DUI Arrestees by Type of Arrest and Adjudication Status. This table displays the adjudication status of fatal and injury accident-involved 1996 DUI arrestees, by type of arrest.

Table 23: 1996 Accident-Involved DUI Arrestees With No Record of Conviction, by County and Type of Arrest. This table shows the number of accident-involved 1996 DUI arrestees without a corresponding recorded conviction, by type of DUI arrest, by county.

Tables 24a-24b: 1996 Alcohol-Involved Fatal/Injury Accidents by Age and Sex (Total and Not Arrested). These two tables show the number of 1996 alcohol-involved fatal

---

<sup>3</sup> Among 1996 DUI arrests, 25,685 were associated with a reported traffic accident, with 12,198 involving an injury or fatality, and 13,487 being PDO.

and injury accidents by age and sex, both total (24a) and for subjects who were not arrested in conjunction with the accident (24b).

Tables 25a-25b: Sobriety Level by Prior DUI Convictions of 1996 Alcohol-Involved Fatal/Injury Accidents (Total and Not Arrested). These two tables show the number of 1996 alcohol-involved fatal and injury accidents by sobriety level and prior conviction status, both total (25a) and for subjects who were not arrested in conjunction with the accident (25b).

Tables 26a-26b: 1996 Alcohol-Involved Fatal/Injury Accidents by Prior DUI Convictions (Total and Not Arrested). These two tables show the number of 1996 alcohol-involved fatal and injury accidents by number of prior convictions, both total (26a) and for subjects who were not arrested in conjunction with the accident (26b).

Table 27: 1-, 3-, and 7-Year Total, Fatal/Injury, and Alcohol-Related Accident Means by Offender Status. This table shows the average number of total, fatal/injury, and alcohol-related accidents for 1996, 1994, and 1989 DUI arrestees for time periods of 1, 3, and 7 years subsequent to their arrests, respectively, by offender status (number of prior offenses).

Figure 12 (below) shows the annual percentages of traffic injuries and fatalities that were alcohol-involved from 1987 to 1997. The numerical data for this graph are shown on the DUI summary statistics sheet at the beginning of this report.




Figure 12 . Percentage of total injuries and total fatalities that were alcohol-involved, 1987-1997.

Based on these data, the following statements can be made:

- The number of alcohol-involved traffic fatalities dropped 12.3% in 1997, and has declined by 60% since 1987. The proportion of fatalities which are alcohol-involved has declined from 50.1% in 1987 to 30.0% in 1997.
- The proportion of traffic accident injuries that are alcohol-involved has also declined each year since 1987. Alcohol-involved injuries dropped 12.5% during 1997 and 54.7% from 1987 to 1997.
- 12.6% of all 1996 DUI arrests were associated with a reported traffic accident, compared to 12.4% in 1995, 13.2% in 1994, 13.1% in 1993, and 11.1% in 1992 and 1991. 47.5% of these accidents involved an injury or fatality.
- In almost a quarter (23.3%) of cases where a DUI offender was arrested in connection with a reported traffic accident, there is no record of any corresponding conviction. In 91.2% of these nonconvicted cases, the accident report indicated that the drivers had been drinking and that their ability was impaired.
- Of all 1996 accident-involved DUI arrestees with no record of conviction, 17.7% had been arrested for felony DUI.
- 6.0% (12,198) of 1996 DUI arrests were associated with a fatal or injury accident. Of these fatal/injury accidents, only 28.8% (3,519) led to an arrest for felony DUI, and only 9.3% (1,135) led to a conviction of felony DUI. Approximately 75% of DUI arrests stemming from a fatal/injury accident resulted in a reported conviction.
- The fatal/injury and total accident risk of DUI offenders generally decreases with the number of prior DUI convictions for periods up to five years after arrest, while, conversely, the risk of involvement in an alcohol-related accident generally increases with number of priors over the same time periods. This is not surprising because as the number of prior DUIs increases, the time period of the suspension/revocation lengthens, and prior research has demonstrated that suspension/revocation has a larger impact on reducing non-DUI accidents than DUI accidents. In addition, drivers with multiple DUI offenses are more likely to have serious drinking problems.

- Drivers in alcohol-involved fatal/injury accidents in 1996 were less likely to be arrested for an associated DUI offense if they were under age 30, over age 70, or female (of any age).
- Non-arrested drivers in alcohol-involved fatal/injury accidents in 1996 were less likely to have a prior conviction for DUI or alcohol-related reckless driving, and had lower estimated BAC levels than were drivers who were arrested in conjunction with the accident.
- Over 70% of drivers in alcohol-involved fatal accidents had no prior DUI or reckless driving conviction.

TABLE 19: FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1996 DUI ARRESTEES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND SOBRIETY CODE\*

SOBRIETY CODE	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)											
			WHITE				HISPANIC				BLACK			
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	12198	100.0	6104	50.0	4572	37.5	734	6.0	788	6.5				
HBD-OBVIOUSLY DRUNK (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	11	0.1	4	36.4	5	45.5	0	0.0	2	18.2				
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	11440	93.8	5694	49.8	4342	38.0	673	5.9	731	6.4				
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	27	0.2	15	55.6	7	25.9	2	7.4	3	11.1				
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (.05-.079)	275	2.3	118	42.9	111	40.4	24	8.7	22	8.0				
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	63	0.5	32	50.8	27	42.9	2	3.2	2	3.2				
NOT REPORTED	381	3.1	241	63.3	80	21.0	33	8.7	27	7.1				
UNDER INFLUENCE OF DRUGS	1	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0				

\*For each sobriety code, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 20: FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1996 DUI ARRESTEES BY RACE/ETHNICITY AND TYPE OF ARREST\*

TYPE OF ARREST	TOTAL		RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)											
			WHITE				HISPANIC				BLACK			
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	12198	100.0	6104	50.0	4572	37.5	734	6.0	788	6.5				
FELONY DUI	3519	28.8	1560	44.3	1516	43.1	233	6.6	210	6.0				
JUVENILE DUI	167	1.4	112	67.1	51	30.5	2	1.2	2	1.2				
MISDEMEANOR DUI	8512	69.8	4432	52.1	3005	35.3	499	5.9	576	6.8				

\*For each type of arrest, percentages are based on row totals.



TABLE 21: FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1996 DUI ARRESTEES BY ADJUDICATION STATUS AND SOBRIETY CODE\*

SOBRIETY CODE	TOTAL		TYPE OF CONVICTION															
			MISDEMEANOR DUI		FELONY DUI		ALCOHOL- RECKLESS		NONALCOHOL- RECKLESS		OTHER CONVICTIONS		NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION					
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
TOTAL	12198	100.0	6800	55.7	1357	11.1	512	4.2	174	1.4	286	2.3	3069	25.2				
HBD-OBVIOUSLY DRUNK (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	11	0.1	3	27.3	2	18.2	1	9.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	45.5				
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	11440	93.8	6507	56.9	1289	1.3	482	4.2	152	1.3	237	2.1	2773	24.2				
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	27	0.2	2	7.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	14.8	21	77.8				
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (.05-.079)	275	2.3	131	47.6	34	12.4	10	3.6	7	2.5	12	4.4	81	29.5				
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	63	0.5	24	38.1	2	3.2	1	1.6	0	0.0	10	15.9	26	41.3				
NOT REPORTED	381	3.1	133	34.9	30	7.9	18	4.7	15	3.9	23	6.0	162	42.5				
UNDER INFLUENCE OF DRUGS	1	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	100.0				

\*For each sobriety code, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 22: FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS OF 1996 DUI ARRESTEES BY TYPE OF ARREST AND ADJUDICATION STATUS\*

TYPE OF ARREST	TOTAL		TYPE OF CONVICTION												OTHER CONVICTIONS		NO RECORD OF ANY CONVICTION	
			MISDEMEANOR DUI			FELONY DUI			ALCOHOL-RECKLESS			NONALCOHOL-RECKLESS						
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
TOTAL	12198	100.0	6800	55.7	1357	11.1	512	4.2	174	1.4	286	2.3	3069	25.2				
FELONY DUI	3519	28.8	1170	33.2	1135	32.3	83	2.4	36	1.0	78	2.2	1017	28.9				
JUVENILE DUI	167	1.4	49	29.3	28	16.8	2	1.2	2	1.2	7	4.2	79	47.3				
MISDEMEANOR DUI	8512	69.8	5581	65.6	194	2.3	427	5.0	136	1.6	201	2.4	1973	23.2				

\*For each type of arrest, percentages are based on row totals.

TABLE 23: 1996 ACCIDENT-INVOLVED\* DUI ARRESTEES WITH NO RECORD OF CONVICTION, BY COUNTY AND TYPE OF ARREST

COUNTY	TOTAL (100%)	TYPE OF ARREST					
		FELONY DUI		JUVENILE DUI		MISDEMEANOR DUI	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE	5465	967	17.7	157	2.9	4341	79.4
ALAMEDA	189	16	8.5	2	1.1	171	90.5
ALPINE	3	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	100.0
AMADOR	5	2	40.0	0	0.0	3	60.0
BUTTE	29	5	17.2	1	3.4	23	79.3
CALAVERAS	13	2	15.4	0	0.0	11	84.6
COLUSA	3	2	66.7	0	0.0	1	33.3
CONTRA COSTA	109	12	11.0	5	4.6	92	84.4
DEL NORTE	6	2	33.3	1	16.7	3	50.0
EL DORADO	19	5	26.3	0	0.0	14	73.7
FRESNO	213	54	25.4	6	2.8	153	71.8
GLENN	8	1	12.5	1	12.5	6	75.0
HUMBOLDT	44	12	27.3	2	4.5	30	68.2
IMPERIAL	45	10	22.2	0	0.0	35	77.8
INYO	7	4	57.1	0	0.0	3	42.9
KERN	130	21	16.2	4	3.1	105	80.8
KINGS	19	5	26.3	1	5.3	13	68.4
LAKE	21	4	19.0	0	0.0	17	81.0
LASSEN	11	2	18.2	2	18.2	7	63.6
LOS ANGELES	1272	180	14.2	35	2.8	1057	83.1
MADERA	45	9	20.0	2	4.4	34	75.6
MARIN	40	2	5.0	0	0.0	38	95.0
MARIPOSA	5	1	20.0	0	0.0	4	80.0
MENDOCINO	28	5	17.9	1	3.6	22	78.6
MERCED	43	6	14.0	0	0.0	37	86.0
MODOC	3	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	100.0
MONO	6	0	0.0	1	16.7	5	83.3
MONTEREY	96	22	22.9	6	6.3	68	70.8
NAPA	20	5	25.0	2	10.0	13	65.0
NEVADA	16	2	12.5	2	12.5	12	75.0
ORANGE	315	29	9.2	9	2.9	277	87.9
PLACER	53	17	32.1	0	0.0	36	67.9
PLUMAS	6	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	100.0
RIVERSIDE	361	68	18.8	11	3.0	282	78.1
SACRAMENTO	200	50	25.0	7	3.5	143	71.5
SAN BENITO	10	0	0.0	4	40.0	6	60.0
SAN BERNARDINO	628	98	15.6	6	1.0	524	83.4
SAN DIEGO	438	121	27.6	9	2.1	308	70.3
SAN FRANCISCO	74	24	32.4	4	5.4	46	62.2
SAN JOAQUIN	88	11	12.5	3	3.4	74	84.1
SAN LUIS OBISPO	28	2	7.1	2	7.1	24	85.7
SAN MATEO	73	11	15.1	0	0.0	62	84.9
SANTA BARBARA	32	7	21.9	3	9.4	22	68.8
SANTA CLARA	155	39	25.2	3	1.9	113	72.9
SANTA CRUZ	35	5	14.3	1	2.9	29	82.9
SHASTA	28	5	17.9	2	7.1	21	75.0
SIERRA	2	1	50.0	0	0.0	1	50.0
SISKIYOU	9	1	11.1	1	11.1	7	77.8
SOLANO	42	4	9.5	3	7.1	35	83.3
SONOMA	68	12	17.6	1	1.5	55	80.9
STANISLAUS	70	16	22.9	2	2.9	52	74.3
SUTTER	10	2	20.0	2	20.0	6	60.0
TEHAMA	15	2	13.3	1	6.7	12	80.0
TRINITY	5	2	40.0	0	0.0	3	60.0
TULARE	95	19	20.0	5	5.3	71	74.7
TUOLUMNE	13	2	15.4	0	0.0	11	84.6
VENTURA	116	20	17.2	4	3.4	92	79.3
YOLO	41	7	17.1	0	0.0	34	82.9
YUBA	7	1	14.3	0	0.0	6	85.7

\*These cases include only arrestees whose accidents showed alcohol or drug-impaired sobriety codes.

TABLE 24a: 1996 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS\* BY AGE AND SEX

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	19601	100.0	16250	82.9	3351	17.1
UNDER 18	287	1.5	225	78.4	62	21.6
18-20	1451	7.4	1244	85.7	207	14.3
21-30	6958	35.5	5960	85.7	998	14.3
31-40	5544	28.3	4423	79.8	1121	20.2
41-50	3256	16.6	2654	81.5	602	18.5
51-60	1252	6.4	1030	82.3	222	17.7
61-70	552	2.8	464	84.1	88	15.9
71 & ABOVE	301	1.5	250	83.1	51	16.9

\*These data are derived from the California Highway Patrol's alcohol-accident files and include only those cases with available driver license numbers.

TABLE 24b: 1996 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY AGE AND SEX (NOT ARRESTED)

AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	8291	100.0	6743	81.3	1548	18.7
UNDER 18	178	2.1	143	80.3	35	19.7
18-20	689	8.3	578	83.9	111	16.1
21-30	3020	36.4	2527	83.7	493	16.3
31-40	2246	27.1	1763	78.5	483	21.5
41-50	1272	15.3	1026	80.7	246	19.3
51-60	492	5.9	386	78.5	106	21.5
61-70	233	2.8	193	82.8	40	17.2
71 & ABOVE	161	1.9	127	78.9	34	21.1

TABLE 25a: SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS OF 1996 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS

SOBRIETY CODE	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS OR 1st ALCOHOL RECKLESS		DUI OFFENDER STATUS							
					1ST DUI		2ND DUI		3RD DUI		4TH DUI	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	19601	100.0	8933	45.6	7767	39.6	2182	11.1	536	2.7	183	0.9
HBD-OBVIOUSLY DRUNK (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	9	0.0	4	44.4	4	44.4	1	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	14754	75.3	4941	33.5	7106	48.2	2035	13.8	503	3.4	169	1.1
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	3262	16.6	2927	89.7	283	8.7	41	1.3	9	0.3	2	0.1
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (.05-.079)	1552	7.9	1045	67.3	368	23.7	103	6.6	24	1.5	12	0.8
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	12	0.1	11	91.7	0	0.0	1	8.3	-	-	-	-
NOT REPORTED	12	0.1	5	41.7	6	50.0	1	8.3	-	-	-	-

TABLE 25b: SOBRIETY LEVEL BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS OF 1996 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS (NOT ARRESTED)

SOBRIETY CODE	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS OR 1st ALCOHOL-RECKLESS		DUI OFFENDER STATUS							
					1ST DUI		2ND DUI		3RD DUI		4TH DUI	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	8291	100.0	5659	68.3	1929	23.3	522	6.3	127	1.5	54	0.7
HBD-OBVIOUSLY DRUNK (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	3	0.0	1	33.3	1	33.3	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
HBD-ABILITY IMPAIRED (BAC .08% & ABOVE)	3755	45.3	1779	47.4	1408	37.5	419	11.2	103	2.7	46	1.2
HBD-NOT IMPAIRED (BAC .01%-.049%)	3233	39.0	2906	89.9	278	8.6	39	1.2	8	0.2	2	0.1
HBD-NOT KNOWN IF IMPAIRED (.05-.079)	1280	15.4	957	74.8	238	18.6	63	4.9	16	1.2	6	0.5
HNBD-HAD NOT BEEN DRINKING	11	0.1	11	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
NOT REPORTED	9	0.1	5	55.6	4	44.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0

TABLE 26a: 1996 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS

ACCIDENTS	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIORS OR 1ST ALCOHOL RECKLESS		DUI OFFENDER STATUS											
					1ST DUI				2ND DUI				3RD DUI			
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	19601	100.0	8933	45.6	7767	39.6	2182	11.1	536	2.7	183	0.9				
FATAL	883	4.5	626	70.9	201	22.8	42	4.8	12	1.4	2	0.2				
INJURY	18718	95.5	8307	44.4	7566	40.4	2140	11.4	524	2.8	181	1.0				

TABLE 26b: 1996 ALCOHOL-INVOLVED FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS BY PRIOR DUI CONVICTIONS (NOT ARRESTED)

ACCIDENTS	TOTAL		NO DUI PRIOR OR 1ST ALCOHOL-RECKLESS		DUI OFFENDER STATUS											
					1ST DUI				2ND DUI				3RD DUI			
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TOTAL	8291	100.0	5659	68.3	1929	23.3	522	6.3	127	1.5	54	0.7				
FATAL	740*	8.9	547	73.9	151	20.4	33	4.5	7	0.9	2	0.3				
INJURY	7551	91.1	5112	67.7	1778	23.5	489	6.5	120	1.6	52	0.7				

\*The records of 76% (559) of these cases indicated they were "deceased."

TABLE 27: 1-, 3- AND 7-YEAR TOTAL, FATAL/INJURY AND ALCOHOL-RELATED  
ACCIDENT MEANS BY OFFENDER STATUS

DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL ACCIDENTS				FATAL/INJURY ACCIDENTS				ALCOHOL-RELATED ACCIDENTS			
	1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1996 ARRESTEES)	3-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1994 ARRESTEES)	7-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1989 ARRESTEES)		1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1996 ARRESTEES)	3-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1994 ARRESTEES)	7-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1989 ARRESTEES)		1-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1996 ARRESTEES)	3-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1994 ARRESTEES)	7-YEAR SUBSEQUENT (1989 ARRESTEES)	
ALL	.0374	.1137	.2995		.0130	.0391	.1102		.0092	.0290	.0770	
1ST DUI	.0427	.1257	.3105		.0144	.0416	.1108		.0090	.0273	.0685	
2ND DUI	.0268	.0937	.3037		.0101	.0347	.1143		.0101	.0316	.0870	
3RD DUI	.0188	.0743	.2369		.0084	.0318	.0980		.0087	.0372	.0978	
4TH+ DUI	.0108	.0615	.2233		.0062	.0290	.0998		.0069	.0325	.1124	

## DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS

### DUI Arrest Data:

Arrest data are reported to the Department of Justice (DOJ), Law Enforcement Information Center, by individual law enforcement agencies throughout the state. As such, these data are subject to reporting errors such as incorrect names, birthdates or arrest dates. Nonreporting of arrest data due to error or omission can also occur; for example, in 1995 the Oakland Police Department reported no DUI arrests, after reporting 960 such arrests in 1994. In addition, when data are entered into DOJ's Monthly Arrest and Citation Register (MACR) system, only the highest-order offense is included. Therefore, in cases where a DUI arrest is made in conjunction with, for example, an auto theft arrest, that DUI arrest will not be included in the database. This results in a slight but systematic underreporting of the number of DUI arrests annually.

### DUI Conviction Data:

Abstracts of conviction for DUI and other traffic-related offenses are reported to the DMV by courts throughout the state. As abstracts are received (either hard copy, magnetic tape or through direct electronic access from the courts) they are entered onto the DMV driver record database. Abstracts without an identifying driver license number are run through the automated name index (ANI) system in order to match the abstract with an existing driver record; in cases where no such match can be made, an "X" numbered record is created to store the abstract. The total number of DUI abstracts of conviction received by DMV from the courts is tallied monthly and annually. Since this workload total includes abstracts which amend, correct or dismiss prior abstracts of conviction, it tends to overestimate the actual number of convictions which have occurred. Conviction data are also subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those for DUI arrests. For example, the 1993 Annual Report of the California DUI Management Information System documented that thousands of DUI convictions showing in court records do not appear on the DMV driver record database.

### Alcohol-Involved Accident Data:

Accident data are reported to the California Highway Patrol (CHP) by local law enforcement agencies and district offices of the CHP. As such, these data are subject to reporting and nonreporting errors similar to those occurring in both DUI arrest and conviction data. While most local law enforcement agencies will investigate and file reports on accidents involving injury or death, the investigation and reporting of property-damage-only accidents varies widely by local jurisdiction. Data are entered onto CHP's Statewide Integrated Traffic Records System (SWITRS) and output in annual published reports.

## REFERENCES

- California Department of Finance. (1991). *California statistical abstract, 1991*. Sacramento: Author.
- California Department of Motor Vehicles. (1984-87). *An evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system. Volumes 1-8*. Sacramento: Author.
- California Highway Patrol. (1998). *1997 annual report of fatal and injury motor vehicle traffic collisions*. Sacramento: Author.
- DeYoung, D. J. (1997). *An evaluation of the specific deterrent effect of vehicle impoundment on suspended, revoked and unlicensed drivers in California* (Report No. 171). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- DeYoung, D. J., Peck, R. C., & Helander, C. J. (1998). Estimating the exposure and fatal crash rates of suspended/revoked and unlicensed drivers in California. *Accident Analysis & Prevention*, 29(1), 17-23.
- Helander, C. J. (1989). *Development of a California DUI management information system*. Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Rogers, P. N. (1997). *The specific deterrent impact of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws. Volume 2 of an evaluation of the effectiveness of California's 0.08% blood alcohol concentration limit and administrative per se license suspension laws* (Report No. 167). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Sadler, D. D., & Perrine, M. W. (1984). The long-term traffic safety impact of a pilot alcohol abuse treatment as an alternative to license suspensions: Volume 2 of An evaluation of the California drunk driving countermeasure system (Report No. 90). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1998). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 169). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1997). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 165). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.
- Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1996). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 159). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.



Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1995). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 145). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., & Helander, C. J. (1994). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 143). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

Tashima, H. N., Marowitz, L. A., DeYoung, D. J., & Helander, C. J. (1993). *Annual report of the California DUI management information system. Annual report to the Legislature of the State of California* (Report No. 138). Sacramento: California Department of Motor Vehicles.

## GLOSSARY

### ADMINISTRATIVE PER SE (APS)

Administrative per se ("on-the-spot") license suspension or revocation occurs immediately pursuant to lawful arrest of a person driving with a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of 0.08% or more, or one who refuses a chemical test. Upon arrest, the driver's license is immediately confiscated by the law enforcement officer and an order of suspension or revocation served. The driver is issued a temporary license and allowed due process through administrative review. In July 1990, California became the 28th state to implement APS. In January 1994, California enacted a "zero tolerance" statute which requires the administrative suspension of any driver under age 21 with a BAC of 0.01% or greater, or who refuses to be tested.

### ALCOHOL-INVOLVED ACCIDENT

Alcohol-involved accidents are those in which the investigating law enforcement officer indicates on the accident report that the driver "had been drinking (HBD)." Accidents involving drivers who are determined to be under the influence of drugs other than alcohol (typically less than 1% of all accidents) are also included in the alcohol-involved accident category.

### ALCOHOL-RELATED RECKLESS DRIVING

Commonly called a "wet" reckless, alcohol-related reckless driving refers to an arrest/conviction incident which originated as a DUI arrest. DUI arrests involving drugs which are reduced to reckless driving are also referred to as alcohol-involved or "wet" reckless driving. "Wet" reckless convictions count as priors for the purposes of enhanced penalties upon subsequent conviction of DUI.

### ALPHA

Alpha is the investigator's acceptable risk or probability level of making a Type 1 error (generally chosen to be small—e.g., 1% or .01, 5% or .05). There is always some risk of a Type 1 error, so alpha cannot be zero. Alpha is also called the significance level, because it is the criterion for claiming statistical significance.

### BAC

Blood alcohol concentration, or BAC, is a measure of the percent, by weight, of alcohol in a person's blood. Statutorily, BAC is based upon grams of alcohol per 100 milliliters of blood or per 210 liters of breath.

### CONVICTION

Conviction of an offense, as used in this report, refers to the receipt by DMV of a court abstract of conviction. In a small proportion of cases, an offender may be convicted of an offense but that conviction is not reported to DMV. Such cases would functionally be treated by DMV as though the offender had not been convicted. Because convictions can be amended, corrected, dismissed or simply not reported at all, the conviction totals reported herein are dynamic and subject to change.

### COVARIATE

A variable used to statistically adjust the results of an analysis for differences (on that variable) existing among subjects prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

### DUI

DUI is an acronym for "driving under the influence" of alcohol and/or drugs, a violation of Sections 23152 or 23153 of the California Vehicle Code.

### LOGISTIC REGRESSION

Logistic regression analysis is a statistical procedure evaluating the linear relationship between various factors and the occurrence or nonoccurrence of an outcome event. In this study, the procedure was used to explain the relationship between the various sanctions and the proportion of DUI offenders who incurred accidents and/or DUI incidents.

### MAJOR CONVICTION

Major convictions include primarily DUI convictions, but also reckless driving and hit-and-run convictions.

### *p*

*p* stands for probability. For example, if  $p < .05$ , the probability is less than 5 chances in 100 that the difference you found is by chance alone.

### QUASI-EXPERIMENTAL DESIGNS

Quasi-experimental designs refer to analyses where the comparison groups are not equivalent on characteristics other than the treatment conditions because random assignment was not used. Caution should be exercised when interpreting the results because of possible confounding of group bias with treatment effects. Covariates are used to statistically reduce group differences prior to the comparison of treatment effects.

### SIGNIFICANT (STATISTICALLY)

If the result of a statistical test is significant, this means that the difference found is very unlikely by chance alone. How unlikely is determined by alpha.

## APPENDIX A

### Assembly Bill No. 757

#### CHAPTER 450

An act to add Section 1821 to the Vehicle Code, relating to driving offenses.

(Approved by Governor September 14, 1989. Filed with Secretary of State September 15, 1989.)

#### LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 757, Friedman. Driving offenses: intervention programs: evaluation.

Under existing law, the Department of Motor Vehicles maintains records of driver's offenses reported by the courts. Including violations of the prohibitions against driving while under the influence of an alcoholic beverage, any drug, or both, driving with an excessive blood-alcohol concentration, or driving while addicted to any drug.

This bill would, additionally, require the department to establish and maintain a data and monitoring system, as specified, to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of those violations relating to alcohol and drugs, and to report thereon annually to the Legislature.

The bill would declare legislative findings.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares as follows:

(a) Drivers under the influence of drugs or alcohol continue to present a grave danger to the citizens of this state.

(b) The Legislature has taken stern action to deter this crime and punish its offenders and has provided a range of sanctions available to the courts to use at their discretion.

(c) No system exists to monitor and evaluate the efficacy of these measures or to determine the achievement of the Legislature's goals.

(d) This lack of accurate and up-to-date comprehensive statistics hampers the ability of the Legislature to make informed and timely policy decisions.

(e) It is essential that the Legislature acquire this information, from available resources, as soon as practicable, and that this information be updated and transmitted annually to the Legislature.

SEC. 2. Section 1821 is added to the Vehicle Code, to read:

1821: The department shall establish and maintain a data and monitoring system to evaluate the efficacy of intervention programs for persons convicted of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The system may include a recidivism tracking system. The recidivism tracking system may include, but not be limited to, jail sentencing, license restriction, license suspension. Level I (first offender) and II (multiple offender) alcohol and drug education and treatment program assignment, alcohol and drug education treatment program readmission and dropout rates, adjudicating court, length of jail term, actual jail or alternative sentence served, type of treatment program assigned, actual program compliance status, subsequent accidents related to driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs, and subsequent convictions of violations of Section 23152 or 23153.

The department shall submit an annual report of its evaluations to the Legislature. The evaluations shall include a ranking of the relative efficacy of criminal penalties, other sanctions, and intervention programs and the various combinations thereof.

## APPENDIX B

TABLE B1: 1997 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)								
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER		
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	
STATEWIDE		191164	165841	86.8	25323	13.2	79727	41.7	84535	44.2	12621	6.6	14281	7.5	
ALAMEDA	UNDER 18	55	47	85.5	8	14.5	28	50.9	17	30.9	1	1.8	9	16.4	
	18-20	423	371	87.7	52	12.3	152	35.9	149	35.2	68	16.1	54	12.8	
	21-30	2092	1835	87.7	257	12.3	640	30.6	767	36.7	400	19.1	285	13.6	
	31-40	1914	1570	82.0	344	18.0	804	42.0	489	25.5	396	20.7	225	11.8	
	41-50	1108	901	81.3	207	18.7	533	48.1	169	15.3	263	23.7	143	12.9	
	51-60	391	329	84.1	62	15.9	215	55.0	49	12.5	74	18.9	53	13.6	
	61-70	120	115	95.8	5	4.2	61	50.8	17	14.2	31	25.8	11	9.2	
	71 & ABOVE	31	28	90.3	3	9.7	12	38.7	5	16.1	7	22.6	7	22.6	
	TOTAL	6134	5196	84.7	938	15.3	2445	39.9	1662	27.1	1240	20.2	787	12.8	
	ALPINE	21-30	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	4	80.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	20.0
31-40		6	5	83.3	1	16.7	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
41-50		10	7	70.0	3	30.0	8	80.0	1	10.0	0	0.0	1	10.0	
51-60		1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
61-70		1	1	100.0	0	0.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
71 & ABOVE		2	1	50.0	1	50.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
TOTAL		25	19	76.0	6	24.0	22	88.0	1	4.0	0	0.0	2	8.0	
AMADOR		UNDER 18	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
		18-20	14	13	92.9	1	7.1	12	85.7	2	14.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
		21-30	42	37	88.1	5	11.9	34	81.0	7	16.7	1	2.4	0	0.0
	31-40	59	41	69.5	18	30.5	55	93.2	2	3.4	1	1.7	1	1.7	
	41-50	48	36	75.0	12	25.0	45	93.8	1	2.1	2	4.2	0	0.0	
	51-60	28	26	92.9	2	7.1	27	96.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	3.6	
	61-70	9	7	77.8	2	22.2	9	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
	TOTAL	206	165	80.1	41	19.9	188	91.3	12	5.8	4	1.9	2	1.0	
	BUTTE	UNDER 18	22	19	86.4	3	13.6	19	86.4	3	13.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
18-20		134	118	88.1	16	11.9	115	85.8	13	9.7	2	1.5	4	3.0	
21-30		421	360	85.5	61	14.5	325	77.2	78	18.5	6	1.4	12	2.9	
31-40		330	270	81.8	60	18.2	268	81.2	43	13.0	5	1.5	14	4.2	
41-50		216	172	79.6	44	20.4	192	88.9	18	8.3	0	0.0	6	2.8	
51-60		86	71	82.6	15	17.4	81	94.2	3	3.5	0	0.0	2	2.3	
61-70		26	25	96.2	1	3.8	24	92.3	2	7.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	
71 & ABOVE		13	11	84.6	2	15.4	12	92.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	7.7	
TOTAL		1248	1046	83.8	202	16.2	1036	83.0	160	12.8	13	1.0	39	3.1	

TABLE B1: 1997 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)											
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE				HISPANIC				BLACK			
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
CALAVERAS	UNDER 18	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	25	21	84.0	4	16.0	24	96.0	1	4.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	63	56	88.9	7	11.1	53	84.1	6	9.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	4	6.3	0	0.0
	31-40	100	80	80.0	20	20.0	93	93.0	6	6.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.0	0	0.0
	41-50	77	58	75.3	19	24.7	72	93.5	5	6.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	36	32	88.9	4	11.1	34	94.4	1	2.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	2.8	0	0.0
	61-70	8	6	75.0	2	25.0	7	87.5	1	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	316	258	81.6	58	18.4	289	91.5	21	6.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	1.9	0	0.0
	COLUSA	UNDER 18	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	1	14.3	5	71.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	14.3	0
18-20		28	27	96.4	1	3.6	10	35.7	17	60.7	1	3.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
21-30		92	86	93.5	6	6.5	32	34.8	59	64.1	1	1.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
31-40		88	77	87.5	11	12.5	44	50.0	40	45.5	2	2.3	2	2.3	2	2.3	0	0.0
41-50		49	42	85.7	7	14.3	32	65.3	13	26.5	2	4.1	2	4.1	2	4.1	0	0.0
51-60		21	15	71.4	6	28.6	15	71.4	4	19.0	1	4.8	1	4.8	1	4.8	0	0.0
61-70		5	4	80.0	1	20.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
71 & ABOVE		2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL		292	260	89.0	32	11.0	141	48.3	138	47.3	7	2.4	7	2.4	6	2.1	0	0.0
CONTRA COSTA		UNDER 18	69	55	79.7	14	20.3	43	62.3	15	21.7	6	8.7	5	7.2	5	7.2	0
	18-20	308	260	84.4	48	15.6	173	56.2	77	25.0	26	8.4	32	10.4	32	10.4	0	0.0
	21-30	1336	1141	85.4	195	14.6	640	47.9	413	30.9	123	9.2	160	12.0	160	12.0	0	0.0
	31-40	1214	977	80.5	237	19.5	692	57.0	253	20.8	131	10.8	138	11.4	138	11.4	0	0.0
	41-50	832	676	81.3	156	18.8	496	59.6	155	18.6	89	10.7	92	11.1	92	11.1	0	0.0
	51-60	289	244	84.4	45	15.6	203	70.2	31	10.7	40	13.8	15	5.2	15	5.2	0	0.0
	61-70	113	104	92.0	9	8.0	73	64.6	18	15.9	17	15.0	5	4.4	5	4.4	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	39	37	94.9	2	5.1	23	59.0	6	15.4	6	15.4	4	10.3	4	10.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	4200	3494	83.2	706	16.8	2343	55.8	968	23.0	438	10.4	451	10.7	451	10.7	0	0.0
	DEL NORTE	UNDER 18	11	7	63.6	4	36.4	10	90.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	9.1	1	9.1	0
18-20		29	24	82.8	5	17.2	20	69.0	5	17.2	0	0.0	4	13.8	4	13.8	0	0.0
21-30		62	53	85.5	9	14.5	42	67.7	17	27.4	0	0.0	3	4.8	3	4.8	0	0.0
31-40		95	80	84.2	15	15.8	70	73.7	9	9.5	1	1.1	15	15.8	15	15.8	0	0.0
41-50		56	44	78.6	12	21.4	49	87.5	3	5.4	0	0.0	4	7.1	4	7.1	0	0.0
51-60		22	17	77.3	5	22.7	20	90.9	0	0.0	1	4.5	1	4.5	1	4.5	0	0.0
61-70		6	5	83.3	1	16.7	3	50.0	1	16.7	0	0.0	2	33.3	2	33.3	0	0.0
71 & ABOVE		4	3	75.0	1	25.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
TOTAL		285	233	81.8	52	18.2	218	76.5	35	12.3	2	0.7	30	10.5	30	10.5	0	0.0

TABLE B1: 1997 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)											
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE			HISPANIC			BLACK			OTHER		
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
EL DORADO	UNDER 18	18	13	72.2	5	27.8	15	83.3	1	5.6	1	5.6	1	5.6	1	5.6	1	5.6
	18-20	52	47	90.4	5	9.6	48	92.3	4	7.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	237	197	83.1	40	16.9	193	81.4	36	15.2	3	1.3	3	1.3	5	2.1	5	2.1
	31-40	315	236	74.9	79	25.1	288	91.4	19	6.0	2	0.6	2	0.6	6	1.9	6	1.9
	41-50	209	177	84.7	32	15.3	193	92.3	14	6.7	2	1.0	2	1.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	59	48	81.4	11	18.6	54	91.5	3	5.1	1	1.7	1	1.7	1	1.7	1	1.7
	61-70	20	15	75.0	5	25.0	19	95.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	5.0	1	5.0
	71 & ABOVE	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	918	740	80.6	178	19.4	818	89.1	77	8.4	9	1.0	9	1.0	14	1.5	14	1.5
FRESNO	UNDER 18	97	83	85.6	14	14.4	31	32.0	61	62.9	2	2.1	2	2.1	3	3.1	3	3.1
	18-20	509	472	92.7	37	7.3	111	21.8	370	72.7	19	3.7	19	3.7	9	1.8	9	1.8
	21-30	2609	2426	93.0	183	7.0	433	16.6	2039	78.2	81	3.1	81	3.1	56	2.1	56	2.1
	31-40	1901	1683	88.5	218	11.5	444	23.4	1295	68.1	98	5.2	98	5.2	64	3.4	64	3.4
	41-50	912	794	87.1	118	12.9	295	32.3	516	56.6	60	6.6	60	6.6	41	4.5	41	4.5
	51-60	285	263	92.3	22	7.7	104	36.5	150	52.6	19	6.7	19	6.7	12	4.2	12	4.2
	61-70	101	88	87.1	13	12.9	45	44.6	41	40.6	12	11.9	12	11.9	3	3.0	3	3.0
	71 & ABOVE	41	41	100.0	0	0.0	17	41.5	16	39.0	4	9.8	4	9.8	4	9.8	4	9.8
	TOTAL	6455	5850	90.6	605	9.4	1480	22.9	4488	69.5	295	4.6	295	4.6	192	3.0	192	3.0
GLENN	UNDER 18	6	4	66.7	2	33.3	4	66.7	2	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	4	24	100.0	0	0.0	15	62.5	9	37.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	81	78	96.3	3	3.7	28	34.6	52	64.2	1	1.2	1	1.2	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	93	80	86.0	13	14.0	51	54.8	40	43.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	2.2	2	2.2
	41-50	39	34	87.2	5	12.8	30	76.9	7	17.9	1	2.6	1	2.6	1	2.6	1	2.6
	51-60	21	16	76.2	5	23.8	19	90.5	1	4.8	1	4.8	1	4.8	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	6	5	83.3	1	16.7	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	273	244	89.4	29	10.6	155	56.8	112	41.0	3	1.1	3	1.1	3	1.1	3	1.1
HUMBOLDT	UNDER 18	30	23	76.7	7	23.3	23	76.7	3	10.0	1	3.3	1	3.3	3	10.0	3	10.0
	18-20	121	98	81.0	23	19.0	104	86.0	6	5.0	3	2.5	3	2.5	8	6.6	8	6.6
	21-30	440	347	78.9	93	21.1	352	80.0	60	13.6	3	0.7	3	0.7	25	5.7	25	5.7
	31-40	385	309	80.3	76	19.7	320	83.1	23	6.0	8	2.1	8	2.1	34	8.8	34	8.8
	41-50	250	204	81.6	46	18.4	217	86.8	5	2.0	3	1.2	3	1.2	25	10.0	25	10.0
	51-60	79	68	86.1	11	13.9	73	92.4	0	0.0	3	3.8	3	3.8	3	3.8	3	3.8
	61-70	22	21	95.5	1	4.5	20	90.9	1	4.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.5	1	4.5
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1332	1075	80.7	257	19.3	1114	83.6	98	7.4	21	1.6	21	1.6	99	7.4	99	7.4

TABLE B1: 1997 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)											
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE			HISPANIC			BLACK			OTHER		
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
IMPERIAL	UNDER 18	10	8	80.0	2	20.0	1	10.0	8	80.0	0	0.0	1	10.0				
	18-20	104	93	89.4	11	10.6	25	24.0	70	67.3	1	1.0	8	7.7				
	21-30	492	448	91.1	44	8.9	87	17.7	368	74.8	14	2.8	23	4.7				
	31-40	541	488	90.2	53	9.8	101	18.7	406	75.0	6	1.1	28	5.2				
	41-50	332	307	92.5	25	7.5	79	23.8	245	73.8	3	0.9	5	1.5				
	51-60	151	138	91.4	13	8.6	54	35.8	84	55.6	6	4.0	7	4.6				
	61-70	46	44	95.7	2	4.3	19	41.3	26	56.5	1	2.2	0	0.0				
	71 & ABOVE	16	15	93.8	1	6.3	12	75.0	4	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0				
	TOTAL	1692	1541	91.1	151	8.9	378	22.3	1211	71.6	31	1.8	72	4.3				
				3	50.0	3	50.0	4	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	33.3			
INYO	UNDER 18	6	10	100.0	0	0.0	7	70.0	2	20.0	0	0.0	1	10.0				
	18-20	85	77	90.6	8	9.4	48	56.5	21	24.7	2	2.4	14	16.5				
	21-30	76	68	89.5	8	10.5	51	67.1	13	17.1	1	1.3	11	14.5				
	31-40	57	48	84.2	9	15.8	41	71.9	8	14.0	1	1.8	7	12.3				
	41-50	27	22	81.5	5	18.5	19	70.4	1	3.7	1	3.7	6	22.2				
	51-60	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	5	71.4	2	28.6	0	0.0	0	0.0				
	61-70	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	3	75.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0				
	71 & ABOVE																	
	TOTAL	272	239	87.9	33	12.1	178	65.4	48	17.6	5	1.8	41	15.1				
				45	88.2	6	11.8	31	60.8	19	37.3	0	0.0	1	2.0			
KERN	UNDER 18	51	321	94.1	20	5.9	140	41.1	188	55.1	6	1.8	7	2.1				
	18-20	341	1403	92.3	117	7.7	473	31.1	968	63.7	54	3.6	25	1.6				
	21-30	1520	1174	88.1	159	11.9	503	37.7	725	54.4	84	6.3	21	1.6				
	31-40	1333	598	87.0	89	13.0	353	51.4	274	39.9	41	6.0	19	2.8				
	41-50	687	231	90.6	24	9.4	149	58.4	84	32.9	12	4.7	10	3.9				
	51-60	255	80	86.3	11	13.8	52	65.0	19	23.7	7	8.8	2	2.5				
	61-70	80	69	86.3	11	13.8	25	69.4	9	25.0	2	5.6	0	0.0				
	71 & ABOVE	36	34	94.4	2	5.6												
	TOTAL	4303	3875	90.1	428	9.9	1726	40.1	2286	53.1	206	4.8	85	2.0				
				17	94.4	1	5.6	8	44.4	9	50.0	1	5.6	0	0.0			
KINGS	UNDER 18	18	72	87.8	10	12.2	29	35.4	51	62.2	2	2.4	0	0.0				
	18-20	82	362	89.2	44	10.8	121	29.8	258	63.5	18	4.4	9	2.2				
	21-30	406	235	82.5	50	17.5	107	37.5	145	50.9	27	9.5	6	2.1				
	31-40	285	160	89.9	18	10.1	76	42.7	80	44.9	18	10.1	4	2.2				
	41-50	178	36	90.0	4	10.0	21	52.5	16	40.0	3	7.5	0	0.0				
	51-60	40	25	100.0	0	0.0	9	36.0	14	56.0	2	8.0	0	0.0				
	61-70	25	3	100.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0				
	71 & ABOVE	3																
	TOTAL	1037	910	87.8	127	12.2	373	36.0	574	55.4	71	6.8	19	1.8				



TABLE B1: 1997 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)									
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER			
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
LAKE	UNDER 18	18	11	61.1	7	38.9	16	88.9	2	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0		
	18-20	54	46	85.2	8	14.8	43	79.6	7	13.0	3	5.6	1	1.9		
	21-30	160	134	83.8	26	16.2	110	68.8	37	23.1	3	1.9	10	6.3		
	31-40	199	139	69.8	60	30.2	162	81.4	23	11.6	6	3.0	8	4.0		
	41-50	130	100	76.9	30	23.1	103	79.2	12	9.2	6	4.6	9	6.9		
	51-60	46	39	84.8	7	15.2	37	80.4	3	6.5	3	6.5	3	6.5		
	61-70	24	21	87.5	3	12.5	19	79.2	1	4.2	3	12.5	1	4.2		
	71 & ABOVE	7	6	85.7	1	14.3	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
	TOTAL	638	496	77.7	142	22.3	497	77.9	85	13.3	24	3.8	32	5.0		
	LASSEN	UNDER 18	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
18-20		12	11	91.7	1	8.3	10	83.3	2	16.7	0	0.0	0	0.0		
21-30		46	40	87.0	6	13.0	36	78.3	7	15.2	1	2.2	2	4.3		
31-40		52	41	78.8	11	21.2	42	80.8	6	11.5	1	1.9	3	5.8		
41-50		47	35	74.5	12	25.5	43	91.5	0	0.0	1	2.1	3	6.4		
51-60		14	14	100.0	0	0.0	13	92.9	0	0.0	1	7.1	0	0.0		
61-70		6	6	100.0	0	0.0	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
71 & ABOVE		2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
TOTAL		183	152	83.1	31	16.9	156	85.2	15	8.2	4	2.2	8	4.4		
LOS ANGELES		UNDER 18	226	197	87.2	29	12.8	62	27.4	138	61.1	4	1.8	22	9.7	
	18-20	2423	2199	90.8	224	9.2	496	20.5	1592	65.7	122	5.0	213	8.8		
	21-30	19945	17986	90.2	1959	9.8	3570	17.9	13602	68.2	1244	6.2	1529	7.7		
	31-40	15684	13935	88.8	1749	11.2	3772	24.0	8941	57.0	1682	10.7	1289	8.2		
	41-50	7555	6636	87.8	919	12.2	2323	30.7	3637	48.1	920	12.2	675	8.9		
	51-60	2455	2180	88.8	275	11.2	922	37.6	903	36.8	413	16.8	217	8.8		
	61-70	771	703	91.2	68	8.8	339	44.0	217	28.1	158	20.5	57	7.4		
	71 & ABOVE	196	177	90.3	19	9.7	117	59.7	44	22.4	24	12.2	11	5.6		
	TOTAL	49255	44013	89.4	5242	10.6	11601	23.6	29074	59.0	4567	9.3	4013	8.1		
	MADERA	UNDER 18	9	9	100.0	0	0.0	3	33.3	5	55.6	1	11.1	0	0.0	
18-20		64	62	96.9	2	3.1	13	20.3	49	76.6	0	0.0	2	3.1		
21-30		329	317	96.4	12	3.6	45	13.7	275	83.6	6	1.8	3	0.9		
31-40		238	211	88.7	27	11.3	59	24.8	170	71.4	5	2.1	4	1.7		
41-50		123	108	87.8	15	12.2	52	42.3	59	48.0	4	3.3	8	6.5		
51-60		42	39	92.9	3	7.1	23	54.8	18	42.9	1	2.4	0	0.0		
61-70		12	12	100.0	0	0.0	8	66.7	4	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0		
71 & ABOVE		3	3	100.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	1	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0		
TOTAL		820	761	92.8	59	7.2	205	25.0	581	70.9	17	2.1	17	2.1		

TABLE B1: 1997 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)											
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER					
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%				
MARIN	UNDER 18	12	11	91.7	1	8.3	8	66.7	1	8.3	1	8.3	2	16.7				
	18-20	98	77	78.6	21	21.4	62	63.3	21	21.4	4	4.1	11	11.2				
	21-30	498	407	81.7	91	18.3	289	58.0	150	30.1	19	3.8	40	8.0				
	31-40	453	334	73.7	119	26.3	327	72.2	68	15.0	20	4.4	38	8.4				
	41-50	352	250	71.0	102	29.0	285	81.0	25	7.1	15	4.3	27	7.7				
	51-60	131	98	74.8	33	25.2	108	82.4	8	6.1	8	6.1	7	5.3				
	61-70	43	33	76.7	10	23.3	36	83.7	1	2.3	1	2.3	5	11.6				
	71 & ABOVE	15	8	53.3	7	46.7	15	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0				
	TOTAL	1602	1218	76.0	384	24.0	1130	70.5	274	17.1	68	4.2	130	8.1				
MARIPOSA	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0				
	18-20	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0				
	21-30	17	14	82.4	3	17.6	15	88.2	2	11.8	0	0.0	0	0.0				
	31-40	14	7	50.0	7	50.0	14	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0				
	41-50	15	10	66.7	5	33.3	13	86.7	1	6.7	0	0.0	1	6.7				
	51-60	5	4	80.0	1	20.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0				
	61-70	4	2	50.0	2	50.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0				
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0				
	TOTAL	63	45	71.4	18	28.6	59	93.7	3	4.8	0	0.0	1	1.6				
MENDOCINO	UNDER 18	15	11	73.3	4	26.7	13	86.7	2	13.3	0	0.0	0	0.0				
	18-20	44	38	86.4	6	13.6	24	54.5	16	36.4	1	2.3	3	6.8				
	21-30	241	199	82.6	42	17.4	141	58.5	83	34.4	4	1.7	13	5.4				
	31-40	208	156	75.0	52	25.0	158	76.0	36	17.3	1	0.5	13	6.3				
	41-50	167	138	82.6	29	17.4	142	85.0	17	10.2	1	0.6	7	4.2				
	51-60	81	61	75.3	20	24.7	67	82.7	4	4.9	1	1.2	9	11.1				
	61-70	17	16	94.1	1	5.9	16	94.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	5.9				
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	4	80.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0				
	TOTAL	778	624	80.2	154	19.8	565	72.6	159	20.4	8	1.0	46	5.9				
MERCED	UNDER 18	17	15	88.2	2	11.8	8	47.1	7	41.2	2	11.8	0	0.0				
	18-20	154	147	95.5	7	4.5	47	30.5	98	63.6	4	2.6	5	3.2				
	21-30	722	682	94.5	40	5.5	120	16.6	577	79.9	16	2.2	9	1.2				
	31-40	507	450	88.8	57	11.2	149	29.4	327	64.5	24	4.7	7	1.4				
	41-50	283	242	85.5	41	14.5	110	38.9	150	53.0	17	6.0	6	2.1				
	51-60	100	93	93.0	7	7.0	49	49.0	41	41.0	6	6.0	4	4.0				
	61-70	28	26	92.9	2	7.1	18	64.3	9	32.1	1	3.6	0	0.0				
	71 & ABOVE	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	5	50.0	3	30.0	1	10.0	1	10.0				
	TOTAL	1821	1664	91.4	157	8.6	506	27.8	1212	66.6	71	3.9	32	1.8				

TABLE B1: 1997 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)						RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)											
			MALE			FEMALE			WHITE				HISPANIC				BLACK			
			N	%	N	N	%	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
MODOC	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	0.0		2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	0.0		1	25.0	2	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	25.0
	21-30	18	14	77.8	4	22.2	22.2		14	77.8	4	22.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	34	27	79.4	7	20.6	20.6		21	61.8	11	32.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	5.9	2	5.9
	41-50	22	19	86.4	3	13.6	13.6		19	86.4	1	4.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	9.1	2	9.1
	51-60	7	7	100.0	0	0.0	0.0		4	57.1	2	28.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	14.3	1	14.3
	61-70	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	0.0		3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1	100.0	0	0.0	0.0		1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	91	77	84.6	14	15.4	15.4		65	71.4	20	22.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	6	6.6	6	6.6
MONO	UNDER 18	2	1	50.0	1	50.0	50.0		2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	12.5		6	75.0	1	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	12.5	1	12.5
	21-30	28	26	92.9	2	7.1	7.1		20	71.4	6	21.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	7.1	2	7.1
	31-40	37	32	86.5	5	13.5	13.5		29	78.4	3	8.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	13.5	5	13.5
	41-50	25	16	64.0	9	36.0	36.0		22	88.0	2	8.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	4.0	1	4.0
	51-60	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	0.0		5	62.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	3	37.5	3	37.5
	TOTAL	108	90	83.3	18	16.7	16.7		84	77.8	12	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	12	11.1	12	11.1
	UNDER 18	43	37	86.0	6	14.0	14.0		11	25.6	28	65.1	2	4.7	2	4.7	2	4.7	2	4.7
	18-20	290	265	91.4	25	8.6	8.6		64	22.1	211	72.8	7	2.4	7	2.4	8	2.8	8	2.8
MONTEREY	21-30	1506	1374	91.2	132	8.8	8.8		341	22.6	1085	72.0	52	3.5	52	3.5	28	1.9	28	1.9
	31-40	954	813	85.2	141	14.8	14.8		370	38.8	500	52.4	46	4.8	46	4.8	38	4.0	38	4.0
	41-50	576	483	83.9	93	16.1	16.1		308	53.5	222	38.5	23	4.0	23	4.0	23	4.0	23	4.0
	51-60	160	141	88.1	19	11.9	11.9		106	66.3	40	25.0	12	7.5	12	7.5	2	1.2	2	1.2
	61-70	57	53	93.0	4	7.0	7.0		42	73.7	6	10.5	8	14.0	8	14.0	1	1.8	1	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	23	21	91.3	2	8.7	8.7		16	69.6	5	21.7	1	4.3	1	4.3	1	4.3	1	4.3
	TOTAL	3609	3187	88.3	422	11.7	11.7		1258	34.9	2097	58.1	151	4.2	151	4.2	103	2.9	103	2.9
	UNDER 18	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	12.5		6	75.0	2	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	78	70	89.7	8	10.3	10.3		39	50.0	34	43.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	6.4	5	6.4
NAPA	21-30	444	399	89.9	45	10.1	10.1		209	47.1	218	49.1	4	0.9	4	0.9	13	2.9	13	2.9
	31-40	294	228	77.6	66	22.4	22.4		196	66.7	88	29.9	2	0.7	2	0.7	8	2.7	8	2.7
	41-50	184	146	79.3	38	20.7	20.7		131	71.2	40	21.7	4	2.2	4	2.2	9	4.9	9	4.9
	51-60	67	60	89.6	7	10.4	10.4		54	80.6	9	13.4	2	3.0	2	3.0	2	3.0	2	3.0
	61-70	18	15	83.3	3	16.7	16.7		16	88.9	2	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	11	10	90.9	1	9.1	9.1		10	90.9	1	9.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1104	935	84.7	169	15.3	15.3		661	59.9	394	35.7	12	1.1	12	1.1	37	3.4	37	3.4
	UNDER 18	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	12.5		6	75.0	2	25.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	78	70	89.7	8	10.3	10.3		39	50.0	34	43.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	5	6.4	5	6.4
	21-30	444	399	89.9	45	10.1	10.1		209	47.1	218	49.1	4	0.9	4	0.9	13	2.9	13	2.9
	31-40	294	228	77.6	66	22.4	22.4		196	66.7	88	29.9	2	0.7	2	0.7	8	2.7	8	2.7
	41-50	184	146	79.3	38	20.7	20.7		131	71.2	40	21.7	4	2.2	4	2.2	9	4.9	9	4.9
	51-60	67	60	89.6	7	10.4	10.4		54	80.6	9	13.4	2	3.0	2	3.0	2	3.0	2	3.0
	61-70	18	15	83.3	3	16.7	16.7		16	88.9	2	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	11	10	90.9	1	9.1	9.1		10	90.9	1	9.1	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1104	935	84.7	169	15.3	15.3		661	59.9	394	35.7	12	1.1	12	1.1	37	3.4	37	3.4

TABLE B1: 1997 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)											
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER					
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%				
NEVADA	UNDER 18	11	7	63.6	4	36.4	11	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
	18-20	48	40	83.3	8	16.7	42	87.5	6	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
	21-30	181	153	84.5	28	15.5	156	86.2	22	12.2	2	1.1	1	0.6				
	31-40	181	137	75.7	44	24.3	161	89.0	14	7.7	3	1.7	3	1.7				
	41-50	190	145	76.3	45	23.7	182	95.8	5	2.6	1	0.5	2	1.1				
	51-60	74	57	77.0	17	23.0	69	93.2	4	5.4	1	1.4	0	0.0				
	61-70	13	11	84.6	2	15.4	11	84.6	1	7.7	1	7.7	0	0.0				
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0				
	TOTAL	703	555	78.9	148	21.1	637	90.6	52	7.4	8	1.1	6	0.9				
ORANGE	UNDER 18	68	57	83.8	11	16.2	40	58.8	21	30.9	2	2.9	5	7.4				
	18-20	722	605	83.8	117	16.2	366	50.7	307	42.5	10	1.4	39	5.4				
	21-30	6078	5274	86.8	804	13.2	2466	40.6	3076	50.6	106	1.7	430	7.1				
	31-40	4637	3890	83.9	747	16.1	2200	47.4	1961	42.3	142	3.1	334	7.2				
	41-50	2214	1857	83.9	357	16.1	1351	61.0	629	28.4	59	2.7	175	7.9				
	51-60	823	704	85.5	119	14.5	555	67.4	188	22.8	17	2.1	63	7.7				
	61-70	260	219	84.2	41	15.8	213	81.9	32	12.3	4	1.5	11	4.2				
	71 & ABOVE	54	45	83.3	9	16.7	48	88.9	5	9.3	0	0.0	1	1.9				
	TOTAL	14856	12651	85.2	2205	14.8	7239	48.7	6219	41.9	340	2.3	1058	7.1				
PLACER	UNDER 18	21	17	81.0	4	19.0	17	81.0	2	9.5	0	0.0	2	9.5				
	18-20	132	111	84.1	21	15.9	91	68.9	26	19.7	0	0.0	15	11.4				
	21-30	545	453	83.1	92	16.9	383	70.3	66	12.1	8	1.5	88	16.1				
	31-40	502	393	78.3	109	21.7	369	73.5	36	7.2	5	1.0	92	18.3				
	41-50	325	261	80.3	64	19.7	241	74.2	19	5.8	3	0.9	62	19.1				
	51-60	100	84	84.0	16	16.0	82	82.0	2	2.0	0	0.0	16	16.0				
	61-70	44	36	81.8	8	18.2	28	63.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	16	36.4				
	71 & ABOVE	15	14	93.3	1	6.7	10	66.7	2	13.3	0	0.0	3	20.0				
	TOTAL	1684	1369	81.3	315	18.7	1221	72.5	153	9.1	16	1.0	294	17.5				
PLUMAS	UNDER 18	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	1	50.0	1	50.0	0	0.0	0	0.0				
	18-20	25	23	92.0	2	8.0	21	84.0	1	4.0	0	0.0	3	12.0				
	21-30	40	28	70.0	12	30.0	35	87.5	3	7.5	0	0.0	2	5.0				
	31-40	59	45	76.3	14	23.7	52	88.1	1	1.7	1	1.7	5	8.5				
	41-50	68	59	86.8	9	13.2	62	91.2	3	4.4	0	0.0	3	4.4				
	51-60	29	23	79.3	6	20.7	29	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0				
	61-70	6	6	100.0	0	0.0	6	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0				
	71 & ABOVE	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0				
	TOTAL	233	190	81.5	43	18.5	210	90.1	9	3.9	1	0.4	13	5.6				

TABLE B1: 1997 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	67	62	92.5	5	7.5	22	32.8	42	62.7	2	3.0	1	1.5
	18-20	616	570	92.5	46	7.5	235	38.1	329	53.4	27	4.4	25	4.1
	21-30	2798	2567	91.7	231	8.3	942	33.7	1622	58.0	148	5.3	86	3.1
	31-40	2382	2101	88.2	281	11.8	1068	44.8	1084	45.5	146	6.1	84	3.5
	41-50	1323	1157	87.5	166	12.5	738	55.8	469	35.4	66	5.0	50	3.8
	51-60	595	511	85.9	84	14.1	380	63.9	156	26.2	36	6.1	23	3.9
	61-70	214	182	85.0	32	15.0	156	72.9	37	17.3	13	6.1	8	3.7
	71 & ABOVE	83	75	90.4	8	9.6	63	75.9	15	18.1	4	4.8	1	1.2
	TOTAL	8078	7225	89.4	853	10.6	3604	44.6	3754	46.5	442	5.5	278	3.4
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	78	67	85.9	11	14.1	28	35.9	22	28.2	8	10.3	20	25.6
	18-20	503	440	87.5	63	12.5	161	32.0	105	20.9	36	7.2	201	40.0
	21-30	2545	2074	81.5	471	18.5	959	37.7	504	19.8	245	9.6	837	32.9
	31-40	2058	1652	80.3	406	19.7	810	39.4	289	14.0	254	12.3	705	34.3
	41-50	1186	976	82.3	210	17.7	510	43.0	123	10.4	159	13.4	394	33.2
	51-60	378	338	89.4	40	10.6	166	43.9	34	9.0	43	11.4	135	35.7
	61-70	114	101	88.6	13	11.4	53	46.5	8	7.0	19	16.7	34	29.8
	71 & ABOVE	39	37	94.9	2	5.1	18	46.2	3	7.7	5	12.8	13	33.3
	TOTAL	6901	5685	82.4	1216	17.6	2705	39.2	1088	15.8	769	11.1	2339	33.9
SAN BENITO	UNDER 18	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	1	25.0	3	75.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	33	31	93.9	2	6.1	12	36.4	21	63.6	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	146	134	91.8	12	8.2	35	24.0	110	75.3	0	0.0	1	0.7
	31-40	112	93	83.0	19	17.0	30	26.8	80	71.4	1	0.9	1	0.9
	41-50	58	53	91.4	5	8.6	26	44.8	29	50.0	1	1.7	2	3.4
	51-60	16	14	87.5	2	12.5	6	37.5	10	62.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	8	7	87.5	1	12.5	3	37.5	5	62.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	377	336	89.1	41	10.9	113	30.0	258	68.4	2	0.5	4	1.1
	UNDER 18	73	59	80.8	14	19.2	36	49.3	33	45.2	1	1.4	3	4.1
SAN BERNARDINO	18-20	666	596	89.5	70	10.5	288	43.2	334	50.2	25	3.8	19	2.9
	21-30	3966	3571	90.0	395	10.0	1307	33.0	2254	56.8	280	7.1	125	3.2
	31-40	3363	2920	86.8	443	13.2	1336	39.7	1612	47.9	297	8.8	118	3.5
	41-50	1788	1538	86.0	250	14.0	934	52.2	620	34.7	152	8.5	82	4.6
	51-60	675	587	87.0	88	13.0	385	57.0	192	28.4	69	10.2	29	4.3
	61-70	234	213	91.0	21	9.0	152	65.0	57	24.4	21	9.0	4	1.7
	71 & ABOVE	51	46	90.2	5	9.8	33	64.7	15	29.4	2	3.9	1	2.0
	TOTAL	10816	9530	88.1	1286	11.9	4471	41.3	5117	47.3	847	7.8	381	3.5

TABLE B1: 1997 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)											
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE			HISPANIC			BLACK			OTHER		
			N	%	N	%	N	%	%	N	%	%	N	%	%	N	%	%
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	136	111	81.6	25	18.4	90	66.2	40	29.4	2	1.5	4	2.9				
	18-20	1080	916	84.8	164	15.2	594	55.0	360	33.3	48	4.4	78	7.2				
	21-30	5686	4938	86.8	748	13.2	2629	46.2	2206	38.8	400	7.0	451	7.9				
	31-40	4289	3565	83.1	724	16.9	2152	50.2	1541	35.9	305	7.1	291	6.8				
	41-50	2357	1917	81.3	440	18.7	1369	58.1	635	26.9	159	6.7	194	8.2				
	51-60	803	689	85.8	114	14.2	510	63.5	177	22.0	51	6.4	65	8.1				
	61-70	271	230	84.9	41	15.1	193	71.2	52	19.2	13	4.8	13	4.8				
	71 & ABOVE	79	73	92.4	6	7.6	59	74.7	12	15.2	5	6.3	3	3.8				
	TOTAL	14701	12439	84.6	2262	15.4	7596	51.7	5023	34.2	983	6.7	1099	7.5				
	UNDER 18	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	2	50.0	1	25.0	0	0.0	1	25.0				
SAN FRANCISCO	18-20	57	37	64.9	20	35.1	25	43.9	5	8.8	11	19.3	16	28.1				
	21-30	572	484	84.6	88	15.4	292	51.0	97	17.0	67	11.7	116	20.3				
	31-40	506	439	86.8	67	13.2	259	51.2	97	19.2	80	15.8	70	13.8				
	41-50	233	199	85.4	34	14.6	129	55.4	16	6.9	56	24.0	32	13.7				
	51-60	79	70	88.6	9	11.4	39	49.4	8	10.1	25	31.6	7	8.9				
	61-70	22	20	90.9	2	9.1	14	63.6	1	4.5	4	18.2	3	13.6				
	71 & ABOVE	8	5	62.5	3	37.5	5	62.5	0	0.0	3	37.5	0	0.0				
	TOTAL	1481	1258	84.9	223	15.1	765	51.7	225	15.2	246	16.6	245	16.5				
	UNDER 18	53	48	90.6	5	9.4	23	43.4	23	43.4	3	5.7	4	7.5				
	18-20	268	243	90.7	25	9.3	121	45.1	122	45.5	14	5.2	11	4.1				
SAN JOAQUIN	21-30	1325	1228	92.7	97	7.3	422	31.8	784	59.2	62	4.7	57	4.3				
	31-40	1101	931	84.6	170	15.4	542	49.2	433	39.3	77	7.0	49	4.5				
	41-50	634	554	87.4	80	12.6	378	59.6	169	26.7	53	8.4	34	5.4				
	51-60	223	191	85.7	32	14.3	142	63.7	55	24.7	17	7.6	9	4.0				
	61-70	85	77	90.6	8	9.4	60	70.6	17	20.0	3	3.5	5	5.9				
	71 & ABOVE	21	20	95.2	1	4.8	12	57.1	6	28.6	0	0.0	3	14.3				
	TOTAL	3710	3292	88.7	418	11.3	1700	45.8	1609	43.4	229	6.2	172	4.6				
	UNDER 18	23	14	60.9	9	39.1	19	82.6	2	8.7	0	0.0	2	8.7				
	18-20	217	185	85.3	32	14.7	168	77.4	44	20.3	1	0.5	4	1.8				
	21-30	664	554	83.4	110	16.6	455	68.5	185	27.9	7	1.1	17	2.6				
SAN LUIS OBISPO	31-40	494	398	80.6	96	19.4	358	72.5	115	23.3	14	2.8	7	1.4				
	41-50	344	259	75.3	85	24.7	278	80.8	53	15.4	13	3.8	0	0.0				
	51-60	107	89	83.2	18	16.8	90	84.1	16	15.0	1	0.9	0	0.0				
	61-70	47	44	93.6	3	6.4	38	80.9	7	14.9	2	4.3	0	0.0				
	71 & ABOVE	11	10	90.9	1	9.1	10	90.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	9.1				
	TOTAL	1907	1553	81.4	354	18.6	1416	74.3	422	22.1	38	2.0	31	1.6				
	UNDER 18	23	14	60.9	9	39.1	19	82.6	2	8.7	0	0.0	2	8.7				

TABLE B1: 1997 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)											
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER					
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%				
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	19	17	89.5	2	10.5	7	36.8	6	31.6	2	10.5	4	21.1				
	18-20	193	172	89.1	21	10.9	70	36.3	95	49.2	8	4.1	20	10.4				
	21-30	1224	1067	87.2	157	12.8	493	40.3	540	44.1	55	4.5	136	11.1				
	31-40	1132	926	81.8	206	18.2	619	54.7	334	29.5	69	6.1	110	9.7				
	41-50	665	545	82.0	120	18.0	410	61.7	134	20.2	55	8.3	66	9.9				
	51-60	222	184	82.9	38	17.1	155	69.8	24	10.8	21	9.5	22	9.9				
	61-70	83	67	80.7	16	19.3	60	72.3	7	8.4	10	12.0	6	7.2				
	71 & ABOVE	24	22	91.7	2	8.3	21	87.5	1	4.2	1	4.2	1	4.2				
	TOTAL	3562	3000	84.2	562	15.8	1835	51.5	1141	32.0	221	6.2	365	10.2				
SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	38	27	71.1	11	28.9	21	55.3	15	39.5	1	2.6	1	2.6				
	18-20	243	202	83.1	41	16.9	122	50.2	106	43.6	5	2.1	10	4.1				
	21-30	1087	937	86.2	150	13.8	474	43.6	534	49.1	38	3.5	41	3.8				
	31-40	811	670	82.6	141	17.4	437	53.9	340	41.9	25	3.1	9	1.1				
	41-50	420	333	79.3	87	20.7	275	65.5	120	28.6	16	3.8	9	2.1				
	51-60	157	129	82.2	28	17.8	100	63.7	44	28.0	6	3.8	7	4.5				
	61-70	47	41	87.2	6	12.8	32	68.1	12	25.5	3	6.4	0	0.0				
	71 & ABOVE	20	17	85.0	3	15.0	17	85.0	3	15.0	0	0.0	0	0.0				
	TOTAL	2823	2356	83.5	467	16.5	1478	52.4	1174	41.6	94	3.3	77	2.7				
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	79	67	84.8	12	15.2	33	41.8	41	51.9	3	3.8	2	2.5				
	18-20	474	430	90.7	44	9.3	148	31.2	286	60.3	16	3.4	24	5.1				
	21-30	3492	3137	89.8	355	10.2	1014	29.0	2002	57.3	131	3.8	345	9.9				
	31-40	2783	2440	87.7	343	12.3	1143	41.1	1187	42.7	163	5.9	290	10.4				
	41-50	1505	1270	84.4	235	15.6	695	46.2	545	36.2	105	7.0	160	10.6				
	51-60	480	411	85.6	69	14.4	266	55.4	137	28.5	33	6.9	44	9.2				
	61-70	127	108	85.0	19	15.0	68	53.5	46	36.2	5	3.9	8	6.3				
	71 & ABOVE	55	49	89.1	6	10.9	40	72.7	11	20.0	2	3.6	2	3.6				
	TOTAL	8995	7912	88.0	1083	12.0	3407	37.9	4255	47.3	458	5.1	875	9.7				
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	34	28	82.4	6	17.6	21	61.8	13	38.2	0	0.0	0	0.0				
	18-20	184	153	83.2	31	16.8	109	59.2	73	39.7	0	0.0	2	1.1				
	21-30	916	781	85.3	135	14.7	437	47.7	443	48.4	18	2.0	18	2.0				
	31-40	736	590	80.2	146	19.8	460	62.5	245	33.3	20	2.7	11	1.5				
	41-50	448	359	80.1	89	19.9	344	76.8	87	19.4	4	0.9	13	2.9				
	51-60	116	96	82.8	20	17.2	93	80.2	19	16.4	0	0.0	4	3.4				
	61-70	39	37	94.9	2	5.1	31	79.5	5	12.8	1	2.6	2	5.1				
	71 & ABOVE	10	8	80.0	2	20.0	9	90.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	10.0				
	TOTAL	2483	2052	82.6	431	17.4	1504	60.6	885	35.6	43	1.7	51	2.1				

TABLE B1: 1997 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SHASTA	UNDER 18	11	6	54.5	5	45.5	10	90.9	1	9.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	69	60	87.0	9	13.0	62	89.9	6	8.7	0	0.0	1	1.4
	21-30	277	223	80.5	54	19.5	244	88.1	17	6.1	3	1.1	13	4.7
	31-40	275	208	75.6	67	24.4	243	88.4	16	5.8	5	1.8	11	4.0
	41-50	213	172	80.8	41	19.2	194	91.1	13	6.1	2	0.9	4	1.9
	51-60	85	68	80.0	17	20.0	81	95.3	0	0.0	1	1.2	3	3.5
	61-70	22	20	90.9	2	9.1	22	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	960	765	79.7	195	20.3	864	90.0	53	5.5	11	1.1	32	3.3
			8	100.0	0	0.0	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
SIERRA	21-30	8	8	100.0	0	0.0	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	31-40	10	9	90.0	1	10.0	10	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	41-50	7	5	71.4	2	28.6	7	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	51-60	4	4	100.0	0	0.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	1	0	0.0	1	100.0	1	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	30	26	86.7	4	13.3	30	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
			3	75.0	1	25.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
			29	93.5	2	6.5	24	77.4	4	12.9	1	3.2	2	6.5
SISKIYOU	UNDER 18	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	31	29	93.5	2	6.5	24	77.4	4	12.9	1	3.2	2	6.5
	21-30	100	90	90.0	10	10.0	76	76.0	15	15.0	3	3.0	6	6.0
	31-40	159	119	74.8	40	25.2	115	72.3	21	13.2	8	5.0	15	9.4
	41-50	100	88	88.0	12	12.0	87	87.0	3	3.0	4	4.0	6	6.0
	51-60	23	21	91.3	2	8.7	17	73.9	2	8.7	1	4.3	3	13.0
	61-70	12	11	91.7	1	8.3	8	66.7	0	0.0	2	16.7	2	16.7
	71 & ABOVE	9	9	100.0	0	0.0	8	88.9	1	11.1	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	438	370	84.5	68	15.5	339	77.4	46	10.5	19	4.3	34	7.8
			25	96.2	1	3.8	11	42.3	9	34.6	6	23.1	0	0.0
SOLANO	UNDER 18	26	25	96.2	1	3.8	11	42.3	9	34.6	6	23.1	0	0.0
	18-20	97	82	84.5	15	15.5	45	46.4	34	35.1	11	11.3	7	7.2
	21-30	447	389	87.0	58	13.0	180	40.3	173	38.7	63	14.1	31	6.9
	31-40	423	335	79.2	88	20.8	204	48.2	97	22.9	86	20.3	36	8.5
	41-50	286	231	80.8	55	19.2	171	59.8	39	13.6	54	18.9	22	7.7
	51-60	106	92	86.8	14	13.2	66	62.3	7	6.6	26	24.5	7	6.6
	61-70	38	36	94.7	2	5.3	22	57.9	5	13.2	10	26.3	1	2.6
	71 & ABOVE	13	11	84.6	2	15.4	9	69.2	0	0.0	4	30.8	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1436	1201	83.6	235	16.4	708	49.3	364	25.3	260	18.1	104	7.2
			25	96.2	1	3.8	11	42.3	9	34.6	6	23.1	0	0.0



TABLE B1: 1997 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
SONOMA	UNDER 18	39	30	76.9	9	23.1	27	69.2	10	25.6	1	2.6	1	2.6
	18-20	215	187	87.0	28	13.0	131	60.9	78	36.3	1	0.5	5	2.3
	21-30	1003	856	85.3	147	14.7	542	54.0	423	42.2	16	1.6	22	2.2
	31-40	827	625	75.6	202	24.4	572	69.2	220	26.6	19	2.3	16	1.9
	41-50	581	445	76.6	136	23.4	497	85.5	67	11.5	11	1.9	6	1.0
	51-60	200	162	81.0	38	19.0	166	83.0	26	13.0	5	2.5	3	1.5
	61-70	54	44	81.5	10	18.5	50	92.6	4	7.4	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	29	26	89.7	3	10.3	26	89.7	2	6.9	0	0.0	1	3.4
	TOTAL	2948	2375	80.6	573	19.4	2011	68.2	830	28.2	53	1.8	54	1.8
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	39	36	92.3	3	7.7	22	56.4	14	35.9	3	7.7	0	0.0
	18-20	192	175	91.1	17	8.9	88	45.8	96	50.0	3	1.6	5	2.6
	21-30	1010	879	87.0	131	13.0	395	39.1	553	54.8	25	2.5	37	3.7
	31-40	692	578	83.5	114	16.5	360	52.0	283	40.9	25	3.6	24	3.5
	41-50	444	378	85.1	66	14.9	279	62.8	137	30.9	13	2.9	15	3.4
	51-60	162	144	88.9	18	11.1	116	71.6	42	25.9	1	0.6	3	1.9
	61-70	40	38	95.0	2	5.0	31	77.5	9	22.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	11	11	100.0	0	0.0	8	72.7	3	27.3	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2590	2239	86.4	351	13.6	1299	50.2	1137	43.9	70	2.7	84	3.2
SUTTER	UNDER 18	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	64	57	89.1	7	10.9	39	60.9	18	28.1	6	9.4	1	1.6
	21-30	268	243	90.7	25	9.3	122	45.5	120	44.8	7	2.6	19	7.1
	31-40	223	179	80.3	44	19.7	130	58.3	60	26.9	10	4.5	23	10.3
	41-50	158	133	84.2	25	15.8	108	68.4	29	18.4	9	5.7	12	7.6
	51-60	57	48	84.2	9	15.8	45	78.9	10	17.5	0	0.0	2	3.5
	61-70	13	11	84.6	2	15.4	10	76.9	1	7.7	0	0.0	2	15.4
	71 & ABOVE	8	6	75.0	2	25.0	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	794	679	85.5	115	14.5	465	58.6	238	30.0	32	4.0	59	7.4
TEHAMA	UNDER 18	8	5	62.5	3	37.5	8	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	29	27	93.1	2	6.9	19	65.5	9	31.0	1	3.4	0	0.0
	21-30	133	120	90.2	13	9.8	88	66.2	43	32.3	0	0.0	2	1.5
	31-40	135	108	80.0	27	20.0	107	79.3	26	19.3	0	0.0	2	1.5
	41-50	88	72	81.8	16	18.2	72	81.8	14	15.9	0	0.0	2	2.3
	51-60	39	33	84.6	6	15.4	34	87.2	3	7.7	0	0.0	2	5.1
	61-70	26	23	88.5	3	11.5	22	84.6	3	11.5	0	0.0	1	3.8
	71 & ABOVE	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	462	391	84.6	71	15.4	354	76.6	98	21.2	1	0.2	9	1.9

TABLE B1: 1997 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)							
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE		HISPANIC		BLACK		OTHER	
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
TRINITY	UNDER 18	24	21	87.5	3	12.5	24	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	45	33	73.3	12	26.7	36	80.0	4	8.9	0	0.0	5	11.1
	31-40	85	62	72.9	23	27.1	78	91.8	2	2.4	0	0.0	5	5.9
	41-50	83	63	75.9	20	24.1	78	94.0	1	1.2	2	2.4	2	2.4
	61-70	4	3	75.0	1	25.0	4	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	2	2	100.0	0	0.0	2	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	248	189	76.2	59	23.8	227	91.5	7	2.8	2	0.8	12	4.8
TULARE	UNDER 18	46	41	89.1	5	10.9	11	23.9	30	65.2	1	2.2	4	8.7
	18-20	261	238	91.2	23	8.8	72	27.6	172	65.9	1	0.4	16	6.1
	21-30	1299	1214	93.5	85	6.5	205	15.8	1032	79.4	7	0.5	55	4.2
	31-40	886	815	92.0	71	8.0	178	20.1	659	74.4	6	0.7	43	4.9
	41-50	428	384	89.7	44	10.3	140	32.7	266	62.1	8	1.9	14	3.3
	51-60	137	131	95.6	6	4.4	46	33.6	80	58.4	2	1.5	9	6.6
	61-70	38	34	89.5	4	10.5	12	31.6	21	55.3	2	5.3	3	7.9
	71 & ABOVE	14	14	100.0	0	0.0	9	64.3	3	21.4	0	0.0	2	14.3
	TOTAL	3109	2871	92.3	238	7.7	673	21.6	2263	72.8	27	0.9	146	4.7
TUOLUMNE	UNDER 18	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	29	27	93.1	2	6.9	29	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	21-30	72	62	86.1	10	13.9	67	93.1	4	5.6	0	0.0	1	1.4
	31-40	112	86	76.8	26	23.2	110	98.2	1	0.9	0	0.0	1	0.9
	41-50	93	81	87.1	12	12.9	85	91.4	6	6.5	1	1.1	1	1.1
	51-60	34	29	85.3	5	14.7	33	97.1	1	2.9	0	0.0	0	0.0
	61-70	14	14	100.0	0	0.0	14	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	3	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	362	307	84.8	55	15.2	346	95.6	12	3.3	1	0.3	3	0.8
VENTURA	UNDER 18	31	25	80.6	6	19.4	20	64.5	11	35.5	0	0.0	0	0.0
	18-20	243	204	84.0	39	16.0	123	50.6	112	46.1	1	0.4	7	2.9
	21-30	1570	1374	87.5	196	12.5	598	38.1	896	57.1	29	1.8	47	3.0
	31-40	1098	916	83.4	182	16.6	556	50.6	466	42.4	44	4.0	32	2.9
	41-50	654	542	82.9	112	17.1	395	60.4	226	34.6	16	2.4	17	2.6
	51-60	238	209	87.8	29	12.2	159	66.8	59	24.8	10	4.2	10	4.2
	61-70	57	49	86.0	8	14.0	43	75.4	11	19.3	2	3.5	1	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	26	21	80.8	5	19.2	17	65.4	8	30.8	0	0.0	1	3.8
	TOTAL	3917	3340	85.3	577	14.7	1911	48.8	1789	45.7	102	2.6	115	2.9

TABLE B1: 1997 DUI ARRESTS BY COUNTY, AGE, SEX AND RACE/ETHNICITY - continued

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL	SEX (100%)				RACE/ETHNICITY (100%)											
			MALE		FEMALE		WHITE			HISPANIC			BLACK			OTHER		
			N	%	N	%	N	%	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	%
YOLO	UNDER 18	18	16	88.9	2	11.1	10	55.6	7	38.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	5.6		
	18-20	114	104	91.2	10	8.8	54	47.4	55	48.2	2	1.8	2	1.8	3	2.6		
	21-30	417	372	89.2	45	10.8	209	50.1	185	44.4	9	2.2	9	2.2	14	3.4		
	31-40	302	245	81.1	57	18.9	179	59.3	110	36.4	5	1.7	5	1.7	8	2.6		
	41-50	197	163	82.7	34	17.3	135	68.5	48	24.4	5	2.5	5	2.5	9	4.6		
	51-60	59	47	79.7	12	20.3	43	72.9	15	25.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	1.7		
	61-70	22	20	90.9	2	9.1	18	81.8	4	18.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
	71 & ABOVE	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	4	80.0	1	20.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
	TOTAL	1134	972	85.7	162	14.3	652	57.5	425	37.5	21	1.9	21	1.9	36	3.2		
			2	66.7	1	33.3	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	33.3		
YUBA	UNDER 18	3	2	66.7	1	33.3	12	57.1	7	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	9.5		
	18-20	21	18	85.7	3	14.3	69	65.7	29	27.6	4	3.8	4	3.8	3	2.9		
	21-30	105	87	82.9	18	17.1	84	66.7	24	19.0	10	7.9	10	7.9	8	6.3		
	31-40	126	108	85.7	18	14.3	52	80.0	7	10.8	2	3.1	2	3.1	4	6.2		
	41-50	65	53	81.5	12	18.5	24	92.3	2	7.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0		
	51-60	26	20	76.9	6	23.1	11	64.7	3	17.6	1	5.9	1	5.9	2	11.8		
	61-70	17	15	88.2	2	11.8	2	66.7	0	0.0	1	33.3	1	33.3	0	0.0		
	71 & ABOVE	3	3	100.0	0	0.0	2	66.7	0	0.0	1	33.3	1	33.3	0	0.0		
	TOTAL	366	306	83.6	60	16.4	256	69.9	72	19.7	18	4.9	18	4.9	20	5.5		
			2	66.7	1	33.3	2	66.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	1	33.3		
			18	85.7	3	14.3	12	57.1	7	33.3	0	0.0	0	0.0	2	9.5		

TABLE B2: 1996 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
STATEWIDE		141692	100.0	123129	100.0	18563	100.0
ALAMEDA	UNDER 18	19	0.4	15	0.4	4	0.6
	18-20	173	4.0	157	4.3	16	2.5
	21-30	1389	32.3	1207	32.9	182	28.7
	31-40	1479	34.3	1231	33.5	248	39.1
	41-50	789	18.3	669	18.2	120	18.9
	51-60	337	7.8	284	7.7	53	8.4
	61-70	97	2.3	88	2.4	9	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	23	0.5	21	0.6	2	0.3
	TOTAL	4306	100.0	3672	100.0	634	100.0
ALPINE	21-30	7	21.2	7	23.3	0	0.0
	31-40	8	24.2	8	26.7	0	0.0
	41-50	9	27.3	6	20.0	3	100.0
	51-60	8	24.2	8	26.7	0	0.0
	61-70	1	3.0	1	3.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	33	100.0	30	100.0	3	100.0
AMADOR	18-20	10	5.9	9	6.2	1	4.2
	21-30	45	26.6	43	29.7	2	8.3
	31-40	46	27.2	35	24.1	11	45.8
	41-50	38	22.5	30	20.7	8	33.3
	51-60	22	13.0	20	13.8	2	8.3
	61-70	5	3.0	5	3.4	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.8	3	2.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	169	100.0	145	100.0	24	100.0
BUTTE	UNDER 18	5	0.5	4	0.5	1	0.7
	18-20	56	6.1	43	5.6	13	8.5
	21-30	334	36.2	289	37.5	45	29.4
	31-40	268	29.0	217	28.2	51	33.3
	41-50	182	19.7	151	19.6	31	20.3
	51-60	56	6.1	48	6.2	8	5.2
	61-70	16	1.7	13	1.7	3	2.0
	71 & ABOVE	6	0.7	5	0.6	1	0.7
	TOTAL	923	100.0	770	100.0	153	100.0
CALAVERAS	UNDER 18	2	1.0	1	0.6	1	3.4
	18-20	7	3.6	7	4.2	0	0.0
	21-30	38	19.5	36	21.7	2	6.9
	31-40	62	31.8	47	28.3	15	51.7
	41-50	56	28.7	49	29.5	7	24.1
	51-60	22	11.3	19	11.4	3	10.3
	61-70	4	2.1	3	1.8	1	3.4
	71 & ABOVE	4	2.1	4	2.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	195	100.0	166	100.0	29	100.0
COLUSA	UNDER 18	1	0.4	1	0.5	0	0.0
	18-20	15	6.5	13	6.3	2	7.7
	21-30	92	39.7	86	41.7	6	23.1
	31-40	61	26.3	52	25.2	9	34.6
	41-50	40	17.2	33	16.0	7	26.9
	51-60	14	6.0	14	6.8	0	0.0
	61-70	9	3.9	7	3.4	2	7.7
	TOTAL	232	100.0	206	100.0	26	100.0

TABLE B2: 1996 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE (continued)

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
CONTRA COSTA	UNDER 18	17	0.5	13	0.5	4	0.7
	18-20	156	5.0	138	5.4	18	3.3
	21-30	956	30.8	805	31.4	151	28.1
	31-40	997	32.1	813	31.7	184	34.2
	41-50	607	19.6	484	18.9	123	22.9
	51-60	257	8.3	213	8.3	44	8.2
	61-70	85	2.7	75	2.9	10	1.9
	71 & ABOVE	28	0.9	24	0.9	4	0.7
	TOTAL	3103	100.0	2565	100.0	538	100.0
DEL NORTE	UNDER 18	2	1.0	2	1.2	0	0.0
	18-20	11	5.3	11	6.7	0	0.0
	21-30	47	22.5	39	23.9	8	17.4
	31-40	74	35.4	49	30.1	25	54.3
	41-50	50	23.9	40	24.5	10	21.7
	51-60	13	6.2	11	6.7	2	4.3
	61-70	11	5.3	10	6.1	1	2.2
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.5	1	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	209	100.0	163	100.0	46	100.0
EL DORADO	UNDER 18	9	1.0	8	1.1	1	0.6
	18-20	62	6.9	52	7.2	10	5.6
	21-30	217	24.1	191	26.5	26	14.5
	31-40	305	33.9	229	31.8	76	42.5
	41-50	206	22.9	159	22.1	47	26.3
	51-60	70	7.8	53	7.4	17	9.5
	61-70	22	2.4	21	2.9	1	0.6
	71 & ABOVE	9	1.0	8	1.1	1	0.6
	TOTAL	900	100.0	721	100.0	179	100.0
FRESNO	UNDER 18	9	0.2	9	0.2	0	0.0
	18-20	261	6.4	249	6.8	12	2.9
	21-30	1523	37.4	1400	38.2	123	30.1
	31-40	1330	32.6	1167	31.8	163	39.9
	41-50	641	15.7	561	15.3	80	19.6
	51-60	228	5.6	212	5.8	16	3.9
	61-70	65	1.6	52	1.4	13	3.2
	71 & ABOVE	18	0.4	16	0.4	2	0.5
	TOTAL	4075	100.0	3666	100.0	409	100.0
GLENN	UNDER 18	1	0.4	1	0.4	0	0.0
	18-20	16	6.1	16	7.0	0	0.0
	21-30	85	32.6	78	33.9	7	22.6
	31-40	85	32.6	69	30.0	16	51.6
	41-50	46	17.6	43	18.7	3	9.7
	51-60	15	5.7	13	5.7	2	6.5
	61-70	10	3.8	7	3.0	3	9.7
	71 & ABOVE	3	1.1	3	1.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	261	100.0	230	100.0	31	100.0
HUMBOLDT	18-20	41	5.9	32	5.5	9	8.0
	21-30	249	35.9	218	37.5	31	27.7
	31-40	200	28.8	157	27.0	43	38.4
	41-50	141	20.3	116	19.9	25	22.3
	51-60	37	5.3	34	5.8	3	2.7
	61-70	19	2.7	18	3.1	1	0.9
	71 & ABOVE	7	1.0	7	1.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	694	100.0	582	100.0	112	100.0

TABLE B2: 1996 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE (continued)

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
IMPERIAL	18-20	29	3.5	27	3.5	2	3.0
	21-30	221	26.5	200	26.1	21	31.3
	31-40	295	35.4	270	35.2	25	37.3
	41-50	179	21.5	169	22.1	10	14.9
	51-60	68	8.2	62	8.1	6	9.0
	61-70	33	4.0	30	3.9	3	4.5
	71 & ABOVE	8	1.0	8	1.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	833	100.0	766	100.0	67	100.0
INYO	UNDER 18	1	0.6	1	0.6	0	0.0
	18-20	4	2.3	3	1.9	1	5.9
	21-30	49	28.3	44	28.2	5	29.4
	31-40	45	26.0	39	25.0	6	35.3
	41-50	42	24.3	39	25.0	3	17.6
	51-60	18	10.4	16	10.3	2	11.8
	61-70	10	5.8	10	6.4	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	2.3	4	2.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	173	100.0	156	100.0	17	100.0
KERN	UNDER 18	20	0.5	16	0.5	4	0.8
	18-20	231	5.9	207	6.1	24	4.8
	21-30	1373	35.2	1236	36.3	137	27.5
	31-40	1307	33.5	1093	32.1	214	43.0
	41-50	646	16.6	561	16.5	85	17.1
	51-60	224	5.7	196	5.8	28	5.6
	61-70	77	2.0	71	2.1	6	1.2
	71 & ABOVE	25	0.6	25	0.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	3903	100.0	3405	100.0	498	100.0
KINGS	UNDER 18	6	0.6	6	0.7	0	0.0
	18-20	60	6.1	53	6.1	7	6.3
	21-30	386	39.5	357	41.2	29	26.1
	31-40	302	30.9	250	28.8	52	46.8
	41-50	144	14.7	131	15.1	13	11.7
	51-60	57	5.8	49	5.7	8	7.2
	61-70	19	1.9	17	2.0	2	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.4	4	0.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	978	100.0	867	100.0	111	100.0
LAKE	18-20	27	5.4	21	5.5	6	5.2
	21-30	115	23.0	86	22.3	29	25.0
	31-40	161	32.1	116	30.1	45	38.8
	41-50	129	25.7	103	26.8	26	22.4
	51-60	40	8.0	32	8.3	8	6.9
	61-70	26	5.2	25	6.5	1	0.9
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.6	2	0.5	1	0.9
	TOTAL	501	100.0	385	100.0	116	100.0
LASSEN	UNDER 18	2	1.1	2	1.3	0	0.0
	18-20	5	2.9	4	2.6	1	5.0
	21-30	54	31.0	48	31.2	6	30.0
	31-40	43	24.7	34	22.1	9	45.0
	41-50	46	26.4	42	27.3	4	20.0
	51-60	15	8.6	15	9.7	0	0.0
	61-70	8	4.6	8	5.2	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.6	1	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	174	100.0	154	100.0	20	100.0

TABLE B2: 1996 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE (continued)

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
LOS ANGELES	UNDER 18	11	0.0	10	0.0	1	0.0
	18-20	1233	3.5	1129	3.5	104	3.0
	21-30	13960	39.4	12720	39.8	1240	35.7
	31-40	11835	33.4	10618	33.3	1217	35.0
	41-50	5641	15.9	4983	15.6	658	18.9
	51-60	1998	5.6	1803	5.6	195	5.6
	61-70	576	1.6	529	1.7	47	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	148	0.4	135	0.4	13	0.4
	TOTAL	35402	100.0	31927	100.0	3475	100.0
MADERA	UNDER 18	2	0.3	2	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	39	6.0	39	6.6	0	0.0
	21-30	227	35.1	213	35.8	14	26.9
	31-40	205	31.7	188	31.6	17	32.7
	41-50	112	17.3	100	16.8	12	23.1
	51-60	39	6.0	32	5.4	7	13.5
	61-70	19	2.9	18	3.0	1	1.9
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.6	3	0.5	1	1.9
	TOTAL	647	100.0	595	100.0	52	100.0
MARIN	UNDER 18	12	0.9	9	0.9	3	1.0
	18-20	62	4.8	53	5.3	9	2.9
	21-30	380	29.2	324	32.6	56	18.3
	31-40	399	30.7	301	30.3	98	32.0
	41-50	292	22.4	200	20.1	92	30.1
	51-60	106	8.1	74	7.4	32	10.5
	61-70	41	3.2	28	2.8	13	4.2
	71 & ABOVE	9	0.7	6	0.6	3	1.0
	TOTAL	1301	100.0	995	100.0	306	100.0
MARIPOSA	18-20	4	4.3	4	4.5	0	0.0
	21-30	25	27.2	25	28.4	0	0.0
	31-40	33	35.9	30	34.1	3	75.0
	41-50	23	25.0	22	25.0	1	25.0
	51-60	3	3.3	3	3.4	0	0.0
	61-70	3	3.3	3	3.4	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	1	1.1	1	1.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	92	100.0	88	100.0	4	100.0
MENDOCINO	UNDER 18	8	1.0	6	0.9	2	1.6
	18-20	54	6.9	45	6.8	9	7.4
	21-30	255	32.4	224	33.7	31	25.4
	31-40	234	29.7	199	29.9	35	28.7
	41-50	169	21.5	133	20.0	36	29.5
	51-60	41	5.2	36	5.4	5	4.1
	61-70	20	2.5	17	2.6	3	2.5
	71 & ABOVE	6	0.8	5	0.8	1	0.8
	TOTAL	787	100.0	665	100.0	122	100.0
MERCED	UNDER 18	9	0.8	9	0.9	0	0.0
	18-20	58	5.1	56	5.5	2	1.6
	21-30	423	37.0	393	38.6	30	23.8
	31-40	361	31.6	304	29.9	57	45.2
	41-50	199	17.4	168	16.5	31	24.6
	51-60	60	5.2	57	5.6	3	2.4
	61-70	23	2.0	21	2.1	2	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	10	0.9	9	0.9	1	0.8
	TOTAL	1143	100.0	1017	100.0	126	100.0

TABLE B2: 1996 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE (continued)

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
MODOC	18-20	3	6.5	3	7.5	0	0.0
	21-30	16	34.8	12	30.0	4	66.7
	31-40	10	21.7	9	22.5	1	16.7
	41-50	13	28.3	12	30.0	1	16.7
	51-60	3	6.5	3	7.5	0	0.0
	61-70	1	2.2	1	2.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	46	100.0	40	100.0	6	100.0
MONO	UNDER 18	1	0.7	0	0.0	1	3.2
	18-20	6	4.4	3	2.9	3	9.7
	21-30	42	30.9	38	36.2	4	12.9
	31-40	41	30.1	34	32.4	7	22.6
	41-50	29	21.3	18	17.1	11	35.5
	51-60	16	11.8	11	10.5	5	16.1
	71 & ABOVE	1	0.7	1	1.0	0	0.0
	TOTAL	136	100.0	105	100.0	31	100.0
MONTEREY	UNDER 18	12	0.4	11	0.5	1	0.3
	18-20	200	7.3	182	7.5	18	6.3
	21-30	1226	45.0	1133	46.5	93	32.4
	31-40	768	28.2	668	27.4	100	34.8
	41-50	352	12.9	301	12.4	51	17.8
	51-60	117	4.3	103	4.2	14	4.9
	61-70	36	1.3	28	1.1	8	2.8
	71 & ABOVE	11	0.4	9	0.4	2	0.7
	TOTAL	2722	100.0	2435	100.0	287	100.0
NAPA	UNDER 18	2	0.2	1	0.1	1	0.6
	18-20	58	6.2	51	6.6	7	4.3
	21-30	347	37.3	313	40.7	34	21.1
	31-40	300	32.3	229	29.8	71	44.1
	41-50	151	16.2	117	15.2	34	21.1
	51-60	46	4.9	36	4.7	10	6.2
	61-70	17	1.8	15	2.0	2	1.2
	71 & ABOVE	9	1.0	7	0.9	2	1.2
	TOTAL	930	100.0	769	100.0	161	100.0
NEVADA	UNDER 18	3	0.6	3	0.7	0	0.0
	18-20	30	5.7	25	5.8	5	5.3
	21-30	113	21.4	91	21.1	22	23.2
	31-40	187	35.5	144	33.3	43	45.3
	41-50	138	26.2	118	27.3	20	21.1
	51-60	38	7.2	34	7.9	4	4.2
	61-70	13	2.5	12	2.8	1	1.1
	71 & ABOVE	5	0.9	5	1.2	0	0.0
	TOTAL	527	100.0	432	100.0	95	100.0
ORANGE	UNDER 18	16	0.1	12	0.1	4	0.2
	18-20	456	3.5	388	3.5	68	3.7
	21-30	5252	40.7	4605	41.6	647	35.1
	31-40	4144	32.1	3489	31.5	655	35.6
	41-50	2064	16.0	1732	15.7	332	18.0
	51-60	698	5.4	602	5.4	96	5.2
	61-70	220	1.7	189	1.7	31	1.7
	71 & ABOVE	51	0.4	42	0.4	9	0.5
	TOTAL	12901	100.0	11059	100.0	1842	100.0



TABLE B2: 1996 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE (continued)

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
PLACER	UNDER 18	8	0.6	7	0.6	1	0.4
	18-20	76	5.7	65	6.0	11	4.4
	21-30	467	35.0	391	36.2	76	30.2
	31-40	417	31.3	323	29.9	94	37.3
	41-50	272	20.4	212	19.6	60	23.8
	51-60	70	5.3	63	5.8	7	2.8
	61-70	16	1.2	14	1.3	2	0.8
	71 & ABOVE	7	0.5	6	0.6	1	0.4
	TOTAL	1333	100.0	1081	100.0	252	100.0
PLUMAS	18-20	7	4.0	7	4.6	0	0.0
	21-30	34	19.2	28	18.5	6	23.1
	31-40	51	28.8	41	27.2	10	38.5
	41-50	54	30.5	48	31.8	6	23.1
	51-60	18	10.2	15	9.9	3	11.5
	61-70	11	6.2	10	6.6	1	3.8
	71 & ABOVE	2	1.1	2	1.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	177	100.0	151	100.0	26	100.0
RIVERSIDE	UNDER 18	10	0.2	9	0.2	1	0.1
	18-20	287	5.2	263	5.4	24	3.6
	21-30	1887	34.3	1703	35.3	184	27.4
	31-40	1788	32.5	1537	31.8	251	37.4
	41-50	963	17.5	818	16.9	145	21.6
	51-60	380	6.9	333	6.9	47	7.0
	61-70	140	2.5	126	2.6	14	2.1
	71 & ABOVE	45	0.8	39	0.8	6	0.9
	TOTAL	5500	100.0	4828	100.0	672	100.0
SACRAMENTO	UNDER 18	13	0.2	10	0.2	3	0.3
	18-20	234	4.4	199	4.6	35	3.8
	21-30	1898	36.0	1585	36.4	313	34.4
	31-40	1708	32.4	1388	31.8	320	35.1
	41-50	929	17.6	757	17.4	172	18.9
	51-60	356	6.8	305	7.0	51	5.6
	61-70	105	2.0	92	2.1	13	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	26	0.5	22	0.5	4	0.4
	TOTAL	5269	100.0	4358	100.0	911	100.0
SAN BENITO	18-20	24	7.1	22	7.5	2	4.4
	21-30	129	38.1	115	39.1	14	31.1
	31-40	89	26.3	74	25.2	15	33.3
	41-50	68	20.1	55	18.7	13	28.9
	51-60	20	5.9	19	6.5	1	2.2
	61-70	5	1.5	5	1.7	0	0.0
	71 & ABOVE	4	1.2	4	1.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	339	100.0	294	100.0	45	100.0
SAN BERNARDINO	UNDER 18	18	0.4	16	0.4	2	0.4
	18-20	221	5.0	197	5.1	24	4.4
	21-30	1478	33.4	1323	34.1	155	28.5
	31-40	1468	33.2	1254	32.3	214	39.3
	41-50	818	18.5	714	18.4	104	19.1
	51-60	298	6.7	268	6.9	30	5.5
	61-70	100	2.3	87	2.2	13	2.4
	71 & ABOVE	25	0.6	23	0.6	2	0.4
	TOTAL	4426	100.0	3882	100.0	544	100.0

TABLE B2: 1996 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE (continued)

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
SAN DIEGO	UNDER 18	26	0.2	23	0.2	3	0.2
	18-20	584	4.9	521	5.1	63	3.8
	21-30	4760	40.3	4190	41.3	570	34.4
	31-40	3695	31.3	3100	30.5	595	35.9
	41-50	1924	16.3	1609	15.9	315	19.0
	51-60	580	4.9	495	4.9	85	5.1
	61-70	193	1.6	172	1.7	21	1.3
	71 & ABOVE	46	0.4	40	0.4	6	0.4
	TOTAL	11808	100.0	10150	100.0	1658	100.0
SAN FRANCISCO	UNDER 18	1	0.1	1	0.1	0	0.0
	18-20	21	2.2	18	2.2	3	2.1
	21-30	353	36.7	305	37.2	48	34.0
	31-40	315	32.8	265	32.3	50	35.5
	41-50	180	18.7	149	18.2	31	22.0
	51-60	75	7.8	68	8.3	7	5.0
	61-70	12	1.2	10	1.2	2	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	4	0.4	4	0.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	961	100.0	820	100.0	141	100.0
SAN JOAQUIN	UNDER 18	13	0.4	12	0.5	1	0.3
	18-20	160	5.4	146	5.6	14	3.5
	21-30	968	32.4	877	33.8	91	23.0
	31-40	981	32.8	810	31.2	171	43.3
	41-50	560	18.7	475	18.3	85	21.5
	51-60	210	7.0	185	7.1	25	6.3
	61-70	76	2.5	69	2.7	7	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	22	0.7	21	0.8	1	0.3
	TOTAL	2990	100.0	2595	100.0	395	100.0
SAN LUIS OBISPO	UNDER 18	7	0.5	5	0.4	2	0.8
	18-20	104	6.8	83	6.5	21	8.0
	21-30	549	35.8	477	37.5	72	27.4
	31-40	441	28.7	357	28.1	84	31.9
	41-50	298	19.4	230	18.1	68	25.9
	51-60	98	6.4	87	6.8	11	4.2
	61-70	31	2.0	27	2.1	4	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	7	0.5	6	0.5	1	0.4
	TOTAL	1535	100.0	1272	100.0	263	100.0
SAN MATEO	UNDER 18	10	0.3	9	0.4	1	0.2
	18-20	136	4.6	122	4.9	14	3.1
	21-30	969	32.8	853	34.1	116	25.4
	31-40	997	33.7	819	32.8	178	38.9
	41-50	557	18.8	442	17.7	115	25.2
	51-60	197	6.7	173	6.9	24	5.3
	61-70	73	2.5	66	2.6	7	1.5
	71 & ABOVE	17	0.6	15	0.6	2	0.4
	TOTAL	2956	100.0	2499	100.0	457	100.0
SANTA BARBARA	UNDER 18	7	0.3	6	0.3	1	0.3
	18-20	163	6.8	139	6.8	24	6.4
	21-30	929	38.6	809	39.8	120	32.1
	31-40	741	30.8	621	30.5	120	32.1
	41-50	379	15.7	297	14.6	82	21.9
	51-60	125	5.2	105	5.2	20	5.3
	61-70	42	1.7	38	1.9	4	1.1
	71 & ABOVE	22	0.9	19	0.9	3	0.8
	TOTAL	2408	100.0	2034	100.0	374	100.0

TABLE B2: 1996 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE (continued)

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
SANTA CLARA	UNDER 18	40	0.6	37	0.6	3	0.3
	18-20	281	4.0	256	4.1	25	2.7
	21-30	2663	37.5	2378	38.5	285	30.8
	31-40	2373	33.4	2008	32.5	365	39.5
	41-50	1201	16.9	1028	16.6	173	18.7
	51-60	386	5.4	326	5.3	60	6.5
	61-70	132	1.9	120	1.9	12	1.3
	71 & ABOVE	24	0.3	22	0.4	2	0.2
	TOTAL	7100	100.0	6175	100.0	925	100.0
SANTA CRUZ	UNDER 18	5	0.3	4	0.3	1	0.3
	18-20	99	5.4	78	5.1	21	7.0
	21-30	632	34.6	552	36.2	80	26.7
	31-40	594	32.5	493	32.3	101	33.7
	41-50	358	19.6	283	18.5	75	25.0
	51-60	100	5.5	81	5.3	19	6.3
	61-70	27	1.5	24	1.6	3	1.0
	71 & ABOVE	11	0.6	11	0.7	0	0.0
	TOTAL	1826	100.0	1526	100.0	300	100.0
SHASTA	UNDER 18	2	0.2	2	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	66	7.8	58	8.3	8	5.4
	21-30	221	26.0	190	27.0	31	20.9
	31-40	287	33.7	227	32.3	60	40.5
	41-50	174	20.4	134	19.1	40	27.0
	51-60	63	7.4	55	7.8	8	5.4
	61-70	28	3.3	27	3.8	1	0.7
	71 & ABOVE	10	1.2	10	1.4	0	0.0
	TOTAL	851	100.0	703	100.0	148	100.0
SIERRA	21-30	4	17.4	4	22.2	0	0.0
	31-40	7	30.4	6	33.3	1	20.0
	41-50	10	43.5	6	33.3	4	80.0
	51-60	2	8.7	2	11.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	23	100.0	18	100.0	5	100.0
SISKIYOU	UNDER 18	2	0.8	2	0.9	0	0.0
	18-20	13	5.0	11	5.1	2	4.5
	21-30	61	23.6	52	24.3	9	20.5
	31-40	82	31.8	65	30.4	17	38.6
	41-50	58	22.5	48	22.4	10	22.7
	51-60	30	11.6	26	12.1	4	9.1
	61-70	10	3.9	9	4.2	1	2.3
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.8	1	0.5	1	2.3
	TOTAL	258	100.0	214	100.0	44	100.0
SOLANO	UNDER 18	3	0.2	3	0.3	0	0.0
	18-20	66	4.9	57	4.9	9	4.9
	21-30	446	32.8	409	34.8	37	20.1
	31-40	437	32.2	356	30.3	81	44.0
	41-50	274	20.2	239	20.4	35	19.0
	51-60	88	6.5	70	6.0	18	9.8
	61-70	31	2.3	28	2.4	3	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	13	1.0	12	1.0	1	0.5
	TOTAL	1358	100.0	1174	100.0	184	100.0

TABLE B2: 1996 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE (continued)

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
SONOMA	UNDER 18	20	0.9	15	0.8	5	1.3
	18-20	150	6.7	126	6.8	24	6.2
	21-30	748	33.2	650	34.9	98	25.2
	31-40	632	28.1	499	26.8	133	34.2
	41-50	480	21.3	381	20.4	99	25.4
	51-60	159	7.1	131	7.0	28	7.2
	61-70	42	1.9	41	2.2	1	0.3
	71 & ABOVE	22	1.0	21	1.1	1	0.3
	TOTAL	2253	100.0	1864	100.0	389	100.0
STANISLAUS	UNDER 18	14	0.7	14	0.8	0	0.0
	18-20	140	6.8	128	7.2	12	4.3
	21-30	776	37.6	687	38.5	89	32.1
	31-40	613	29.7	518	29.0	95	34.3
	41-50	335	16.2	280	15.7	55	19.9
	51-60	131	6.3	110	6.2	21	7.6
	61-70	45	2.2	40	2.2	5	1.8
	71 & ABOVE	9	0.4	9	0.5	0	0.0
	TOTAL	2063	100.0	1786	100.0	277	100.0
SUTTER	UNDER 18	3	0.7	3	0.9	0	0.0
	18-20	25	6.1	24	6.9	1	1.5
	21-30	136	33.1	120	34.7	16	24.6
	31-40	125	30.4	105	30.3	20	30.8
	41-50	84	20.4	65	18.8	19	29.2
	51-60	22	5.4	15	4.3	7	10.8
	61-70	14	3.4	12	3.5	2	3.1
	71 & ABOVE	2	0.5	2	0.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	411	100.0	346	100.0	65	100.0
TEHAMA	UNDER 18	2	0.5	1	0.3	1	1.4
	18-20	31	8.1	23	7.4	8	10.8
	21-30	110	28.6	98	31.5	12	16.2
	31-40	115	29.9	83	26.7	32	43.2
	41-50	81	21.0	65	20.9	16	21.6
	51-60	27	7.0	25	8.0	2	2.7
	61-70	12	3.1	10	3.2	2	2.7
	71 & ABOVE	7	1.8	6	1.9	1	1.4
	TOTAL	385	100.0	311	100.0	74	100.0
TRINITY	18-20	1	1.2	1	1.6	0	0.0
	21-30	23	28.7	19	29.7	4	25.0
	31-40	25	31.3	17	26.6	8	50.0
	41-50	19	23.7	15	23.4	4	25.0
	51-60	11	13.8	11	17.2	0	0.0
	61-70	1	1.2	1	1.6	0	0.0
	TOTAL	80	100.0	64	100.0	16	100.0
TULARE	UNDER 18	17	0.7	17	0.7	0	0.0
	18-20	184	7.1	172	7.3	12	5.1
	21-30	966	37.4	906	38.6	60	25.4
	31-40	839	32.5	745	31.7	94	39.8
	41-50	378	14.6	330	14.0	48	20.3
	51-60	141	5.5	125	5.3	16	6.8
	61-70	45	1.7	41	1.7	4	1.7
	71 & ABOVE	15	0.6	13	0.6	2	0.8
	TOTAL	2585	100.0	2349	100.0	236	100.0

TABLE B2: 1996 DUI CONVICTIONS BY COUNTY, SEX, AND AGE (continued)

COUNTY	AGE	TOTAL		MALE		FEMALE	
		N	%	N	%	N	%
TUOLUMNE	UNDER 18	4	1.2	4	1.5	0	0.0
	18-20	19	5.9	17	6.5	2	3.2
	21-30	73	22.5	59	22.6	14	22.2
	31-40	96	29.6	71	27.2	25	39.7
	41-50	88	27.2	70	26.8	18	28.6
	51-60	22	6.8	19	7.3	3	4.8
	61-70	19	5.9	18	6.9	1	1.6
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.9	3	1.1	0	0.0
	TOTAL	324	100.0	261	100.0	63	100.0
VENTURA	UNDER 18	7	0.2	4	0.1	3	0.7
	18-20	157	4.8	139	4.9	18	4.2
	21-30	1273	39.2	1135	40.3	138	32.2
	31-40	1002	30.8	842	29.9	160	37.3
	41-50	546	16.8	465	16.5	81	18.9
	51-60	185	5.7	162	5.7	23	5.4
	61-70	58	1.8	54	1.9	4	0.9
	71 & ABOVE	20	0.6	18	0.6	2	0.5
	TOTAL	3248	100.0	2819	100.0	429	100.0
YOLO	UNDER 18	5	0.9	4	0.9	1	1.2
	18-20	31	5.7	25	5.4	6	7.5
	21-30	204	37.8	181	39.3	23	28.7
	31-40	156	28.9	128	27.8	28	35.0
	41-50	92	17.0	78	17.0	14	17.5
	51-60	38	7.0	34	7.4	4	5.0
	61-70	11	2.0	9	2.0	2	2.5
	71 & ABOVE	3	0.6	1	0.2	2	2.5
	TOTAL	540	100.0	460	100.0	80	100.0
YUBA	UNDER 18	2	0.5	2	0.6	0	0.0
	18-20	20	5.1	16	5.0	4	5.7
	21-30	125	32.1	105	32.9	20	28.6
	31-40	109	28.0	89	27.9	20	28.6
	41-50	80	20.6	63	19.7	17	24.3
	51-60	38	9.8	30	9.4	8	11.4
	61-70	11	2.8	10	3.1	1	1.4
	71 & ABOVE	4	1.0	4	1.3	0	0.0
	TOTAL	389	100.0	319	100.0	70	100.0

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1996 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI/DISM <sup>1</sup> / UNCONST <sup>2</sup>	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
STATEWIDE	70.2%		138747	2945	15219	3345	4410	27/318	2.8	3.1
ALAMEDA	70.0%	SUP OAKLAND	71	17	0	0	4	0/0	4.4	4.5
		JUV SN LEANDRO	26	9	0	2	0	0/0	3.4	2.3
		ALAMEDA	225	0	37	5	8	0/1	1.5	2.1
		BERKELEY	163	3	14	5	6	0/2	2.7	2.5
		FREMONT	818	7	80	19	37	0/4	3.1	3.0
		PLEASANTON	713	5	40	36	40	0/3	2.9	2.3
		OAKLAND	927	7	152	26	39	1/4	3.6	1.6
		HAYWARD	1306	9	76	7	90	0/2	3.7	2.1
		TOTAL	4249	57	399	100	224	1/16	---	---
ALPINE	62.3%	SUP MRKLEVILLE	2	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.0	25.0
		MARKLEVILLE	31	0	15	0	0	0/0	3.7	2.7
		TOTAL	33	0	15	0	0	0/0	---	---
AMADOR	82.0%	SUP AMADOR	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.0	0.8
		JACKSON	163	5	9	5	2	0/1	2.2	3.7
		TOTAL	164	5	9	5	2	0/1	---	---
BUTTE	68.6%	SUP OROVILLE	33	7	1	2	0	0/0	4.2	4.9
		JUV OROVILLE	8	0	1	1	3	0/0	2.1	1.7
		CHICO	615	4	183	23	7	0/4	2.5	4.3
		GRIDLEY	45	0	7	0	0	0/0	2.2	2.7
		OROVILLE	207	1	25	7	4	0/2	3.5	2.4
		PARADISE	3	0	0	0	2	0/0	2.7	1.0
		PARADISE-87	0	0	1	0	0	0/0	---	---
		TOTAL	911	12	218	33	16	0/6	---	---
CALAVERAS	60.9%	SUP CALAVERAS	3	1	0	0	0	0/0	6.6	3.1
		JV CALAVERAS	3	0	2	0	0	0/0	1.6	4.5
		SAN ANDREAS	188	0	19	10	9	0/1	2.4	1.9
		TOTAL	194	1	21	10	9	0/1	---	---
COLUSA	70.5%	SUP COLUSA	3	1	0	0	0	0/0	5.1	3.3
		JUV COLUSA	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	5.3	0.5
		COLUSA	224	3	40	6	2	0/1	2.3	3.0
		TOTAL	228	4	40	6	2	0/1	---	---
CONTRA COSTA	72.9%	SUP C COSTA	95	18	0	0	3	0/0	6.0	1.8
		JUV C COSTA	36	2	1	1	0	0/0	4.3	3.0
		CONCORD	719	7	70	8	14	0/2	3.6	2.9
		RICHMOND	780	6	116	16	18	0/0	4.4	2.5
		PITTSBURG	659	4	75	3	7	0/4	4.4	2.7
		WALNUT CREEK	774	2	107	11	11	0/7	3.4	2.0
		BRENTWOOD	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.1	0.6
		TOTAL	3064	39	369	39	53	0/14	---	---
DEL NORTE	72.6%	CRESCENT CITY	209	0	46	5	4	1/0	3.1	3.6
		TOTAL	209	0	46	5	4	1/0	---	---

<sup>1</sup>These may include abstract deletions due to failure to appear (FTA) at the court hearing.<sup>2</sup>These 1996 arrestees showed prior DUIs declared unconstitutional on their records. The courts reported here are those of the current DUI conviction and not necessarily those in which a prior conviction was declared unconstitutional.

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1996 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM <sup>1</sup> / UNCONST <sup>2</sup>	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
EL DORADO	79.2%	SUP EL DORADO	16	1	0	1	0	0/0	2.0	1.8
		SUP JUV PLCRVLE	5	4	0	0	0	0/0	2.9	3.5
		CAMERON PARK	286	17	25	2	2	0/0	2.0	5.5
		SO LAKE TAHOE	252	8	60	0	4	0/1	2.5	5.7
		PLACERVILLE	304	7	23	3	5	0/0	2.8	4.9
		TOTAL	863	37	108	6	11	0/1	---	---
FRESNO	63.3%	SUP FRESNO	20	51	0	0	1	0/0	4.2	4.6
		JUV FRESNO	29	2	0	0	0	0/0	6.9	4.3
		FRESNO	2309	66	321	40	30	1/1	4.1	5.0
		CLOVIS	294	0	69	18	4	0/1	3.5	6.4
		COALINGA	148	0	14	0	5	0/0	2.7	7.0
		FIREBAUGH	228	3	44	2	6	0/0	2.7	5.3
		FOWLER	236	2	40	0	4	0/0	4.3	4.9
		KERMAN	146	4	17	3	4	1/0	3.8	5.8
		KINGSBURG	70	2	9	0	5	0/0	3.0	6.2
		REEDLEY MUNI	200	2	28	1	3	0/0	3.6	6.3
		RIVERDALE	21	0	1	0	0	0/0	3.5	4.7
		SANGER	119	1	17	0	1	0/2	2.9	3.1
		SELMA MUNI	117	2	16	0	1	0/0	4.2	2.6
		US CT FRESNO	3	0	2	0	0	0/0	5.2	2.7
		TOTAL	2940	135	578	64	64	2/4	---	---
GLENN	69.2%	SUP WILLOWS	5	3	0	0	1	0/0	1.6	4.4
		JUV GLENN	2	0	0	0	1	0/0	1.7	1.1
		ORLAND	158	0	32	3	4	0/1	2.4	4.0
		WILLOWS	93	0	17	1	2	0/0	1.8	4.4
		TOTAL	258	3	49	4	8	0/1	---	---
HUMBOLDT	56.1%	SP JUV HMBLD TER	232	15	53	19	11	0/0	4.7	3.1
		EUREKA	258	3	80	9	7	0/0	1.8	2.2
		FORTUNA MUNI	0	0	0	4	0	0/1	3.5	1.8
		GARBVLE-SUP MU	71	0	42	1	5	0/0	5.9	1.4
		HOOPA SUP MUNI	23	0	3	2	1	0/0	5.4	5.0
		TOTAL	674	20	212	35	24	0/1	---	---
IMPERIAL	50.8%	SUP IMPERIAL	1	1	0	0	0	0/0	4.1	3.6
		BRAWLEY	152	0	10	23	1	0/0	3.8	2.6
		CALEXICO	395	1	104	8	7	0/1	4.4	2.3
		EL CENTRO	280	3	41	33	4	0/0	4.8	2.8
		TOTAL	828	5	155	64	12	0/1	---	---
INYO	61.6%	SUP INYO	2	2	0	0	1	0/0	3.9	4.9
		BISHOP	166	2	49	3	2	0/0	2.9	3.6
		INDEPENDENCE	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	---	---
		TOTAL	169	4	49	3	3	0/0	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1996 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM <sup>1</sup> / UNCONST <sup>2</sup>	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
KERN	74.2%	SUP KERN	71	57	5	1	5	0/0	2.6	1.5
		JUV KERN	4	0	1	0	2	0/0	2.1	3.6
		ARVIN-LAMONT	341	2	28	9	19	0/0	2.6	4.0
		BAKERSFIELD	2060	19	232	65	50	0/7	2.3	4.3
		DELANO	300	6	28	0	14	0/1	2.3	3.6
		LKE ISABELLA	71	2	1	4	6	0/0	2.2	2.1
		TAFT	237	2	29	3	8	0/2	1.7	3.4
		SHAFTER	247	2	22	7	18	0/1	1.9	3.6
		MOJAVE	271	6	57	20	32	0/0	2.2	4.3
		RIDGECREST	202	3	8	5	10	0/0	2.1	2.9
		TOTAL	3804	99	411	114	164	0/11	---	---
		SUP KINGS	19	5	0	0	0	0/0	2.7	1.3
		JUV HANFORD	11	1	0	1	0	0/0	2.4	1.9
		HANFORD	539	8	75	1	6	0/3	2.0	3.1
LAKE	78.9%	AVENAL	89	1	2	0	1	0/0	2.2	3.0
		CORCORAN	123	2	4	0	3	0/0	2.4	3.2
		LEMOORE	174	6	24	0	0	0/0	1.7	2.8
		TOTAL	955	23	105	2	10	0/3	---	---
		SUP LAKE	1	6	0	0	0	0/0	8.0	1.8
		CLEARLAKE	206	0	6	4	1	0/0	3.2	8.2
LASSEN	73.7%	LAKEPORT	281	7	22	3	4	0/1	3.0	8.6
		TOTAL	488	13	28	7	5	0/1	---	---
		SUP LASSEN	0	1	0	0	0	0/0	9.1	3.1
		JUV LASSEN	3	0	1	0	0	0/0	3.5	1.3
		SUSANVILLE	164	6	3	8	2	0/0	3.0	6.3
LOS ANGELES	71.8%	TOTAL	167	7	4	8	2	0/0	---	---
		SUP LA	47	81	0	0	3	0/0	4.2	3.7
		SUP POMONA	110	42	0	0	5	0/0	3.4	1.5
		SUP LANCSTR	10	17	0	0	0	0/0	2.5	2.6
		SUP VAN NUYS	58	49	1	0	2	0/0	3.8	1.5
		SUP PASADENA	24	30	0	0	0	0/1	3.7	1.8
		SUP VAN NUYS	35	18	0	0	0	0/0	4.4	1.3
		SUP LONG BEACH	46	15	0	0	0	0/1	3.0	2.0
		SUP COMPTON	50	15	0	0	1	0/0	4.2	1.7
		SUP NORWALK	76	17	2	0	1	0/1	5.1	1.4
		SUP TORRANCE	24	12	0	0	0	0/2	5.1	1.0
		SUP SNTA MONCA	18	15	1	0	0	0/0	7.5	1.9
		JUV LA	5	0	0	0	5	0/0	1.2	11.6
		LA IV CENTRL	8	2	1	0	0	0/0	4.0	1.1
		ALHAMBRA	863	4	118	26	20	0/1	2.7	2.5
		LANCASTER	753	7	97	14	14	0/1	2.3	1.7
		BEVERLY HILLS	207	1	25	10	8	0/1	3.4	2.1
		BURBANK	384	2	46	7	8	0/1	2.4	1.8
		WEST COVINA	2257	23	127	4	34	0/2	2.6	2.0
		COMPTON	1494	9	195	51	40	0/2	3.1	2.9



TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1996 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI/DISM <sup>1</sup> / UNCONST <sup>2</sup>	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
LOS ANGELES (cont.)		CULVER CITY	213	2	33	4	3	0/0	3.1	3.8
		DOWNEY	749	6	19	10	15	0/0	2.6	3.8
		EAST LA	1433	7	120	6	15	2/1	2.6	1.7
		EL MONTE	1346	9	86	7	30	2/3	2.4	1.9
		GLENDALE	556	1	97	12	20	1/2	2.6	3.6
		INGLEWOOD	980	9	141	57	26	0/2	2.4	2.6
		LONG BEACH	1621	6	241	99	57	0/6	1.8	3.8
		LA METRO	7261	15	751	8	629	0/7	1.3	1.7
		BELLEFLOWER	531	2	29	4	11	0/0	2.6	2.3
		VALENCIA	1003	12	175	81	8	0/2	2.2	3.2
		PASADENA	782	6	161	28	37	0/2	2.9	2.3
		MALIBU	253	6	71	8	17	0/1	3.6	2.8
		CALABASAS	0	0	0	0	1	0/0	---	---
		POMONA	1070	12	38	4	15	0/0	2.8	2.2
		HUNTINGTON PK	817	4	6	2	21	0/0	2.5	3.5
		MONROVIA	431	2	74	4	14	1/1	2.4	3.3
		SANTA MONICA	331	3	107	4	24	0/0	3.0	1.5
		TORRANCE	1457	17	428	60	46	0/21	2.4	1.7
		SOUTH GATE	687	3	5	4	13	0/0	2.7	2.0
		WHITTIER	1016	11	61	6	11	0/3	3.2	3.3
		HOLLYWOOD	191	1	19	3	8	0/0	1.7	2.1
		SAN FERNANDO	1895	18	312	7	160	0/2	1.6	2.5
		SAN PEDRO	419	0	51	5	23	0/2	2.0	2.8
		VAN NUYS	2656	43	448	6	426	0/1	1.6	2.4
		LOS ANGELES	670	3	162	9	91	0/2	2.4	3.4
		AVALON	8	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.8	1.0
		TOTAL	34845	557	4248	550	1862	4/71	---	---
MADERA	61.3%	SUP MADERA	10	15	0	0	0	0/0	4.1	2.3
		JUV MADERA	1	1	0	0	0	0/0	0.5	4.8
		CHOWCHILLA	82	2	19	5	2	0/0	2.8	4.2
		BORDEN	122	5	30	8	2	0/0	3.9	5.0
		MADERA	329	3	40	10	11	0/0	3.3	3.8
		BASS LAKE	75	2	15	1	1	0/0	3.1	5.5
		TOTAL	619	28	104	24	16	0/0	---	---
MARIN	80.2%	SUP SAN RAFAEL	6	2	0	0	0	0/1	2.2	3.3
		JUV SAN RAFAEL	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	3.2	5.9
		SAN RAFAEL	1281	11	0	1	43	0/4	3.0	4.1
		TOTAL	1288	13	0	1	43	0/5	---	---
MARIPOSA	65.2%	SUP MARIPOSA	2	1	0	0	0	0/0	9.5	5.0
		MARIPOSA	48	0	3	1	2	0/0	2.9	1.7
		USMAG YOSEMITE	41	0	6	0	0	0/0	1.4	1.3
		TOTAL	91	1	9	1	2	0/0	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1996 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM <sup>1</sup> / UNCONST <sup>2</sup>	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
MENDOCINO	77.4%	SUP UKIAH	24	5	0	0	1	0/2	6.6	3.9
		JUV UKIAH	13	0	0	0	0	0/0	0.5	5.5
		WILLITS CTS	113	2	32	1	8	0/1	2.5	3.7
		UKIAH CTS	474	6	58	12	2	0/3	2.5	5.3
		BOONVILLE CTS	9	0	1	0	0	0/0	2.5	2.0
		PT. ARENA CTS	6	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.3	4.1
		LEGGETT CTS	11	0	10	0	2	0/1	3.5	4.8
		COVELO CTS	3	0	4	0	1	0/0	4.0	6.0
		FORT BRAGG CTS	118	3	4	1	1	0/0	2.7	5.1
		TOTAL	771	16	109	14	15	0/7	---	---
MERCED	52.6%	SUP MERCED	5	23	1	0	0	0/0	2.4	3.9
		JUV MERCED	11	1	1	0	1	0/0	6.7	7.1
		MERCED	833	12	170	39	43	0/3	4.3	6.6
		LOS BANOS	258	0	110	25	19	0/1	2.5	4.5
		TOTAL	1107	36	282	64	63	0/4	---	---
MODOC	59.7%	JUV MODOC	1	1	0	0	0	0/0	1.5	3.9
		ALTURAS	41	3	6	0	0	0/0	2.5	2.0
MONO	78.2%	TOTAL	42	4	6	0	0	0/0	---	---
		SUP MONO	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.9	10.2
MONTEREY	71.8%	BRIDGEPORT	33	1	5	2	0	0/0	2.1	2.3
		MAMMOTH LKES	99	2	18	1	2	0/0	2.5	1.8
		TOTAL	133	3	23	3	2	0/0	---	---
		SUP MONTEREY	61	31	0	0	0	0/0	2.4	2.6
		JUV SALINAS	24	1	1	0	1	0/0	1.8	2.6
NAPA	87.2%	MARINA MUNI	757	5	121	26	16	0/1	2.0	4.5
		SALINAS	1309	12	175	16	15	0/1	1.4	4.5
		KING CITY	517	5	64	3	2	0/0	1.3	7.1
		TOTAL	2668	54	361	45	34	0/2	---	---
		NAPA SUP	22	13	3	0	1	0/0	4.0	1.1
NEVADA	87.5%	NAPA JUV	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	17.6	1.9
		NAPA MUNI	884	10	45	10	5	0/2	2.4	2.4
		TOTAL	907	23	48	10	6	0/2	---	---
		NEVADA COUNTY	12	10	0	0	0	0/0	5.9	2.0
		NEVADA CITY	319	4	33	5	0	0/1	2.2	4.4
ORANGE	85.1%	TRUCKEE MUNI	182	0	29	4	3	0/0	2.5	6.1
		TOTAL	513	14	62	9	3	0/1	---	---
		SUP SANTA ANA	163	53	0	0	6	0/1	3.2	2.2
		JUV ORANGE	40	2	0	0	2	0/0	3.6	2.4
		FULLERTON	3581	20	84	16	55	0/7	2.5	2.8
SANTA ANA	85.1%	WESTMINSTER	2839	35	92	9	26	0/4	3.0	1.8
		LAGUNA HILLS	1714	7	146	13	21	0/4	3.3	1.6
		NEWPORT BEACH	1859	19	154	31	22	0/4	2.3	2.3
		SANTA ANA MUNI	2550	19	30	3	45	1/2	3.2	2.4
		TOTAL	12746	155	506	72	177	1/28	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1996 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM <sup>1</sup> / UNCONST <sup>2</sup>	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
PLACER	77.7%	SUP AUBURN	20	5	0	0	1	0/1	4.2	5.8
		JUV AUBURN	6	0	0	0	1	0/0	3.4	4.1
		AUBURN SUPMUNI	509	8	15	12	5	0/2	2.9	2.6
		COLFAX	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	5.3	0.6
		ROSEVILLE SUPMU	0	0	0	0	1	0/0	---	---
		ROSEVILLE	544	5	28	13	0	0/0	2.2	2.3
		TAHOE CITY	222	13	21	5	2	0/1	2.4	2.1
		TOTAL	1302	31	64	30	10	0/4	---	---
		SUP PLUMAS	5	0	0	0	0	0/0	5.9	4.0
		GREENVILLE	0	0	0	0	1	0/0	---	---
PLUMAS	74.4%	PORTOLA	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	---	---
		QUINCY	166	5	37	8	0	0/0	2.2	7.7
		TOTAL	172	5	37	8	1	0/0	---	---
		SUP RIVERSIDE	2935	94	22	160	60	0/5	3.7	1.8
		SUP INDIO	2197	36	140	128	50	0/6	3.8	2.2
		JUV RIVERSIDE	0	1	0	0	0	0/0	1.5	4.1
		JUV INDIO	8	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.7	2.0
		CORONA	4	0	0	1	3	0/0	2.5	2.4
		Hemet Muni	57	1	1	0	3	0/0	5.0	7.1
		BANNING	31	0	0	0	3	0/1	4.1	7.1
RIVERSIDE	58.5%	INDIO CONSOLID	0	0	0	0	3	0/0	19.4	1.3
		MORENO VLY-RIV	1	0	0	0	7	0/0	16.4	1.8
		BLYTHE-SUPMUNI	22	0	0	0	1	0/0	2.2	7.0
		PERRIS CONSOLID	111	2	3	0	0	0/0	4.5	7.2
		TEMECULA	0	0	0	0	2	0/0	---	---
		TOTAL	5366	134	166	289	132	0/12	---	---
		SUP SACRAMENTO	175	74	1	0	4	0/3	4.9	2.7
		JUV SACTO TRF	20	3	2	0	0	0/0	2.4	4.2
		SACRAMENTO	4643	125	529	541	47	2/12	3.0	1.7
		ELK GROVE	108	0	21	6	4	0/0	3.2	5.7
SACRAMENTO	69.2%	GALT	79	0	6	2	3	0/0	1.5	4.9
		WALNUT GROVE	24	0	3	0	3	0/0	2.0	5.7
		SACTO US MAG	18	0	0	0	0	0/0	6.8	2.3
		TOTAL	5067	202	562	549	61	2/15	---	---
		SUP S BENITO	12	5	1	0	0	0/3	3.9	2.1
		HOLLISTER	319	3	40	3	4	1/7	2.2	8.3
		TOTAL	331	8	41	3	4	1/10	---	---
		SUPMUN SAN BERN	283	36	1	20	25	0/0	5.3	1.4
		SUP R CUCAMINGA	89	26	1	8	6	0/0	8.8	2.1
		SUPMUN VICTRVL	1	19	0	0	0	0/0	4.5	1.2
SAN BERNARDINO	38.9%	SUPMUN BARSTOW	5	12	0	2	1	0/0	7.6	1.0
		SUPMUN JOSH TREE	1	10	0	0	1	0/0	3.1	2.0
		JUV TF SN BERN	31	0	0	0	1	0/0	3.3	8.8
		JUV SAN BERN	1	1	0	0	0	0/0	5.8	1.1
		TOTAL	31	1	0	0	0	0/0	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1996 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI/DISM <sup>1</sup> / UNCONST <sup>2</sup>	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SAN BERNARDINO (cont.)		SUP MNR CCAMNG	15	0	0	0	1	0/0	1.8	3.6
		CHINO SUP MUN	218	2	23	7	4	0/1	3.8	3.6
		ONTARIO MUNI	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	7.2	2.2
		BARSTOW SUP MUN	158	2	34	15	11	1/0	4.6	2.9
		REDLANDS SUP MUN	180	8	19	54	28	0/1	4.7	3.5
		S BERN SUP MUN	869	5	11	48	88	0/3	3.5	3.1
		FONTANA SUPMUN	446	7	40	25	27	0/3	5.3	2.1
		VICTRVLE SUPMUN	400	4	133	24	56	0/1	4.7	3.8
		RNCHO CUCMNGA	1118	7	138	59	64	0/0	3.2	2.7
		BIG BER LK SUPMU	77	0	39	7	9	0/0	3.8	4.4
		TWN PKS SUP MUN	88	2	14	2	5	0/0	4.0	2.3
		NEEDLES SUP MUN	101	0	42	5	12	0/0	5.0	5.9
		JOSHITREE SUP MUN	203	0	80	24	15	0/1	3.0	8.8
		TOTAL	4285	141	575	300	354	1/10	---	---
SAN DIEGO	76.1%	SUP SAN DIEGO	62	9	1	0	0	0/0	2.4	4.4
		SUP VISTA	6	2	0	0	0	0/0	3.7	6.8
		SUP CHULA VISTA	29	8	0	0	0	0/0	3.3	4.3
		SUP EL CAJON	33	4	0	0	0	0/0	3.5	3.7
		JUV SAN DIEGO	48	15	0	0	4	0/0	5.3	4.4
		EL CAJON	2013	10	284	79	26	0/1	3.7	5.8
		VISTA	3364	14	169	43	29	1/7	2.5	3.0
		SAN MARCOS	3	0	0	0	11	0/0	2.9	1.1
		SAN DIEGO MUNI	4634	5	359	124	165	1/2	3.5	4.2
		CHULA VISTA	1537	10	56	17	19	0/1	3.4	5.0
		NATIONAL CTY	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	0.8	0.3
		US CT SN DIEGO	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.9	2.0
		TOTAL	11731	77	869	263	254	2/11	---	---
SAN FRANCISCO	64.5%	SUP SAN FRAN	4	4	0	0	0	0/0	4.8	3.7
		JUV SAN FRAN	4	0	0	0	0	0/0	0.8	2.4
		SAN FRANCISCO	942	6	198	65	7	1/0	3.8	0.8
		US DIST CT SF	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	8.8	1.0
		TOTAL	951	10	198	65	7	1/0	---	---
		SUP FRNCH CAMP	49	17	0	1	1	0/0	4.6	3.3
SAN JOAQUIN	72.6%	JUV FRNCH CAMP	1	1	1	0	0	0/0	2.2	1.6
		LODI	553	5	113	18	36	0/3	2.2	2.5
		MANTECA	481	7	38	14	24	0/0	2.5	1.7
		TRACY	176	2	22	1	5	0/0	2.1	1.5
		STOCKTON SUP MUN	1656	42	123	49	42	0/6	1.9	2.6
		TOTAL	2916	74	297	83	108	0/9	---	---
		SUP SL OBISPO	38	15	0	0	1	0/0	2.3	1.5
SAN LUIS OBISPO	68.1%	JUV SL OBISPO	14	2	2	3	6	0/0	4.9	1.1
		SL OBISPO SUPMU	1448	5	437	31	119	0/7	2.3	5.5
		GROVR BCH SUPMU	1	0	0	0	0	0/7	---	---
		USMAG SL OBISPO	12	0	1	0	0	0/0	3.3	1.6
		TOTAL	1513	22	440	34	126	0/0	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1996 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM1/ UNCONST <sup>2</sup>	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SAN MATEO	78.2%	REDWD CITY SUPMU	64	14	0	0	2	0/0	4.0	1.8
		S MATEO SUP MUN	39	1	2	0	3	0/0	2.4	2.6
		SO SF SUPMUNI	1501	15	191	11	15	1/2	2.3	3.2
		REDWOOD CITY	1317	5	227	11	32	0/0	2.4	3.5
		TOTAL	2921	35	420	22	55	1/2	---	---
SANTA BARBARA	77.1%	SUP SNTA BARBARA	18	9	0	0	1	0/0	2.9	1.2
		SUP SNTA MARIA	17	1	0	0	0	0/0	3.4	2.2
		JUV SNTA BARBARA	7	1	1	0	2	0/0	1.3	4.2
		JUV LOMPOC	8	0	1	2	1	0/0	2.2	3.2
		SANTA BARBARA	1255	11	284	37	26	0/1	2.1	4.4
		SANTA MARIA	754	15	91	12	11	1/0	1.4	5.3
		LOMPOC	193	1	55	5	5	0/1	1.7	6.6
		SOLVANG	118	0	26	0	4	0/0	1.9	4.7
		TOTAL	2370	38	458	56	50	1/2	---	---
		SUP SNTA CLARA	89	269	0	0	3	0/1	3.6	3.6
SANTA CLARA	72.7%	JUV SNTA CLARA	69	7	4	0	1	0/0	3.9	3.8
		LOS GATOS	2	0	0	0	2	0/0	2.0	1.0
		PALO ALTO MUNI	544	3	66	9	9	0/1	2.7	2.2
		SAN JOSE	4672	61	469	88	111	1/6	3.1	2.5
		SAN JOSE TRAF	0	0	0	0	10	0/0	---	---
		SNTA CLARA MUN	3	0	0	0	0	0/0	20.9	0.5
		SUNNYVALE	739	3	132	21	11	0/0	3.7	2.1
		GILROY	637	2	67	8	8	0/2	3.5	1.2
		TOTAL	6755	345	738	126	155	1/10	---	---
		SUP SANTA CRUZ	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	4.3	19.2
SANTA CRUZ	70.1%	JUV SANTA CRUZ	13	0	2	0	0	0/0	3.9	1.2
		SANTA CRUZ	1217	26	99	56	23	0/7	2.1	5.4
		WATSONVILLE	568	1	57	6	8	0/4	1.8	5.5
		TOTAL	1799	27	158	62	31	0/11	---	---
		SUP REDDING	7	18	2	0	0	0/0	4.7	1.5
SHASTA	76.1%	JUV SHASTA	10	0	0	1	0	0/0	2.1	2.8
		ANDERSON	0	0	0	0	2	0/0	---	---
		BURNEY	33	0	13	0	2	0/0	3.2	5.6
		REDDING	760	23	117	13	9	0/1	2.7	4.5
		TOTAL	810	41	132	14	13	0/1	---	---
SIERRA	53.5%	SUP SIERRA	0	1	0	0	0	0/0	12.9	2.3
		DOWNIEVILLE	22	0	2	2	1	0/0	2.9	4.2
		TOTAL	22	1	2	2	1	0/0	---	---
SISKIYOU	67.7%	SUP SISKIYOU	1	2	0	0	0	0/0	9.2	3.1
		JUV YREKA	2	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.4	4.3
		DORRIS	1	0	0	0	1	0/0	0.8	0.7
		WEED	103	0	20	3	0	0/0	3.0	3.3
		YREKA JUD DIST	139	10	22	6	4	0/0	2.4	2.6
TOTAL		TOTAL	246	12	42	9	5	0/0	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1996 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI DISM <sup>1</sup> / UNCONST <sup>2</sup>	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
SOLANO	75.7%	SUP SOLANO	19	19	1	0	0	0/1	2.9	3.0
		JUV SOLANO	13	0	0	0	3	0/0	4.9	2.1
		DIXON	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.1	2.0
		FAIRFIELD	919	18	154	10	16	0/4	2.6	7.5
		BENICIA	3	0	0	0	0	0/0	3.0	2.2
		VILLEJO-BENICIA	364	2	65	4	8	0/0	2.6	6.7
SONOMA	73.3%	TOTAL	1319	39	220	14	27	0/5	---	---
		SUP SONOMA	6	66	0	0	3	0/1	3.9	3.8
		JUV SONOMA	39	5	1	1	0	0/0	2.7	0.4
		SANTA ROSA	2086	51	440	31	35	0/14	3.2	4.9
STANISLAUS	74.8%	TOTAL	2131	122	441	32	38	0/15	---	---
		SUP STANISLAUS	38	11	0	0	0	0/0	6.0	2.5
		JUV STANISLAUS	30	3	2	0	2	0/0	3.3	2.9
		MODESTO	1692	38	241	21	26	0/9	2.7	2.3
		OAKDALE	0	1	0	0	0	0/0	2.7	0.5
		TURLOCK	248	2	54	0	0	0/2	2.4	2.1
SUTTER	44.0%	TOTAL	2008	54	297	21	28	0/11	---	---
		YUBA CTY SUP MUN	14	15	1	0	0	0/0	2.4	3.0
		YUBA CTY JUV	3	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.0	1.2
		MARYSVILLE MUNI	374	5	76	2	3	0/0	2.5	4.0
TEHAMA	82.4%	TOTAL	391	20	77	2	3	0/0	---	---
		SUP TEHAMA	8	2	0	0	0	0/0	6.7	0.3
		JUV TEHAMA	5	0	0	0	1	0/0	3.2	1.0
		CORNING	129	0	19	0	3	0/0	2.6	5.0
		RED BLUFF	238	3	19	4	4	0/0	2.0	3.1
TRINITY	45.2%	TOTAL	380	5	38	4	8	0/0	---	---
		SUP TRINITY	2	0	1	0	1	0/0	5.7	2.0
		WEAVERVILLE CTS	77	1	16	0	4	0/0	3.3	4.4
TULARE	69.4%	TOTAL	79	1	17	0	5	0/0	---	---
		SUP TULARE	96	26	0	1	4	0/0	2.8	4.2
		JUV TULARE	34	2	0	0	0	0/0	2.2	4.7
		DINUBA MUNI	388	3	13	0	3	0/0	2.8	2.0
		EXETER MUNI	130	0	7	0	1	0/0	2.6	2.5
		LINDSY-EXETER	226	0	18	0	3	0/2	2.6	2.3
		PORTERVILLE	544	5	8	10	7	1/0	2.3	2.7
		TULARE	312	4	22	0	1	0/0	3.3	4.0
		VISALIA	699	21	16	8	6	0/1	2.5	5.7
		WOODLAKE-EXETR	97	0	10	0	2	0/0	3.0	2.1
TUOLUMNE	85.7%	TOTAL	2524	61	94	19	27	1/3	---	---
		SUP SONORA	14	5	0	0	0	0/0	5.9	3.2
		JUV SONORA	6	2	0	0	0	0/0	4.6	2.1
		SONORA	277	4	31	3	5	0/1	2.8	1.5
		JAMESTOWN	16	0	2	0	1	0/0	1.8	1.1
TOTAL	---	TOTAL	313	11	33	3	6	0/1	---	---

TABLE B3: TOTAL CONVICTION DATA FOR 1996 DUI ARRESTEES BY COURT - continued

COUNTY	DUI CONVICTION RATE	COURT	MISD DUI	FELONY DUI	ALCOHOL RECKLESS	NON-ALCOHOL RECKLESS	OTHER CONVICTIONS	DUI/DISM <sup>1</sup> / UNCONST <sup>2</sup>	AVERAGE ADJUDICATION TIMES (MONTHS)	
									VIOLATION TO CONVICTION	CONVICTION TO DMV UPDATE
VENTURA	84.1%	JUV VENTURA	11	0	0	0	0	0/0	2.2	2.7
		OJAI	1	0	0	0	0	0/0	---	---
		VENTURA	3205	31	0	0	53	1/1	2.4	3.7
		TOTAL	3217	31	0	0	53	1/1	---	---
YOLO	36.3%	WOODLND SUPMUN	522	16	150	26	5	0/0	5.8	2.9
		WOODLAND	2	0	0	0	1	0/2	8.4	0.7
		TOTAL	524	16	150	26	6	0/2	---	---
YUBA	76.0%	SUP YUBA	1	8	1	0	0	0/0	5.0	9.2
		JUV YUBA	3	0	0	0	0	0/0	1.1	0.8
		MARYSVILLE	368	5	78	11	6	0/0	2.4	2.3
		TC BEALE AFB	4	0	0	0	0	0/0	0.4	1.7
		TOTAL	376	13	79	11	6	0/0	---	---

TABLE B4: 1996 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\*

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG		SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG		30-MONTH PROGRAM		LICENSE RESTRICTION		COURT SUSPENSION		IGNITION INTERLOCK	
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
STATEWIDE			141692	96.5	76.5	61.2	20.0	0.1	45.3	5.4	5.6							
ALAMEDA	SUP OAKLAND	1ST DUI	17	70.6	82.4	0.0	23.5	0.0	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	6	50.0	83.3	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	60	51.7	86.7	0.0	16.7	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV SAN LEANDRO ALAMEDA	TOTAL	88	56.8	86.4	0.0	19.3	0.0	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.5	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	35	100.0	14.3	74.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	35	100.0	14.3	74.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	164	100.0	98.2	89.0	1.2	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BERKELEY	2ND DUI	54	100.0	100.0	11.1	83.3	0.0	85.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	85.2	0.0	3.7	0.0	1.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	71.4	0.0	71.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	225	100.0	98.7	67.6	23.1	0.0	23.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.6	0.0	3.6	0.0	0.4	0.0
		1ST DUI	116	99.1	98.3	84.5	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
FREMONT	BERKELEY	2ND DUI	37	100.0	100.0	0.0	81.1	0.0	78.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	78.4	0.0	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	13	100.0	100.0	0.0	30.8	0.0	23.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	166	99.4	98.8	59.0	20.5	0.0	19.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.9	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	584	99.3	99.1	90.6	3.3	0.2	2.7	0.2	0.2	0.2	2.7	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
	FREMONT	2ND DUI	196	99.5	99.5	5.6	84.7	0.0	60.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	40	100.0	97.5	7.5	52.5	0.0	17.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.5	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	825	99.2	99.2	65.8	25.1	0.1	17.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	17.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	1.3	0.0
PLEASANTON	PLEASANTON	1ST DUI	511	100.0	94.1	96.3	0.8	0.0	6.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.5	0.0	7.8	0.2	0.2	0.2
		2ND DUI	164	100.0	98.2	8.5	86.6	0.0	81.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	81.1	0.0	8.5	1.8	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	35	100.0	100.0	2.9	80.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0	71.4	2.9	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	100.0	75.0	25.0	62.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	87.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	OAKLAND	TOTAL	718	100.0	95.1	70.9	24.9	0.0	23.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.8	0.0	12.0	0.7	0.7	0.7
		1ST DUI	680	100.0	99.4	93.7	1.6	0.0	4.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.1	0.0	2.4	1.3	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	202	100.0	98.0	8.4	86.1	0.0	86.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	86.6	0.0	0.0	74.8	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	39	94.9	92.3	10.3	56.4	0.0	61.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	61.5	0.0	15.4	41.0	0.0	0.0
HAYWARD	HAYWARD	4TH+ DUI	13	100.0	100.0	0.0	53.8	0.0	53.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	53.8	0.0	7.7	30.8	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	934	99.8	98.8	70.4	22.9	0.0	25.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.1	0.0	2.5	19.3	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	918	93.6	98.0	70.5	6.8	0.0	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	0.0	3.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	282	81.2	98.9	3.5	64.5	0.0	64.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	64.2	0.0	3.2	0.4	0.0	0.0
	MARKLEEVILLE	3RD DUI	92	90.2	98.9	0.0	57.6	0.0	12.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.0	0.0	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	23	78.3	100.0	0.0	26.1	0.0	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.3	0.0	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1315	90.4	98.3	50.0	23.0	0.0	16.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.5	0.0	3.7	0.1	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
ALPINE	MARKLEEVILLE	4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	23	100.0	8.7	95.7	0.0	0.0	87.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	87.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	6	100.0	83.3	33.3	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MARKLEEVILLE	3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	31	100.0	29.0	77.4	19.4	0.0	80.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	80.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	17	70.6	82.4	0.0	23.5	0.0	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV SAN LEANDRO ALAMEDA	3RD DUI	6	50.0	83.3	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	60	51.7	86.7	0.0	16.7	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	88	56.8	86.4	0.0	19.3	0.0	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.5	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	35	100.0	14.3	74.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	BERKELEY	TOTAL	35	100.0	14.3	74.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	164	100.0	98.2	89.0	1.2	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	54	100.0	100.0	11.1	83.3	0.0	85.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	85.2	0.0	3.7	0.0	1.9	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	71.4	0.0	71.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

\*Entries represent percentages of 1996 DUI convicts receiving each sanction by county, court and offender status.



TABLE B4: 1996 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG		SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG		30-MONTH PROGRAM		LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION		IGNITION INTERLOCK		
				%		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%			
AMADOR	SUP AMADOR JACKSON	4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0		
		TOTAL	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0		
		1ST DUI	108	97.2	97.2	93.5	1.9	85.4	61.5	27.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.3	0.0	0.0	
		2ND DUI	41	100.0	100.0	12.2	7.7	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	41.5	36.6	0.0	0.0	
		3RD DUI	13	100.0	100.0	7.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	69.2	69.2	7.7	0.0	
	SUP MARKLEEVILLE	4TH+ DUI	6	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	168	95.8	98.2	63.7	0.0	27.4	0.0	11.3	20.8	0.6	0.0	20.8	0.6	0.0	
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
AMADOR	MARKLEEVILLE	1ST DUI	23	100.0	8.7	95.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	87.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2ND DUI	6	100.0	83.3	33.3	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	31	100.0	29.0	77.4	0.0	19.4	0.0	80.6	0.0	0.0	80.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SUP AMADOR JACKSON	TOTAL	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	108	97.2	97.2	93.5	1.9	85.4	61.5	27.4	0.0	0.0	1.9	9.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	41	100.0	100.0	12.2	7.7	16.7	0.0	61.5	0.0	0.0	41.5	36.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	13	100.0	100.0	7.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	69.2	16.7	0.0	7.7
		4TH+ DUI	6	33.3	100.0	63.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.3	20.8	0.6	20.8	0.6	0.0	0.0
BUTTE	SUP OROVILLE	TOTAL	168	95.8	98.2	63.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0
		1ST DUI	10	90.0	90.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	10	90.0	100.0	30.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	75.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	16	37.5	100.0	6.3	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV OROVILLE	TOTAL	40	67.5	97.5	30.0	12.5	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	8	75.0	100.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	75.0	100.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	432	97.7	96.8	75.5	6.8	4.4	68.7	4.4	0.0	2.3	6.5	9.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	147	89.8	98.0	3.0	0.0	36.4	42.9	36.4	0.0	27.3	48.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CHICO MUNI	GRIDLEY	3RD DUI	33	75.8	100.0	0.0	0.0	21.8	21.8	0.0	0.0	18.9	10.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	7	85.7	100.0	54.4	11.1	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	44.4	55.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	619	94.5	97.3	82.9	66.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	35	85.7	100.0	72.3	0.0	2.9	2.9	0.0	0.0	2.9	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	9	44.4	100.0	11.1	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	OROVILLE	3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	45	77.8	100.0	66.7	11.1	11.1	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	13.3	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	137	75.9	94.9	72.3	0.7	55.6	25.0	0.0	0.0	5.1	23.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	54	70.4	100.0	13.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	25.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	16	43.8	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	43.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
PARADISE	PARADISE	4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	51.0	0.0	16.8	16.8	0.0	0.0	18.3	25.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	208	71.6	96.6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	100.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	PARADISE	4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	100.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	100.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1996 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG		SR 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM		LICENSE RESTRICTION		COURT SUSPENSION		IGNITION INTERLOCK	
				%	%		%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
CALAVERAS	SUP CALAVERAS	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	33.3	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	50.0	75.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	3	100.0	33.3	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	33.3	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
COLUSA	JUV CALAVERAS SAN ANDREAS	1ST DUI	127	100.0	100.0	96.1	96.1	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4	2.4	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	48	100.0	100.0	14.6	14.6	77.1	77.1	0.0	0.0	81.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.6	14.6
		3RD DUI	11	100.0	90.9	90.9	0.0	0.0	63.6	0.0	0.0	27.3	9.1	9.1	18.2	18.2	18.2
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	188	100.0	99.5	99.5	68.6	25.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	23.4	2.1	2.1	4.8	4.8	4.8
	SUP COLUSA	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	75.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CONTRA COSTA	JUV COLUSA	TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	158	91.1	100.0	100.0	81.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	1.9	5.7	5.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	52	88.5	96.2	96.2	5.8	53.8	53.8	0.0	0.0	50.0	3.8	3.8	3.8	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	12	75.0	100.0	100.0	8.3	25.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	33.3	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	5	40.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SUP CONTRA COSTA	TOTAL	227	88.5	99.1	99.1	58.1	14.1	14.1	0.0	0.0	13.2	6.6	6.6	6.6	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	28	85.7	96.4	96.4	21.4	21.4	21.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	14.3	14.3	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	13	61.5	100.0	100.0	15.4	38.5	38.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.7	7.7	7.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	15	66.7	93.3	93.3	0.0	40.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.7	6.7	6.7	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	57	68.4	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.9	50.9	0.0	0.0	3.5	5.3	5.3	5.3	3.5	3.5
CONCORD	JUV CONTRA COSTA	TOTAL	113	71.7	98.2	98.2	7.1	40.7	40.7	0.0	0.0	1.8	8.0	8.0	8.0	1.8	1.8
		1ST DUI	37	86.5	13.5	13.5	10.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	29.7	29.7	29.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	38	84.2	15.8	15.8	10.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.9	28.9	28.9	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	527	99.8	97.3	97.3	89.0	2.1	2.1	0.2	0.2	4.7	6.8	6.8	6.8	0.2	0.2
	RICHMOND	2ND DUI	138	100.0	98.6	98.6	7.2	81.9	81.9	0.0	0.0	80.4	5.8	5.8	5.8	20.3	20.3
		3RD DUI	50	98.0	98.0	98.0	0.0	34.0	34.0	0.0	0.0	14.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.0
		4TH+ DUI	11	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	9.1	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	726	99.7	97.7	97.7	66.0	19.6	19.6	0.1	0.1	19.7	6.1	6.1	6.1	4.1	4.1
		1ST DUI	548	98.9	98.5	98.5	53.3	2.6	2.6	0.2	0.2	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.7	0.4	0.4
PITTSBURG	RICHMOND	2ND DUI	186	98.9	98.4	98.4	5.9	81.2	81.2	0.0	0.0	78.0	1.1	1.1	1.1	10.8	10.8
		3RD DUI	50	94.0	100.0	100.0	4.0	58.0	58.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	4.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	786	98.6	98.6	98.6	38.8	24.8	24.8	0.1	0.1	22.1	2.4	2.4	2.4	3.1	3.1
		1ST DUI	450	94.4	96.7	96.7	83.3	2.4	2.4	0.0	0.0	3.1	9.6	9.6	9.6	0.2	0.2
	PITTSBURG	2ND DUI	153	90.2	99.3	99.3	3.3	81.7	81.7	0.0	0.0	82.4	9.2	9.2	9.2	10.5	10.5
		3RD DUI	53	26.4	100.0	100.0	0.0	18.9	18.9	0.0	0.0	17.0	45.3	45.3	45.3	1.9	1.9
		4TH+ DUI	7	14.3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	14.3	14.3	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	663	87.2	97.6	97.6	57.3	22.0	22.0	0.0	0.0	22.5	12.4	12.4	12.4	2.7	2.7

TABLE B4: 1996 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG		SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG		30-MONTH PROGRAM		LICENSE RESTRICTION		COURT SUSPENSION		IGNITION INTERLOCK	
				%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
CONTRA COSTA (cont)	WALNUT CREEK	1ST DUI	605	99.8		95.4	96.4		0.8		0.0		2.1		2.5		0.2	
		2ND DUI	145	100.0		93.8	8.3		84.8		0.0		70.3		6.2		52.4	
		3RD DUI	25	100.0		88.0	4.0		48.0		0.0		48.0		8.0		44.0	
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0		100.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		100.0		0.0	
		TOTAL	776	99.9		94.8	76.8		18.0		0.0		16.4		3.5		11.3	
DEL NORTE	BRENTWOOD	1ST DUI	1	100.0		100.0	100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		TOTAL	1	100.0		100.0	100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
	CRESCENT CITY	1ST DUI	143	92.3		99.3	77.6		9.1		0.0		4.9		2.1		0.0	
		2ND DUI	46	84.8		100.0	6.5		71.7		0.0		34.8		19.6		0.0	
		3RD DUI	16	75.0		87.5	6.3		56.3		0.0		25.0		25.0		0.0	
EL DORADO	SUP EL DORADO	4TH+ DUI	4	50.0		100.0	0.0		50.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		TOTAL	209	88.5		98.6	55.0		27.3		0.0		12.9		7.7		0.0	
		1ST DUI	13	69.2		0.0	7.7		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		2ND DUI	1	100.0		100.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		3RD DUI	1	100.0		100.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
	JUV SUP PLACERVILLE	4TH+ DUI	2	50.0		100.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		TOTAL	17	70.6		23.5	5.9		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		1ST DUI	8	100.0		50.0	12.5		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		2ND DUI	1	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		TOTAL	9	88.9		44.4	11.1		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
	CAMERON PARK	1ST DUI	201	98.5		98.5	94.0		0.5		0.0		3.5		6.0		0.0	
		2ND DUI	74	100.0		98.6	5.4		83.8		0.0		79.7		4.1		44.6	
		3RD DUI	21	100.0		90.5	9.5		47.6		0.0		47.6		4.8		23.8	
		4TH+ DUI	7	57.1		100.0	0.0		57.1		0.0		42.9		0.0		28.6	
		TOTAL	303	98.0		98.0	64.4		25.4		0.0		26.1		5.3		13.2	
	PLACERVILLE	1ST DUI	3	66.7		100.0	66.7		0.0		0.0		0.0		33.3		0.0	
		3RD DUI	1	100.0		100.0	0.0		100.0		0.0		100.0		0.0		0.0	
		TOTAL	4	75.0		100.0	50.0		25.0		0.0		25.0		25.0		0.0	
	SO LAKE TAHOE	1ST DUI	178	99.4		98.9	80.3		5.6		0.0		1.7		5.1		0.6	
		2ND DUI	62	95.2		96.8	12.9		74.2		0.0		71.0		4.8		40.3	
		3RD DUI	15	80.0		93.3	6.7		40.0		0.0		26.7		20.0		6.7	
		4TH+ DUI	5	100.0		100.0	0.0		80.0		0.0		40.0		0.0		40.0	
	PLACERVILLE	TOTAL	260	97.3		98.1	58.5		25.4		0.0		20.4		5.8		11.2	
		1ST DUI	189	100.0		100.0	90.5		0.5		0.0		1.1		2.1		0.0	
		2ND DUI	84	100.0		96.4	10.7		72.6		0.0		72.6		3.6		47.6	
		3RD DUI	27	96.3		96.3	7.4		37.0		0.0		29.6		37.0		25.9	
		4TH+ DUI	7	71.4		100.0	0.0		14.3		0.0		0.0		71.4		0.0	
	SUP FRESNO	TOTAL	307	99.0		98.7	59.3		23.8		0.0		23.1		7.2		15.3	
		1ST DUI	30	60.0		90.0	3.3		0.0		0.0		0.0		10.0		0.0	
		2ND DUI	8	75.0		100.0	0.0		12.5		0.0		12.5		0.0		0.0	
		3RD DUI	9	44.4		88.9	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	24	12.5		100.0	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
	JUV FRESNO	TOTAL	71	43.7		94.4	1.4		1.4		0.0		1.4		4.2		0.0	
		1ST DUI	31	96.8		3.2	9.7		0.0		0.0		9.7		87.1		0.0	
		TOTAL	31	96.8		3.2	9.7		0.0		0.0		9.7		87.1		0.0	

TABLE B4: 1996 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SR 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
FRESNO (cont.)	FRESNO	1ST DUI	1547	98.6	84.9	84.7	2.3	0.0	32.5	0.1	0.1
		2ND DUI	600	97.7	96.8	10.2	70.5	0.0	70.0	0.0	4.3
		3RD DUI	172	97.1	91.3	2.3	43.6	0.0	19.8	0.0	0.6
		4TH+ DUI	56	75.0	75.0	0.0	17.9	0.0	8.9	0.0	1.8
		TOTAL	2375	97.7	88.1	57.9	22.9	0.0	40.5	0.0	1.2
	CLOVIS	1ST DUI	197	98.5	95.4	89.8	2.0	0.0	5.6	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	76	100.0	98.7	5.3	84.2	0.0	81.6	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	21	95.2	81.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	42.9	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	294	98.6	95.2	61.6	26.2	0.0	27.9	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	101	97.0	99.0	94.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.9	0.0
	COALINGA	2ND DUI	38	97.4	94.7	18.4	68.4	0.0	73.7	0.0	34.2
		3RD DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	87.5	0.0	37.5	0.0	37.5
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	148	97.3	98.0	68.9	23.0	0.0	20.9	4.1	10.8
		1ST DUI	173	98.3	97.1	81.5	2.3	0.0	74.6	0.0	0.0
	FIREBAUGH	2ND DUI	43	100.0	95.3	4.7	51.2	0.0	55.8	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	9	100.0	100.0	0.0	44.4	0.0	44.4	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	231	98.7	97.0	61.9	13.0	0.0	68.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	149	99.3	100.0	95.3	2.0	0.0	3.4	0.0	0.0
	FOWLER	2ND DUI	69	98.6	100.0	13.0	78.3	0.0	75.4	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	16	93.8	100.0	18.8	18.8	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	238	98.3	100.0	64.7	25.6	0.0	24.4	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	102	97.1	98.0	88.2	3.9	0.0	34.3	0.0	0.0
	KERMAN	2ND DUI	39	100.0	94.9	12.8	69.2	0.0	56.4	5.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	9	77.8	100.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	150	96.7	97.3	63.3	22.0	0.0	38.7	1.3	0.0
		1ST DUI	50	100.0	98.0	92.0	2.0	0.0	4.0	6.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	20	100.0	100.0	15.0	85.0	0.0	60.0	10.0	0.0
	KINGSBURG	3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	72	100.0	98.6	68.1	26.4	0.0	19.4	8.3	0.0
		1ST DUI	140	98.6	98.6	95.0	0.7	0.0	4.3	23.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	47	97.9	97.9	4.3	87.2	0.0	48.9	10.6	36.2
	REEDLEY	3RD DUI	14	92.9	92.9	0.0	64.3	0.0	28.6	7.1	14.3
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		TOTAL	202	98.0	97.5	66.8	25.2	0.0	16.8	19.3	9.9
		1ST DUI	11	100.0	90.9	72.7	18.2	0.0	18.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	100.0	80.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	40.0	20.0	0.0
	RIVERDALE	3RD DUI	5	80.0	80.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0
		TOTAL	21	95.2	85.7	38.1	33.3	0.0	9.5	19.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	70	98.6	91.4	90.0	2.9	0.0	7.1	1.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	37	100.0	83.8	10.8	70.3	10.8	56.8	2.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	10	100.0	80.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
	SANGER	4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	120	98.3	87.5	55.8	27.5	3.3	21.7	3.3	0.0

TABLE B4: 1996 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SR 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
FRESNO	SELMA	1ST DUI	70	94.3	91.4	82.9	4.3	0.0	8.6	1.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	36	94.4	91.7	8.3	80.6	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	12	91.7	83.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	119	94.1	90.8	51.3	30.3	0.0	31.1	0.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
GLENN	US CT FRESNO	4TH+ DUI	6	33.3	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
		TOTAL	8	50.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0
		1ST DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	101	98.0	91.1	92.1	1.0	0.0	8.9	1.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	45	97.8	91.1	20.0	53.3	0.0	64.4	2.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	10	100.0	100.0	10.0	30.0	0.0	10.0	50.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	158	98.1	91.8	65.8	17.7	0.0	24.7	4.4	0.0
		1ST DUI	73	98.6	82.2	89.0	4.1	0.0	13.7	5.5	0.0
HUMBOLDT/ EUREKA	WILLOWS	2ND DUI	14	100.0	100.0	0.0	71.4	0.0	71.4	14.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	80.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	93	98.9	86.0	69.9	14.0	0.0	21.5	11.8	0.0
		1ST DUI	157	97.5	13.4	3.2	5.1	0.0	88.5	5.1	0.0
		2ND DUI	59	96.6	74.6	1.7	30.5	0.0	74.6	13.6	0.0
		3RD DUI	22	100.0	86.4	0.0	18.2	0.0	36.4	27.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	88.9	55.6	0.0	11.1	0.0	33.3	55.6	0.0
		TOTAL	247	97.2	36.0	2.4	12.6	0.0	78.5	10.9	0.0
		1ST DUI	199	98.5	14.1	1.0	1.0	0.0	94.0	3.0	0.0
	EUREKA	2ND DUI	50	94.0	70.0	0.0	14.0	0.0	74.0	14.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	9	88.9	88.9	0.0	11.1	0.0	22.2	33.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	261	96.9	28.4	0.8	4.2	0.0	87.4	6.1	0.0
		1ST DUI	68	100.0	13.2	92.6	4.4	1.5	98.5	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	17	100.0	100.0	0.0	94.1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	100.0	71.4	0.0	14.3	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	92	100.0	33.7	68.5	21.7	3.3	91.3	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	52	96.2	15.4	90.4	1.9	0.0	96.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	12	100.0	100.0	0.0	91.7	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	FORTUNA	3RD DUI	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	71	95.8	38.0	67.6	22.5	0.0	93.0	1.4	0.0
		1ST DUI	14	100.0	14.3	14.3	85.7	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	100.0	60.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	75.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	23	95.7	34.8	8.7	87.0	0.0	95.7	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	14	100.0	14.3	14.3	85.7	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	100.0	60.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	75.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
	GARBERVILLE	TOTAL	23	95.7	34.8	8.7	87.0	0.0	95.7	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	14	100.0	14.3	14.3	85.7	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	100.0	60.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	75.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	23	95.7	34.8	8.7	87.0	0.0	95.7	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	14	100.0	14.3	14.3	85.7	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	100.0	60.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	75.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	23	95.7	34.8	8.7	87.0	0.0	95.7	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	14	100.0	14.3	14.3	85.7	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	100.0	60.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	75.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
	HOOPA	TOTAL	23	95.7	34.8	8.7	87.0	0.0	95.7	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	14	100.0	14.3	14.3	85.7	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	100.0	60.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	75.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	23	95.7	34.8	8.7	87.0	0.0	95.7	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	14	100.0	14.3	14.3	85.7	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	100.0	60.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	75.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	23	95.7	34.8	8.7	87.0	0.0	95.7	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	14	100.0	14.3	14.3	85.7	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	100.0	60.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	75.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1996 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SR 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
IMPERIAL	SUP IMPERIAL	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	107	100.0	61.7	87.9	0.9	0.9	37.4	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	37	97.3	89.2	16.2	54.1	0.0	56.8	0.0	0.0
	BRAWLEY	3RD DUI	6	100.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	16.7	16.7	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	152	99.3	69.1	65.8	15.8	1.3	40.8	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	332	100.0	52.4	90.7	1.8	0.0	52.7	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	59	98.3	76.3	25.4	52.5	0.0	74.6	0.0	0.0
INYO	CALEXICO	3RD DUI	5	100.0	80.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	396	99.7	56.3	79.8	9.6	0.0	55.8	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	214	100.0	20.1	76.6	2.8	0.0	88.8	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	51	100.0	76.5	11.8	64.7	0.0	86.3	2.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	18	100.0	94.4	5.6	72.2	0.0	66.7	5.6	0.0
	EL CENTRO	TOTAL	283	100.0	35.0	60.4	18.4	0.0	86.9	0.7	0.0
		1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	25.0	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
KERN	BISHOP	1ST DUI	116	98.3	20.7	93.1	3.4	0.0	87.1	3.4	0.9
		2ND DUI	38	94.7	97.4	7.9	81.6	0.0	76.3	0.0	10.5
		3RD DUI	14	78.6	92.9	0.0	35.7	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	168	95.8	44.0	66.1	23.8	0.0	77.4	6.5	3.0
	INDEPENDENCE	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	SUP KERN	1ST DUI	73	83.6	41.1	52.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	24	16.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
KERN	JUV KERN	4TH+ DUI	24	20.8	95.8	0.0	4.2	4.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	128	54.7	65.6	29.7	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.8	0.0
		1ST DUI	4	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	ARVIN-LAMONT	1ST DUI	239	98.7	69.9	82.0	0.4	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	76	94.7	88.2	25.0	19.7	0.0	18.4	0.0	3.9
		3RD DUI	19	94.7	84.2	15.8	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	9	77.8	88.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	343	97.1	75.2	63.6	5.0	0.0	4.4	0.3	0.9
BAKERSFIELD	BAKERSFIELD	1ST DUI	1395	99.6	97.5	66.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	1.3	1.9
		2ND DUI	508	98.8	58.5	8.5	15.4	0.0	57.9	0.8	45.7
		3RD DUI	139	95.7	59.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	43.2	0.0	40.3
		4TH+ DUI	37	56.8	75.7	5.4	0.0	0.0	24.3	5.4	16.2
		TOTAL	2079	98.4	85.0	46.8	3.8	0.0	19.0	1.2	15.4

TABLE B4: 1996 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SR 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
KERN	(cont.)	DELANO	196	100.0	52.6	85.7	2.0	0.0	0.0	3.6	0.0
		1ST DUI	75	98.7	93.3	9.3	56.0	0.0	13.3	8.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	27	96.3	92.6	0.0	7.4	0.0	7.4	40.7	3.7
		3RD DUI	8	87.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.5	0.0
	LAKE ISABELLA	4TH+ DUI	306	99.0	67.3	57.2	15.7	0.0	3.9	8.8	0.3
		TOTAL	48	100.0	100.0	39.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	16	100.0	100.0	25.0	31.3	0.0	50.0	0.0	12.5
		2ND DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	73	97.3	100.0	31.5	6.8	0.0	11.0	0.0	2.7
		TOTAL	170	98.2	66.5	80.0	2.9	0.0	21.2	14.1	0.6
	TAFT	1ST DUI	51	100.0	88.2	3.9	70.6	0.0	82.4	11.8	68.6
		2ND DUI	14	92.9	78.6	0.0	42.9	0.0	64.3	28.6	50.0
		3RD DUI	4	75.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	25.0	25.0
		4TH+ DUI	239	97.9	72.0	57.7	20.5	0.0	37.2	14.6	18.4
KINGS	SHAFER	TOTAL	162	98.8	100.0	84.0	3.1	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	59	100.0	96.6	10.2	59.3	0.0	44.1	1.7	20.3
		2ND DUI	23	91.3	91.3	8.7	8.7	4.3	4.3	0.0	8.7
		3RD DUI	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
	MOJAVE	4TH+ DUI	249	97.6	98.4	57.8	16.9	0.4	11.2	0.8	5.6
		TOTAL	175	98.9	97.7	64.0	1.1	0.0	6.9	2.3	0.0
		1ST DUI	74	98.6	97.3	2.7	51.4	0.0	55.4	6.8	2.7
		2ND DUI	25	96.0	100.0	0.0	16.0	0.0	16.0	48.0	4.0
		3RD DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	277	97.8	97.8	41.2	15.9	0.0	20.6	7.6	1.1
	RIDGECREST	TOTAL	143	97.9	99.3	71.3	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	50	94.0	100.0	10.0	36.0	0.0	34.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	12	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	205	97.1	99.5	52.2	10.2	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0
KINGS	SUP KINGS	TOTAL	6	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
		1ST DUI	7	14.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV HANFORD	4TH+ DUI	24	12.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.2	0.0
		TOTAL	11	63.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.1	81.8	0.0
		1ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	12	58.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	83.3	0.0
	HANFORD	TOTAL	375	85.9	98.4	73.6	0.5	0.0	1.3	17.6	0.0
		1ST DUI	121	81.0	97.5	12.4	50.4	0.0	57.0	15.7	28.1
		2ND DUI	41	75.6	82.9	9.8	29.3	0.0	48.8	7.3	29.3
		3RD DUI	10	10.0	100.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	10.0
	AVENAL	4TH+ DUI	547	82.6	97.1	53.9	13.9	0.0	17.4	16.1	8.6
		TOTAL	61	95.1	100.0	82.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	14.8	0.0
		1ST DUI	22	90.9	95.5	13.6	63.6	0.0	72.7	13.6	18.2
		2ND DUI	6	83.3	83.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	50.0	0.0	16.7
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0
		4TH+ DUI	90	93.3	97.8	58.9	18.9	0.0	23.3	13.3	6.7

TABLE B4: 1996 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SR 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
KINGS	(cont.)	1ST DUI	85	85.9	100.0	76.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.8	0.0
		2ND DUI	32	78.1	93.8	18.8	50.0	0.0	53.1	12.5	37.5
		3RD DUI	5	60.0	60.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	60.0	20.0	60.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	125	81.6	96.8	56.8	13.6	0.0	16.0	12.8	12.0
LAKE	LEMOORE	1ST DUI	123	86.2	100.0	74.0	0.0	0.0	2.4	25.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	43	69.8	97.7	18.6	30.2	0.0	46.5	32.6	23.3
		3RD DUI	13	46.2	92.3	30.8	7.7	0.0	15.4	23.1	7.7
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	180	78.9	98.9	57.2	7.8	0.0	13.9	26.7	6.1
LAKE	SUP LAKE	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0
		TOTAL	7	14.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	0.0
		1ST DUI	130	100.0	100.0	80.8	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	130	100.0	100.0	80.8	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0
LAKE	CLEARLAKE	1ST DUI	58	100.0	100.0	8.6	65.5	0.0	19.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	16	100.0	100.0	18.8	18.8	0.0	6.3	6.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	206	100.0	100.0	54.9	19.9	0.0	6.3	0.5	0.0
		TOTAL	186	99.5	0.0	94.1	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
LASSEN	LAKEPORT	1ST DUI	71	100.0	100.0	4.2	91.5	0.0	78.9	1.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	27	63.0	100.0	7.4	22.2	0.0	14.8	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	288	95.5	100.0	62.5	26.7	0.0	20.8	0.3	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
LASSEN	SUP LASSEN	2ND DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		1ST DUI	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
		TOTAL	109	98.2	99.1	53.2	34.9	0.0	18.3	0.9	0.0
		1ST DUI	37	97.3	94.6	13.5	73.0	2.7	75.7	2.7	5.4
LOS ANGELES	SUSANVILLE	2ND DUI	22	68.2	95.5	13.6	45.5	0.0	45.5	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	170	92.9	97.6	38.8	44.1	0.6	34.1	1.2	1.2
		TOTAL	58	70.7	84.5	5.2	0.0	0.0	1.7	5.2	0.0
		1ST DUI	11	54.5	90.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
LOS ANGELES	SUP LA CENTRAL	2ND DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	56	21.4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	128	47.7	92.2	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.8	2.3	0.0
		TOTAL	62	59.7	91.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	4.8	0.0
		1ST DUI	19	63.2	89.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.3	5.3	0.0
LOS ANGELES	SUP POMONA	2ND DUI	12	33.3	91.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	59	10.2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	152	38.8	94.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	3.3	0.0
		TOTAL	11	54.5	100.0	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	18.2	0.0
		1ST DUI	5	40.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
LOS ANGELES	SUP LANCASTER	2ND DUI	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	9	11.1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	27	37.0	96.3	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0
		TOTAL	27	37.0	96.3	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0
		TOTAL	27	37.0	96.3	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0



TABLE B4: 1996 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL		1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG		SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG		30-MONTH PROGRAM		LICENSE RESTRICTION		COURT SUSPENSION		IGNITION INTERLOCK	
				%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont.)	SUP VAN NUYS	1ST DUI	71	69.0		80.3		5.6		0.0		0.0		2.8		9.9		0.0	
		2ND DUI	27	48.1		96.3		0.0		3.7		0.0		3.7		7.4		0.0	
		3RD DUI	11	54.5		90.9		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	51	9.8		100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		2.0		0.0	
	SUP PASADENA	TOTAL	160	45.6		90.0		2.5		0.6		0.0		1.9		6.3		0.0	
		1ST DUI	21	52.4		61.9		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		2ND DUI	8	100.0		50.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		12.5		0.0	
		3RD DUI	2	100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
	SUP LONG BCH	4TH+ DUI	23	47.8		73.9		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		TOTAL	54	59.3		63.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		1.9		0.0	
		1ST DUI	23	78.3		69.6		4.3		0.0		0.0		4.3		13.0		0.0	
		2ND DUI	5	60.0		80.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
	SUP COMPTON	3RD DUI	2	0.0		100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	31	22.6		93.5		0.0		0.0		0.0		3.2		0.0		3.2	
		TOTAL	61	45.9		83.6		1.6		0.0		0.0		3.3		4.9		1.6	
		1ST DUI	25	80.0		92.0		4.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
	SUP NORWALK	2ND DUI	5	80.0		80.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		20.0		0.0	
		3RD DUI	1	100.0		100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	34	44.1		97.1		0.0		2.9		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		TOTAL	65	61.5		93.8		1.5		1.5		0.0		0.0		3.1		0.0	
	SUP TORRANCE	1ST DUI	35	71.4		85.7		2.9		0.0		2.9		2.9		2.9		0.0	
		2ND DUI	17	64.7		100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		5.9		0.0	
		3RD DUI	6	33.3		100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	35	34.3		100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
	SUP SANTA MONICA	TOTAL	93	53.8		94.6		1.1		0.0		1.1		1.1		2.2		0.0	
		1ST DUI	11	72.7		100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		9.1		0.0	
		2ND DUI	7	57.1		100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		14.3		0.0	
		3RD DUI	5	60.0		100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		20.0		0.0	
	SUP LA CENTRAL	4TH+ DUI	13	15.4		100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		15.4		0.0	
		TOTAL	36	47.2		100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		13.9		0.0	
		1ST DUI	15	100.0		80.0		26.7		0.0		0.0		13.3		6.7		0.0	
		2ND DUI	9	66.7		77.8		0.0		22.2		0.0		22.2		0.0		0.0	
	JUV LA	3RD DUI	1	0.0		100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	8	62.5		100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		TOTAL	33	78.8		84.8		12.1		6.1		0.0		12.1		3.0		0.0	
		1ST DUI	5	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		60.0		0.0	
	ALHAMBRA	TOTAL	5	0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		60.0		0.0	
		1ST DUI	10	100.0		30.0		10.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		TOTAL	10	100.0		30.0		10.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		1ST DUI	637	95.3		49.5		85.2		0.3		0.0		62.6		0.0		0.0	
	TOTAL	2ND DUI	169	91.7		95.9		8.9		46.2		0.0		50.9		0.0		7.1	
		3RD DUI	57	91.2		93.0		3.5		5.3		3.5		8.8		0.0		7.0	
		4TH+ DUI	4	75.0		100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		TOTAL	867	94.2		61.6		64.6		9.6		0.2		56.5		0.0		1.8	

TABLE B4: 1996 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG		SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG		30-MONTH PROGRAM		LICENSE RESTRICTION		COURT SUSPENSION		IGNITION INTERLOCK	
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont.)	LANCASTER	1ST DUI	547	98.0	99.8	94.0	1.5	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.7	0.5				
		2ND DUI	165	96.4	100.0	15.2	80.0	0.0	77.0	0.0	0.0	77.0	0.0	27.9				
		3RD DUI	42	78.6	73.8	4.8	33.3	26.2	31.0	0.0	0.0	31.0	0.0	9.5				
		4TH+ DUI	6		83.3	0.0	16.7	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0				
	BEVERLY HILLS	TOTAL	760	96.4	98.3	71.2	20.4	1.6	19.5	0.0	0.0	19.5	0.5	0.0				
		1ST DUI	176	99.4	58.0	93.2	0.6	0.0	92.0	0.0	0.0	92.0	2.3	0.0				
		2ND DUI	28	100.0	89.3	10.7	75.0	0.0	82.1	0.0	0.0	82.1	10.7	0.0				
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0				
	BURBANK	4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0				
		TOTAL	208	99.5	61.5	80.8	10.6	1.0	89.4	0.0	0.0	89.4	3.8	0.0				
		1ST DUI	283	97.9	96.1	87.3	1.1	0.0	89.0	0.0	0.0	89.0	5.7	0.0				
		2ND DUI	81	96.3	97.5	9.9	74.1	1.2	82.7	0.0	0.0	82.7	4.9	9.9				
	WEST COVINA	3RD DUI	18	94.4	83.3	11.1	38.9	0.0	44.4	0.0	0.0	44.4	38.9	0.0				
		4TH+ DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	75.0	0.0				
		TOTAL	386	96.9	95.9	66.6	18.4	0.3	85.0	0.0	0.0	85.0	7.8	2.1				
		1ST DUI	1641	99.3	47.7	94.8	1.2	0.0	78.1	0.0	0.0	78.1	1.8	0.2				
	COMPTON	2ND DUI	502	98.4	91.8	11.0	80.5	0.4	84.3	0.0	0.0	84.3	2.6	46.0				
		3RD DUI	122	95.1	86.9	3.3	59.0	4.9	34.4	0.0	0.0	34.4	1.6	23.8				
		4TH+ DUI	15	86.7	93.3	6.7	46.7	6.7	20.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	13.3				
		TOTAL	2280	98.8	59.8	70.9	22.1	0.4	76.8	0.0	0.0	76.8	1.9	11.7				
	CULVER CITY	1ST DUI	1078	99.4	96.5	92.9	0.9	0.1	93.7	0.0	0.0	93.7	0.5	0.0				
		2ND DUI	339	99.1	97.9	15.0	77.6	0.0	91.7	0.0	0.0	91.7	1.2	1.2				
		3RD DUI	82	95.1	92.7	9.8	40.2	3.7	46.3	0.0	0.0	46.3	7.3	0.0				
		4TH+ DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
	DOWNEY	TOTAL	1503	99.0	96.6	70.6	20.5	0.3	90.4	0.0	0.0	90.4	1.0	0.3				
		1ST DUI	158	96.8	15.2	88.6	0.6	0.0	46.2	0.0	0.0	46.2	4.4	0.0				
		2ND DUI	47	97.9	76.6	29.8	55.3	2.1	74.5	0.0	0.0	74.5	12.8	0.0				
		3RD DUI	9	88.9	88.9	11.1	22.2	11.1	11.1	0.0	0.0	11.1	33.3	0.0				
	EAST LA	4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0				
		TOTAL	215	96.7	32.1	72.1	13.5	0.9	50.7	0.0	0.0	50.7	7.4	0.0				
		1ST DUI	554	99.5	17.7	91.2	0.9	0.0	89.5	0.0	0.0	89.5	2.3	0.0				
		2ND DUI	148	100.0	80.4	14.9	77.0	1.4	89.2	0.0	0.0	89.2	4.7	10.1				
	EL MONTE	3RD DUI	45	97.8	91.1	4.4	53.3	2.2	42.2	0.0	0.0	42.2	20.0	6.7				
		4TH+ DUI	8	87.5	87.5	0.0	50.0	12.5	25.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0				
		TOTAL	755	99.3	35.1	70.1	19.5	0.5	86.0	0.0	0.0	86.0	3.8	2.4				
		1ST DUI	1047	99.4	47.9	93.3	1.0	0.0	88.1	0.0	0.0	88.1	4.5	0.0				
		2ND DUI	305	99.0	92.1	16.1	72.8	0.0	70.2	0.0	0.0	70.2	17.0	3.3				
		3RD DUI	82	100.0	89.0	4.9	37.8	9.8	18.3	0.0	0.0	18.3	15.9	1.2				
		4TH+ DUI	6	100.0	83.3	16.7	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0				
		TOTAL	1440	99.4	59.8	71.6	18.3	0.6	80.1	0.0	0.0	80.1	7.8	0.8				
		1ST DUI	962	98.9	44.4	92.6	1.6	0.0	57.9	0.0	0.0	57.9	4.2	0.3				
		2ND DUI	324	97.8	92.0	8.6	81.2	0.0	78.1	0.0	0.0	78.1	5.6	29.0				
		3RD DUI	62	98.4	74.2	3.2	37.1	12.9	22.6	0.0	0.0	22.6	1.6	8.1				
		4TH+ DUI	7	85.7	100.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	14.3	14.3	0.0				
		TOTAL	1355	98.5	57.4	68.0	22.4	0.6	60.9	0.0	0.0	60.9	4.4	7.5				

TABLE B4: 1996 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL		PROBATION		JAIL		1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG		SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG		30-MONTH PROGRAM		LICENSE RESTRICTION		COURT SUSPENSION		IGNITION INTERLOCK	
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont.)	GLENDALE	1ST DUI	406	99.5			17.0	95.8			1.2		0.0		94.3		0.2		0.0	
		2ND DUI	120	100.0			85.8	9.2			84.2		0.0		87.5		0.8		10.8	
		3RD DUI	26	100.0			88.5	3.8			65.4		3.8		42.3		11.5		0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	5	60.0			80.0	0.0			40.0		20.0		0.0		20.0		0.0	
		TOTAL	557	99.3			35.7	72.0			22.4		0.4		89.6		1.1		2.3	
	INGLEWOOD	1ST DUI	730	90.1			45.3	78.1			1.0		0.0		77.0		7.0		0.0	
		2ND DUI	208	89.9			79.3	22.1			56.3		0.0		68.8		16.8		0.5	
		3RD DUI	41	82.9			78.0	4.9			43.9		17.1		26.8		17.1		0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	10	50.0			90.0	0.0			50.0		0.0		30.0		20.0		0.0	
		TOTAL	989	89.4			54.3	62.5			14.9		0.7		72.7		9.6		0.1	
	LONG BEACH	1ST DUI	1176	98.9			31.7	84.3			1.6		0.0		69.7		0.2		0.0	
		2ND DUI	379	98.4			73.4	9.2			68.3		0.0		63.9		0.8		0.0	
		3RD DUI	64	100.0			79.7	3.1			25.0		10.9		12.5		1.6		0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	8	100.0			87.5	0.0			25.0		0.0		25.0		0.0		0.0	
		TOTAL	1627	98.8			43.6	63.2			18.2		0.4		65.9		0.4		0.0	
	LA METRO	1ST DUI	5533	99.6			49.2	96.8			1.2		0.0		79.3		0.2		0.9	
		2ND DUI	1430	98.9			95.7	10.2			84.8		0.1		93.6		0.3		62.1	
		3RD DUI	281	92.5			59.4	2.1			37.0		1.4		77.2		0.4		68.7	
		4TH+ DUI	32	65.6			56.3	0.0			9.4		0.0		53.1		0.0		62.5	
		TOTAL	7276	99.0			58.7	75.7			19.0		0.1		81.9		0.2		15.8	
	BELLFLOWER	1ST DUI	406	99.0			16.0	90.6			1.5		0.0		84.0		10.8		0.0	
		2ND DUI	104	96.2			91.3	16.3			62.5		0.0		71.2		17.3		8.7	
		3RD DUI	19	100.0			89.5	15.8			21.1		5.3		31.6		5.3		10.5	
		4TH+ DUI	4	75.0			100.0	0.0			0.0		0.0		0.0		25.0		0.0	
		TOTAL	533	98.3			34.0	72.8			14.1		0.2		79.0		12.0		2.1	
	VALENCIA	1ST DUI	722	96.1			37.4	86.4			1.8		0.0		54.3		1.4		0.1	
		2ND DUI	220	94.5			95.9	5.9			76.8		0.5		55.9		3.6		15.9	
		3RD DUI	61	85.2			91.8	1.6			36.1		8.2		13.1		3.3		3.3	
		4TH+ DUI	12	50.0			100.0	0.0			25.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		TOTAL	1015	94.6			54.1	62.9			20.4		0.6		51.5		2.0		3.7	
	PASADENA	1ST DUI	571	98.8			60.2	76.5			0.7		0.0		90.0		1.9		0.0	
		2ND DUI	159	98.7			89.3	14.5			74.8		0.0		88.7		1.9		0.0	
		3RD DUI	42	88.1			95.2	11.9			31.0		2.4		23.8		11.9		0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	16	56.3			100.0	0.0			12.5		0.0		12.5		12.5		0.0	
		TOTAL	788	97.3			68.8	59.0			17.5		0.1		84.6		2.7		0.0	
	MALIBU	1ST DUI	186	100.0			8.1	93.5			0.0		0.5		90.3		1.1		0.0	
		2ND DUI	60	98.3			73.3	16.7			78.3		1.7		95.0		0.0		13.3	
		3RD DUI	11	90.9			45.5	18.2			27.3		27.3		45.5		0.0		0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0			50.0	0.0			50.0		50.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		TOTAL	259	99.2			25.1	71.8			19.7		2.3		88.8		0.8		3.1	
	POMONA	1ST DUI	769	97.7			39.7	91.2			2.1		0.0		67.5		1.7		0.1	
		2ND DUI	248	94.8			87.1	12.1			71.4		0.0		65.3		5.2		10.1	
		3RD DUI	57	84.2			87.7	10.5			40.4		3.5		24.6		7.0		5.3	
		4TH+ DUI	8	62.5			100.0	0.0			37.5		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		TOTAL	1082	96.0			53.5	68.1			20.2		0.2		64.2		2.8		2.7	

TABLE B4: 1996 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG		SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG		30-MONTH PROGRAM		LICENSE RESTRICTION		COURT SUSPENSION		IGNITION INTERLOCK	
				%	%		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
LOS ANGELES (cont.)	HUNTINGTON PARK	1ST DUI	601	98.7	42.1	90.3	2.2	0.2	64.4	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	176	96.0	89.8	19.3	69.3	0.0	71.6	3.4	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6
		3RD DUI	39	100.0	82.1	7.7	66.7	10.3	41.0	15.4	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.1	5.1
		4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	MONROVIA	TOTAL	821	98.2	54.6	70.6	19.9	0.6	64.6	3.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
		1ST DUI	297	100.0	25.6	88.2	1.0	0.0	83.5	5.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	103	100.0	92.2	11.7	70.9	1.0	73.8	10.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	32	96.9	96.9	0.0	21.9	0.0	15.6	37.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SANTA MONICA	4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	433	99.8	46.9	63.3	19.2	0.2	76.0	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	247	99.6	55.1	94.7	3.2	0.0	93.5	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	68	94.1	91.2	2.9	80.9	1.5	85.3	7.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TORRANCE	3RD DUI	15	86.7	93.3	0.0	46.7	6.7	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	334	97.3	64.7	70.7	21.0	0.6	88.6	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	1083	99.3	17.4	95.4	0.6	0.0	92.2	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SOUTHGATE	2ND DUI	297	97.6	88.2	15.2	79.1	0.0	79.8	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	74	95.9	86.5	5.4	29.7	6.8	25.7	5.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	20	50.0	100.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1474	98.1	36.2	73.4	18.0	0.3	85.2	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	WHITTIER	1ST DUI	533	98.1	21.0	89.9	2.4	0.0	78.2	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	124	99.2	86.3	13.7	75.8	0.0	82.3	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	32	90.6	90.6	0.0	28.1	0.0	31.3	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	HOLLYWOOD	TOTAL	690	97.8	36.1	71.9	16.8	0.0	76.7	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	722	97.5	20.2	92.8	1.8	0.0	90.3	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	245	96.7	83.7	16.3	72.7	0.4	85.7	6.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	54	96.3	94.4	1.9	33.3	3.7	25.9	24.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SAN FERNANDO	4TH+ DUI	6	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1027	97.1	39.7	69.2	20.4	0.3	85.3	5.9	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
		1ST DUI	143	99.3	21.0	38.5	2.1	0.0	84.6	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	36	100.0	80.6	2.8	58.3	0.0	77.8	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SAN PEDRO	3RD DUI	11	90.9	100.0	9.1	27.3	0.0	36.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	192	98.4	37.5	29.7	14.1	0.0	80.2	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	1383	99.1	43.8	89.4	1.4	0.0	54.9	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SAN PEDRO	2ND DUI	419	99.3	95.0	9.3	76.8	0.7	62.1	6.9	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7
		3RD DUI	101	97.0	91.1	1.0	49.5	5.9	13.9	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	10	100.0	90.0	10.0	80.0	10.0	20.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1913	99.1	57.8	66.8	20.9	0.5	54.1	6.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
	SAN PEDRO	1ST DUI	314	99.7	40.8	92.7	1.6	0.0	67.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	83	100.0	90.4	18.1	77.1	1.2	68.7	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	21	100.0	90.5	4.8	57.1	4.8	23.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	419	99.8	53.2	73.3	19.3	0.5	65.4	1.2	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1

TABLE B4: 1996 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %	
LOS ANGELES (cont.)	VAN NUYS	1ST DUI	1942	98.9	33.3	93.9	2.1	0.1	71.7	0.3	0.5	
		2ND DUI	586	98.0	93.7	7.2	85.7	0.3	71.3	0.5	32.8	
		3RD DUI	143	97.2	89.5	0.7	55.9	9.8	23.8	0.0	18.9	
		4TH+ DUI	28	42.9	92.9	0.0	21.4	7.1	3.6	7.1	3.6	
		TOTAL	2699	98.0	50.0	69.2	23.3	0.7	68.4	0.4	8.5	
	LOS ANGELES	1ST DUI	491	99.0	23.6	80.9	1.6	0.0	85.3	1.6	0.4	
		2ND DUI	146	98.6	83.6	10.3	73.3	1.4	89.7	0.7	49.3	
		3RD DUI	29	100.0	79.3	0.0	37.9	6.9	37.9	0.0	24.1	
		4TH+ DUI	7	100.0	100.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	14.3	14.3	14.3	
		TOTAL	673	99.0	39.8	61.2	19.0	0.6	83.5	1.5	12.2	
MADERA	AVALON	1ST DUI	7	100.0	14.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	85.7	0.0	0.0	
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
	SUP MADERA	TOTAL	8	100.0	25.0	87.5	12.5	0.0	87.5	0.0	0.0	
		1ST DUI	9	77.8	77.8	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.4	0.0	0.0
	JUV MADERA	2ND DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0
	CHOWCHILLA	4TH+ DUI	13	61.5	92.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	38.5	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	25	68.0	84.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0
	BORDEN	1ST DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MARIN	MADERA	1ST DUI	58	100.0	98.3	93.1	1.7	0.0	5.2	10.3	0.0	
		2ND DUI	16	100.0	87.5	18.8	68.8	6.3	68.8	12.5	0.0	
		3RD DUI	10	100.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	70.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	84	100.0	96.4	67.9	16.7	1.2	19.0	17.9	0.0	
		1ST DUI	87	98.9	88.5	92.0	2.3	0.0	3.4	0.0	0.0	
	BASS LAKE	2ND DUI	25	92.0	88.0	16.0	72.0	0.0	68.0	0.0	0.0	
		3RD DUI	15	80.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	127	95.3	87.4	66.1	15.7	0.0	15.7	0.0	0.0	
		1ST DUI	219	96.8	95.4	84.9	7.3	0.0	3.2	1.4	0.0	
		3RD DUI	31	80.6	96.8	9.7	38.7	0.0	6.5	22.6	0.0	
SAN RAFAEL	SUP SAN RAFAEL	4TH+ DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	
		TOTAL	332	92.8	95.5	60.8	22.3	0.0	15.1	3.9	0.0	
		1ST DUI	56	100.0	75.0	91.1	3.6	0.0	44.6	0.0	0.0	
		2ND DUI	16	93.8	100.0	18.8	56.3	0.0	62.5	0.0	0.0	
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	
	JUV SAN RAFAEL	TOTAL	77	98.7	80.5	70.1	14.3	0.0	46.8	0.0	0.0	
		1ST DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	6	50.0	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	50.0	100.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
SAN RAFAEL	TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	1ST DUI	964	99.7	6.5	80.5	0.6	0.0	0.0	89.8	7.9	0.1	
	2ND DUI	251	99.6	84.5	8.4	80.5	0.0	96.8	2.8	64.5		
	3RD DUI	67	97.0	55.2	1.5	34.3	0.0	67.2	19.4	59.7		
	4TH+ DUI	10	80.0	90.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	10.0	80.0	10.0	15.8	
TOTAL	1292	99.4	24.8	61.8	18.1	0.0	89.4	8.0	15.8			

TABLE B4: 1996 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK	
				%		%	%	%	%	%		
MARIPOSA	SUP MARIPOSA	1ST DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	3	100.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	
	MARIPOSA	1ST DUI	32	100.0	96.9	90.6	0.0	0.0	68.8	0.0	0.0	
		2ND DUI	13	100.0	100.0	0.0	69.2	0.0	69.2	7.7	0.0	
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	
	USMG YOSEMITE	4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	48	100.0	97.9	60.4	20.8	0.0	66.7	2.1	0.0	
		1ST DUI	25	100.0	36.0	24.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	
		2ND DUI	11	100.0	54.5	0.0	9.1	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	
MENDOCINO	SUP UKIAH	3RD DUI	3	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	41	92.7	46.3	14.6	2.4	0.0	4.9	0.0	0.0	
	SUP UKIAH	1ST DUI	10	70.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	
		2ND DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	
		3RD DUI	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	
	JUV UKIAH	4TH+ DUI	12	41.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	
		TOTAL	29	48.3	79.3	0.0	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	17.2	0.0
		1ST DUI	13	53.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	76.9	0.0
		TOTAL	13	53.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	76.9	0.0
MARIPOSA	WILLITS	1ST DUI	84	97.6	78.6	84.5	3.6	0.0	25.0	3.6	0.0	
		2ND DUI	24	95.8	95.8	8.3	70.8	0.0	70.8	4.2	0.0	
		3RD DUI	7	100.0	100.0	14.3	57.1	0.0	28.6	42.9	0.0	
	UKIAH	TOTAL	115	97.4	83.5	64.3	20.9	0.0	34.8	6.1	0.0	
		1ST DUI	308	97.1	83.1	78.2	2.3	0.0	21.1	5.2	0.0	
		2ND DUI	126	90.5	96.8	8.7	69.8	0.0	59.5	12.7	0.0	
		3RD DUI	41	95.1	100.0	0.0	58.5	0.0	12.2	68.3	2.4	
	BOONVILLE	4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	480	95.2	88.3	52.5	25.6	0.0	30.2	13.5	0.2	
		1ST DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
MARIPOSA	PT. ARENA	2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	9	100.0	100.0	0.0	77.8	0.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	
	LEGGETT	1ST DUI	4	100.0	100.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	6	100.0	100.0	50.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	16.7	0.0	
		1ST DUI	8	100.0	100.0	87.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	COVELO	3RD DUI	3	100.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	33.3	33.3	66.7	33.3	
		TOTAL	11	100.0	90.9	63.6	18.2	9.1	0.0	18.2	9.1	
		1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
MARIPOSA	FORT BRAGG	3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	100.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	
		1ST DUI	84	98.8	94.0	90.5	3.6	0.0	7.1	14.3	0.0	
	FORT BRAGG	2ND DUI	26	96.2	100.0	7.7	88.5	0.0	76.9	3.8	0.0	
		3RD DUI	9	77.8	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	22.2	44.4	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	121	95.9	95.9	64.5	26.4	0.0	23.1	14.9	0.0		

TABLE B4: 1996 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG		SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM		LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION		IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%		%	%		%	%	%	%	%	
MERCED	SUP MERCED	1ST DUI	4	75.0		100.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0		0.0
		2ND DUI	2	50.0		50.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0
		3RD DUI	4	25.0		100.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0		0.0
		4TH+ DUI	18	55.6		100.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.6		0.0
		TOTAL	28	53.6		96.4	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.7		0.0
	JUV MERCED	1ST DUI	8	37.5		0.0	37.5		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0
		2ND DUI	4	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	12	25.0		0.0	25.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0
	MERCED	1ST DUI	567	99.8		94.9	71.8		6.2	0.0	0.0	7.2	1.1		0.0
		2ND DUI	204	99.5		99.5	10.8		76.5	0.0	0.0	66.2	3.4		0.5
		3RD DUI	66	100.0		98.5	9.1		45.5	0.0	0.0	30.3	27.3		0.0
MODOC	LOS BANOS	4TH+ DUI	8	87.5		87.5	12.5		50.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	845	99.6		96.2	51.6		26.6	0.0	0.0	23.4	3.7		0.1
		1ST DUI	173	99.4		95.4	87.9		2.9	0.0	0.0	4.6	1.2		0.0
		2ND DUI	64	98.4		96.9	6.3		73.4	0.0	0.0	65.6	0.0		0.0
		3RD DUI	19	100.0		100.0	10.5		31.6	0.0	0.0	26.3	10.5		0.0
	JUV MODOC	4TH+ DUI	2	100.0		100.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	258	99.2		96.1	61.2		22.5	0.0	0.0	21.3	1.6		0.0
		1ST DUI	2	100.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0		0.0
		TOTAL	2	100.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0		0.0
		1ST DUI	30	93.3		80.0	83.3		3.3	0.0	0.0	20.0	3.3		0.0
MONO	ALTURAS	2ND DUI	12	58.3		91.7	0.0		58.3	0.0	0.0	41.7	16.7		0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0		100.0	0.0		100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0		0.0
		TOTAL	44	84.1		84.1	56.8		22.7	0.0	0.0	25.0	11.4		0.0
	SUP MONO	1ST DUI	1	100.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0		0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0
	BRIDGEPORT	1ST DUI	26	96.2		50.0	46.2		3.8	0.0	0.0	11.5	0.0		0.0
		2ND DUI	8	100.0		100.0	12.5		62.5	0.0	0.0	62.5	12.5		25.0
		TOTAL	34	97.1		61.8	38.2		17.6	0.0	0.0	23.5	2.9		5.9
	MAMMOTH LAKES	1ST DUI	68	98.5		51.5	94.1		1.5	0.0	0.0	13.2	0.0		0.0
		2ND DUI	25	100.0		76.0	16.0		76.0	0.0	0.0	88.0	0.0		36.0
		3RD DUI	8	87.5		62.5	0.0		37.5	0.0	0.0	62.5	0.0		50.0
MONTEREY	SUP MONTEREY	TOTAL	101	98.0		58.4	67.3		22.8	0.0	0.0	35.6	0.0		12.9
		1ST DUI	28	85.7		92.9	17.9		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	32.1		0.0
		2ND DUI	9	100.0		88.9	0.0		11.1	0.0	0.0	11.1	55.6		0.0
		3RD DUI	10	80.0		100.0	0.0		10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0		0.0
		4TH+ DUI	45	73.3		97.8	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3		0.0
	JUV SALINAS	TOTAL	92	80.4		95.7	5.4		2.2	0.0	0.0	1.1	37.0		0.0
		1ST DUI	25	100.0		4.0	4.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	4.0		0.0
		TOTAL	25	100.0		4.0	4.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	4.0		0.0
	MARINA MUNI	1ST DUI	535	100.0		99.3	80.9		0.9	0.0	0.0	4.1	13.5		2.2
		2ND DUI	183	100.0		82.5	8.2		47.0	0.0	0.0	62.8	24.6		33.9
		3RD DUI	41	100.0		85.4	0.0		41.5	0.0	0.0	48.8	41.5		29.3
MONTEREY		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0		100.0	33.3		33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	762	100.0		94.5	58.9		14.3	0.0	0.0	20.7	17.6		11.3

TABLE B4: 1996 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SR 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
MONTEREY (cont.)	SALINAS	1ST DUI	890	99.7	98.3	75.2	0.7	0.0	2.5	22.5	1.1
		2ND DUI	319	99.7	72.4	7.5	30.4	0.0	50.2	30.7	20.1
		3RD DUI	103	100.0	61.2	2.9	8.7	0.0	45.6	38.8	18.4
		4TH+ DUI	9	100.0	88.9	0.0	11.1	0.0	22.2	66.7	11.1
		TOTAL	1321	99.7	89.1	52.7	8.6	0.0	17.5	26.0	7.1
NAPA	KING CITY	1ST DUI	355	100.0	99.4	86.8	0.3	0.0	1.4	17.5	1.1
		2ND DUI	120	98.3	95.8	4.2	19.2	0.0	19.2	73.3	24.2
		3RD DUI	45	100.0	95.6	2.2	4.4	0.0	6.7	88.9	15.6
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	522	99.6	98.3	60.2	5.0	0.0	5.9	36.8	7.7
NEVADA	NAPA	1ST DUI	617	98.5	95.8	92.4	1.3	0.0	2.9	0.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	218	96.3	99.5	8.3	81.2	0.0	81.7	0.0	1.8
		3RD DUI	78	97.4	94.9	0.0	55.1	0.0	56.4	1.3	1.3
		4TH+ DUI	17	52.9	100.0	0.0	29.4	0.0	29.4	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	930	97.1	96.7	63.2	25.1	0.0	26.3	0.3	0.5
ORANGE	NEVADA CITY	1ST DUI	220	99.5	94.5	90.0	1.4	0.0	16.4	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	98	100.0	98.0	4.1	66.3	0.0	69.4	0.0	5.1
		3RD DUI	19	89.5	94.7	5.3	52.6	0.0	26.3	0.0	10.5
		4TH+ DUI	8	50.0	87.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	12.5
		TOTAL	345	98.0	95.4	58.8	22.6	0.0	31.9	0.0	2.3
	TRUCKEE	1ST DUI	137	99.3	97.1	90.5	5.8	0.0	27.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	33	100.0	100.0	12.1	81.8	0.0	100.0	0.0	39.4
		3RD DUI	10	100.0	100.0	0.0	90.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	30.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
		TOTAL	182	99.5	97.3	70.3	25.3	0.0	43.4	0.0	9.3
	SUP SANTA ANA	1ST DUI	81	71.6	88.9	3.7	0.0	0.0	7.4	7.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	32	81.3	87.5	0.0	12.5	0.0	21.9	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	17	47.1	94.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	86	36.0	95.3	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	4.7	0.0
		TOTAL	216	56.9	91.7	1.4	2.3	0.0	6.0	4.6	0.0
	JUV ORANGE	1ST DUI	42	100.0	31.0	47.6	0.0	0.0	14.3	69.0	0.0
		TOTAL	42	100.0	31.0	47.6	0.0	0.0	14.3	69.0	0.0
	FULLERTON	1ST DUI	2669	98.4	29.8	89.4	4.3	0.0	80.4	5.2	0.3
		2ND DUI	775	97.8	88.6	7.0	81.0	0.3	80.5	2.8	44.4
		3RD DUI	144	91.7	91.7	2.1	64.6	0.0	38.2	2.8	34.0
		4TH+ DUI	13	92.3	92.3	0.0	61.5	0.0	46.2	15.4	23.1
		TOTAL	3601	98.0	45.2	67.9	23.5	0.1	78.6	4.6	11.2
	WESTMINSTER	1ST DUI	2127	99.9	11.7	96.3	1.1	0.0	96.6	0.7	0.2
		2ND DUI	616	100.0	88.1	9.9	85.2	0.8	95.3	0.2	26.9
		3RD DUI	115	97.4	90.4	2.6	88.7	0.9	38.3	0.9	21.7
		4TH+ DUI	16	93.8	100.0	0.0	68.8	0.0	31.3	0.0	31.3
		TOTAL	2874	99.8	31.7	73.5	23.0	0.2	93.6	0.6	7.0
	LAGUNA HILLS	1ST DUI	1274	99.9	10.6	84.1	1.3	0.0	92.9	4.2	0.0
		2ND DUI	371	100.0	94.9	7.3	86.3	0.0	92.2	3.5	0.0
		3RD DUI	68	98.5	97.1	0.0	41.2	0.0	10.3	1.5	2.9
		4TH+ DUI	8	87.5	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1721	99.8	32.6	63.8	21.4	0.0	89.0	3.9	0.1



TABLE B4: 1996 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SR 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
ORANGE (cont.)	NEWPORT BCH	1ST DUI	1437	99.7	17.1	93.8	1.1	0.0	90.0	8.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	348	100.0	95.4	4.0	90.5	0.0	48.6	49.4	15.5
		3RD DUI	84	96.4	98.8	0.0	86.9	0.0	2.4	17.9	1.2
		4TH+ DUI	9	100.0	100.0	0.0	44.4	0.0	11.1	11.1	0.0
		TOTAL	1878	99.6	35.7	72.5	21.7	0.0	78.0	16.6	2.9
	SANTA ANA	1ST DUI	1891	99.8	34.4	95.9	1.9	0.0	81.9	3.7	1.4
		2ND DUI	547	99.6	94.3	7.5	87.9	0.0	96.0	0.7	82.1
		3RD DUI	114	98.2	99.1	3.5	91.2	0.0	88.6	0.9	83.3
		4TH+ DUI	17	88.2	100.0	5.9	82.4	0.0	82.4	0.0	70.6
		TOTAL	2569	99.6	50.4	72.4	24.7	0.0	85.2	2.9	22.7
PLACER	SUP AUBURN	1ST DUI	16	93.8	62.5	37.5	6.3	0.0	18.8	37.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3
		4TH+ DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	25	84.0	76.0	24.0	16.0	0.0	20.0	24.0	4.0
	JUV AUBURN	1ST DUI	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
	AUBURN MUNI	1ST DUI	329	99.1	94.8	86.3	4.9	0.3	13.1	2.7	3.3
		2ND DUI	128	99.2	95.3	8.6	76.6	0.8	85.9	2.3	43.8
		3RD DUI	47	100.0	76.6	0.0	51.1	0.0	66.0	17.0	38.3
		4TH+ DUI	13	92.3	100.0	0.0	61.5	0.0	38.5	15.4	30.8
		TOTAL	517	99.0	93.4	57.1	28.2	0.4	36.6	4.3	17.2
PLUMAS	COLFAX	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	ROSEVILLE	1ST DUI	412	99.5	97.1	92.5	5.6	0.2	15.0	1.7	2.4
		2ND DUI	110	99.1	95.5	5.5	87.3	0.0	88.2	0.9	69.1
		3RD DUI	26	96.2	92.3	0.0	84.6	0.0	53.8	0.0	30.8
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	549	99.3	96.5	70.5	25.7	0.2	31.5	1.5	17.1
	TAHOE CITY	1ST DUI	186	100.0	98.9	89.8	5.9	0.0	16.1	5.9	0.0
		2ND DUI	35	94.3	94.3	11.4	65.7	0.0	68.6	14.3	8.6
		3RD DUI	10	100.0	90.0	10.0	60.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	30.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	235	99.1	97.4	73.2	18.3	0.0	25.1	10.6	2.6
PLUMAS	SUP PLUMAS	1ST DUI	5	100.0	80.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	100.0	80.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
	PORTOLA	3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	QUINCY	1ST DUI	118	98.3	92.4	83.9	4.2	0.8	88.1	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	43	100.0	95.3	23.3	65.1	0.0	83.7	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	9	100.0	100.0	11.1	77.8	0.0	77.8	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	171	98.8	93.6	64.3	24.0	0.6	86.5	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1996 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL		1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG		SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG		30-MONTH PROGRAM		LICENSE RESTRICTION		COURT SUSPENSION		IGNITION INTERLOCK	
				%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
RIVERSIDE	SUP RIVERSIDE	1ST DUI	2300	97.7	83.7	89.7	2.0	0.0	10.3	6.9	0.1								
		2ND DUI	555	93.7	95.0	12.6	69.0	0.5	40.7	10.1	7.2								
		3RD DUI	128	93.8	97.7	7.8	37.5	0.8	13.3	42.2	1.6								
		4TH+ DUI	46	80.4	93.5	8.7	34.8	0.0	4.3	28.3	0.0								
		TOTAL	3029	96.5	86.5	70.8	16.3	0.1	15.9	9.3	1.5								
		1ST DUI	1583	98.5	12.2	88.7	1.4	0.0	94.3	0.1	0.0								
		2ND DUI	454	97.1	88.3	8.6	67.4	0.0	94.1	0.9	0.2								
		3RD DUI	130	92.3	96.9	1.5	22.3	0.0	76.2	5.4	1.5								
		4TH+ DUI	66	60.6	90.9	12.1	6.1	0.0	39.4	3.0	0.0								
		TOTAL	2233	96.8	34.9	65.1	16.2	0.0	91.6	0.6	0.1								
	JUV RIVERSIDE	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
	JUV INDIO	1ST DUI	8	100.0	0.0	37.5	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	0.0								
		TOTAL	8	100.0	0.0	37.5	0.0	0.0	12.5	87.5	0.0								
	CORONA	1ST DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0								
	HEMET	TOTAL	4	100.0	75.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0								
		1ST DUI	22	100.0	63.6	68.2	13.6	0.0	77.3	0.0	0.0								
	3RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	87.5	3.1	90.6	0.0	87.5	0.0	0.0								
		TOTAL	58	100.0	79.3	27.6	62.1	0.0	82.8	0.0	0.0								
	BANNING	1ST DUI	7	100.0	85.7	71.4	14.3	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0								
		2ND DUI	20	100.0	95.0	0.0	95.0	0.0	90.0	0.0	0.0								
	3RD DUI	3	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0								
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
	TOTAL	31	100.0	93.5	16.1	77.4	77.4	0.0	71.0	0.0	0.0								
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
	TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
		1ST DUI	4	100.0	50.0	25.0	25.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0								
	2ND DUI	14	100.0	92.9	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0								
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	75.0	0.0	75.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0								
	TOTAL	22	100.0	81.8	4.5	61.5	81.8	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0								
		1ST DUI	26	96.2	96.2	61.5	30.8	0.0	30.8	0.0	15.4								
	2ND DUI	81	98.8	97.5	2.5	90.1	90.1	0.0	86.4	1.2	34.6								
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0								
	4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0								
		TOTAL	113	98.2	97.3	15.9	76.1	0.0	72.6	0.9	28.3								
SACRAMENTO	SUP SACTO	1ST DUI	52	51.9	98.1	13.5	7.7	0.0	5.8	13.5	0.0								
		2ND DUI	42	81.0	92.9	2.4	54.8	0.0	54.8	2.4	2.4								
		3RD DUI	34	70.6	100.0	2.9	23.5	0.0	2.9	5.9	0.0								
		4TH+ DUI	121	62.8	96.7	1.7	14.9	0.0	0.8	6.6	0.8								
		TOTAL	249	64.7	96.8	4.4	21.3	0.0	11.2	7.2	0.8								
	JUV SACTO	1ST DUI	23	73.9	60.9	26.1	0.0	0.0	13.0	4.3	0.0								
		TOTAL	23	73.9	60.9	26.1	0.0	0.0	13.0	4.3	0.0								
	TOTAL	113	64.7	96.8	4.4	21.3	0.0	0.0	11.2	7.2	0.8								
		1ST DUI	52	51.9	98.1	13.5	7.7	0.0	5.8	13.5	0.0								
		2ND DUI	42	81.0	92.9	2.4	54.8	0.0	54.8	2.4	2.4								

TABLE B4: 1996 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK	
				%	%	%	%	%	%	%		
SACRAMENTO (cont.)	SACRAMENTO	1ST DUI	3293	99.5	96.2	91.6	1.1	0.0	2.8	3.2	0.0	
		2ND DUI	1088	99.4	97.9	6.9	67.7	0.0	62.1	7.8	2.0	
		3RD DUI	341	99.1	94.7	1.2	27.3	0.0	5.0	4.4	0.6	
		4TH+DUI	46	89.1	100.0	0.0	8.7	0.0	2.2	6.5	0.0	
		TOTAL	4768	99.3	96.5	65.0	18.3	0.0	16.5	4.4	0.5	
	ELK GROVE	1ST DUI	72	98.6	98.6	86.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	20	100.0	100.0	10.0	55.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	15	100.0	100.0	6.7	13.3	0.0	13.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	108	99.1	99.1	60.2	12.0	0.0	15.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
SAN BENITO	GALT	1ST DUI	54	100.0	96.3	79.6	5.6	0.0	3.7	1.9	0.0	
		2ND DUI	15	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	9	100.0	100.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	79	100.0	97.5	54.4	20.3	0.0	16.5	1.3	0.0	0.0
	WALNUT GROVE	1ST DUI	15	100.0	100.0	6.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	87.5	0.0	62.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+DUI	1	100.0	100.0	4.2	70.8	0.0	20.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	24	100.0	100.0	18.2	9.1	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
SAN BERNARDINO	SACTO US MAG	1ST DUI	11	100.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2ND DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	18	94.4	33.3	5.6	0.0	0.0	5.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SUP SAN BENITO	1ST DUI	3	66.7	100.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	40.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		4TH+DUI	9	44.4	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	17	47.1	100.0	5.9	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.9	0.0
		1ST DUI	213	96.2	94.8	66.2	1.4	0.0	4.7	5.6	1.9	60.7
SAN BERNARDINO	HOLLISTER	2ND DUI	89	97.8	79.8	11.2	49.4	0.0	69.7	2.2	88.9	
		3RD DUI	18	100.0	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	88.9	0.0	50.0	23.3
		4TH+DUI	2	100.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	322	96.9	85.7	47.2	14.6	0.0	27.6	4.3	9.8	2.3
		1ST DUI	246	95.5	61.4	45.5	39.8	0.0	35.4	11.4	0.0	0.0
	SUP/MUN SAN BERNARDINO	2ND DUI	44	93.2	93.2	15.9	59.1	2.3	56.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	14	92.9	92.9	14.3	28.6	0.0	35.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+DUI	15	33.3	80.0	0.0	13.3	0.0	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	319	92.2	68.0	37.9	40.8	0.3	37.0	9.1	0.3	0.0
		1ST DUI	77	87.0	66.2	70.1	1.3	0.0	42.9	1.3	0.0	0.0
SAN BERNARDINO	SUP RANCHO CUCAMONGA	2ND DUI	11	100.0	90.9	27.3	36.4	0.0	27.3	18.2	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	8	62.5	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+DUI	19	31.6	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.3
		TOTAL	115	77.4	74.8	49.6	6.1	0.0	33.0	2.6	0.9	0.0
		1ST DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SUP VICTORVILLE	2ND DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+DUI	14	35.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	20	35.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1996 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL		PROBATION		JAIL		1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG		SR 38 ALCOHOL PROG		30-MONTH PROGRAM		LICENSE RESTRICTION		COURT SUSPENSION		IGNITION INTERLOCK	
			N	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN BERNARDINO (cont.)	SUP MUN BARSTOW	1ST DUI	9	77.8	88.9	22.2	11.1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	16.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	17	58.8	88.2	17.6	11.8	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	29.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SUP MUN JOSHUA TREE	1ST DUI	4	50.0	100.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	16.7	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	11	27.3	90.9	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	33	19.4	0.0	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV SN BERNDO	1ST DUI	33	19.4	0.0	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	33	19.4	0.0	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	15	53.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	15	53.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	133	98.5	25.6	7.5	16.5	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	63.9	6.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SUP MUN RANC CUCAMONGA	1ST DUI	67	100.0	85.1	7.5	77.6	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	85.1	7.5	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.5
		2ND DUI	17	88.2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	41.2	11.8	0.0	0.0	5.9	5.9
		3RD DUI	3	33.3	66.7	0.0	38.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	220	97.3	50.0	6.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	67.7	7.3	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.9
		TOTAL	220	97.3	50.0	6.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	67.7	7.3	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.9
	ONTARIO MUNI	3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	110	90.9	75.5	2.7	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.7	0.0	10.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	36	88.9	94.4	0.0	72.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	12	91.7	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	REDLND SUP MUN	4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	160	90.6	80.6	1.9	21.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.4	13.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	135	93.3	37.0	1.5	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	52.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	39	100.0	64.1	0.0	46.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	61.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	11	100.0	45.5	0.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	45.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	S BERNARDINO SUP MUN	4TH+ DUI	3	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	188	94.7	43.6	1.1	11.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	53.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	591	96.3	39.6	0.8	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.8	19.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	226	98.7	88.1	0.0	78.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.7	4.4	0.0	0.0	15.5	15.5
		3RD DUI	52	98.1	92.3	3.8	42.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.2	5.8	0.0	0.0	5.8	5.8
	FONTANA SUP MUN	4TH+ DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	874	97.0	55.6	0.8	24.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	49.9	14.5	0.0	0.0	4.3	4.3
		1ST DUI	253	99.2	17.8	2.4	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	75.9	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	158	99.4	88.6	1.3	79.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.3	0.6	0.0	0.0	3.2	3.2
		3RD DUI	33	97.0	84.8	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.3	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	VICTORVILLE	4TH+ DUI	9	66.7	88.9	11.1	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	453	98.5	48.8	2.0	34.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.7	0.9	0.0	0.0	1.1	1.1
		1ST DUI	259	96.1	33.2	0.8	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	64.9	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	109	99.1	91.7	0.0	85.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	85.3	1.8	0.0	0.0	2.8	2.8
		3RD DUI	30	100.0	96.7	0.0	53.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	43.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	4TH+ DUI	6	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	404	96.8	54.7	0.5	30.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	68.3	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7

TABLE B4: 1996 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION	JAIL	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM	LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION	IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%	%	%	%	%	%	
SAN BERNARDINO (cont.)	RANCHO CUCAMONGA	1ST DUI	698	97.7	30.8	1.0	2.4	0.0	62.3	1.7	0.0
		2ND DUI	326	97.5	92.6	0.0	88.3	0.0	87.1	7.1	0.3
		3RD DUI	95	90.5	90.5	0.0	35.8	0.0	34.7	8.4	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	66.7	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	1125	96.9	54.0	0.6	30.1	0.0	66.8	3.8	0.1	
	BIG BEAR LAKE	1ST DUI	39	97.4	10.3	5.1	2.6	0.0	84.6	2.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	30	90.0	80.0	0.0	80.0	0.0	90.0	3.3	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	85.7	100.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	14.3	28.6	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
	TOTAL	77	93.5	46.8	2.6	36.4	0.0	80.5	5.2	0.0	
TWINPEAKS	1ST DUI	55	92.7	32.7	5.5	5.5	0.0	34.5	5.5	0.0	
	2ND DUI	25	96.0	96.0	0.0	64.0	4.0	56.0	20.0	0.0	
	3RD DUI	9	100.0	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0	
	4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
SAN DIEGO	NEEDLE SUP MUN	TOTAL	90	93.3	57.8	3.3	24.4	1.1	38.9	8.9	0.0
		1ST DUI	78	89.6	29.9	7.8	3.9	0.0	44.2	10.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	18	88.9	94.4	0.0	72.2	0.0	61.1	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0
	JOSHUA TREE	TOTAL	101	90.0	45.0	6.0	18.0	0.0	48.0	8.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	143	88.8	12.6	11.2	3.5	0.0	69.9	8.4	0.0
		2ND DUI	45	95.6	40.0	0.0	73.3	0.0	91.1	2.2	0.0
		3RD DUI	13	100.0	84.6	0.0	38.5	0.0	23.1	0.0	0.0
	SUP SAN DIEGO	4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	203	91.1	23.2	7.9	21.7	0.0	71.4	6.9	0.0
1ST DUI		33	60.6	97.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
2ND DUI		11	72.7	100.0	0.0	18.2	0.0	18.2	0.0	9.1	
SAN DIEGO	SUP VISTA	3RD DUI	6	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	21	52.4	95.2	0.0	4.8	0.0	4.8	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	71	59.2	97.2	0.0	4.2	0.0	4.2	0.0	1.4
		1ST DUI	5	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0
	SUP VISTA	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	12.5	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
	SUP CHULA VISTA	1ST DUI	21	81.0	100.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
3RD DUI		3	66.7	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
4TH+ DUI		8	62.5	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
SAN DIEGO	SUP EL CAJON	TOTAL	37	73.0	100.0	8.1	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	11	72.7	100.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SUP EL CAJON	4TH+ DUI	18	61.1	94.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	37	67.6	97.3	2.7	2.7	0.0	2.7	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	11	72.7	100.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
	SUP EL CAJON	3RD DUI	5	80.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	18	61.1	94.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL		37	67.6	97.3	2.7	2.7	0.0	2.7	0.0	0.0	
1ST DUI		11	72.7	100.0	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	

TABLE B4: 1996 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SR 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SAN DIEGO (cont.)	JUV SAN DIEGO	1ST DUI	61	4.9	14.8	4.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	63	6.3	15.9	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.1	0.0
	EL CAJON	1ST DUI	1495	97.1	96.6	84.3	1.5	0.0	6.6	1.5	0.1
		2ND DUI	424	95.8	96.7	6.8	67.7	0.0	69.8	3.1	9.4
		3RD DUI	94	86.2	97.9	0.0	35.1	0.0	26.6	28.7	8.5
	VISTA	4TH+ DUI	10	90.0	100.0	10.0	20.0	0.0	20.0	0.0	10.0
		TOTAL	2023	96.3	96.7	63.8	17.1	0.0	20.9	3.1	2.5
		1ST DUI	2378	97.4	99.3	83.7	2.5	0.0	2.4	4.7	0.2
	SAN MARCOS	2ND DUI	776	96.1	99.1	8.5	72.9	0.0	32.9	5.3	9.9
		3RD DUI	182	87.9	98.9	4.4	38.5	0.0	8.2	20.3	4.4
		4TH+ DUI	42	81.0	100.0	0.0	23.8	0.0	4.8	4.8	0.0
	SAN DIEGO	TOTAL	3378	96.4	99.2	61.1	20.9	0.0	9.7	5.7	2.7
		1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
SAN FRANCISCO	SAN DIEGO	TOTAL	3	100.0	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3	33.3	33.3
		1ST DUI	3516	99.3	97.3	92.1	4.0	0.0	85.4	0.9	2.8
		2ND DUI	939	98.8	95.8	9.4	82.2	0.0	93.9	0.3	68.5
	CHULA VISTA	3RD DUI	161	95.7	90.7	5.0	67.1	0.0	78.9	2.5	65.2
		4TH+ DUI	23	100.0	100.0	0.0	73.9	0.0	56.5	0.0	69.6
		TOTAL	4639	99.1	96.8	71.8	22.3	0.0	86.8	0.9	18.6
	NAT CITY(76)	1ST DUI	1172	98.3	92.5	89.7	3.8	0.1	12.3	4.4	0.3
		2ND DUI	300	97.7	95.3	16.3	72.3	0.0	69.7	4.7	13.0
		3RD DUI	69	92.8	95.7	8.7	56.5	0.0	40.6	26.1	5.8
	US CT SN DIEGO	4TH+ DUI	6	66.7	100.0	16.7	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	16.7
		TOTAL	1547	97.8	93.2	71.6	19.6	0.1	24.8	5.4	3.0
		1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
	SUP SAN FRAN	TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV SAN FRAN	1ST DUI	2	50.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0
	SAN FRANCISCO	TOTAL	8	37.5	100.0	12.5	12.5	0.0	12.5	25.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
	US DIST CT SF	1ST DUI	741	98.5	96.1	95.4	1.6	0.0	8.8	0.0	0.3
		2ND DUI	177	98.3	97.2	20.3	74.0	0.0	64.4	1.1	28.2
		3RD DUI	26	100.0	88.5	7.7	65.4	0.0	53.8	0.0	34.6
	US DIST CT SF	4TH+ DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	948	98.4	96.1	78.6	16.9	0.0	20.4	0.2	6.4
		1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1996 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL		1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG		SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG		30-MONTH PROGRAM		LICENSE RESTRICTION		COURT SUSPENSION		IGNITION INTERLOCK	
				%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SAN JOAQUIN	STOCKTON CTS	1ST DUI	39	25.6		35.9		5.1		0.0		0.0		0.0		51.3		0.0	
		2ND DUI	8	50.0		100.0		12.5		0.0		0.0		0.0		37.5		0.0	
		3RD DUI	2	100.0		50.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		50.0		0.0		0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	17	52.9		94.1		0.0		11.8		0.0		0.0		11.8		0.0	
	FRENCH CAMP	TOTAL	66	37.9		59.1		4.5		3.0		0.0		1.5		37.9		0.0	
		1ST DUI	1	0.0		100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		2ND DUI	1	100.0		100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		TOTAL	2	50.0		100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
	LODI	1ST DUI	354	99.7		98.9		84.7		0.6		0.0		1.4		13.8		0.8	
		2ND DUI	150	100.0		96.7		7.3		74.7		0.0		81.3		9.3		51.3	
		3RD DUI	40	100.0		37.5		0.0		7.5		0.0		70.0		22.5		75.0	
		4TH+ DUI	14	100.0		64.3		0.0		0.0		0.0		35.7		64.3		28.6	
	MANTECA	TOTAL	558	99.8		93.0		55.7		21.0		0.0		28.7		14.5		20.4	
		1ST DUI	317	99.4		98.4		94.0		0.0		0.0		2.5		4.7		0.6	
		2ND DUI	117	98.3		81.2		0.0		62.4		0.0		78.6		14.5		62.4	
		3RD DUI	36	100.0		91.7		5.6		30.6		0.0		30.6		61.1		25.0	
SAN LUIS OBISPO	TRACY	4TH+ DUI	18	77.8		83.3		0.0		5.6		0.0		11.1		33.3		11.1	
		TOTAL	488	98.4		93.2		61.5		17.4		0.0		23.2		12.3		17.6	
		1ST DUI	120	100.0		100.0		85.8		2.5		0.0		2.5		5.8		0.8	
		2ND DUI	43	100.0		100.0		4.7		93.0		0.0		86.0		0.0		62.8	
	STOCKTON	3RD DUI	7	100.0		100.0		0.0		85.7		0.0		57.1		42.9		42.9	
		4TH+ DUI	8	75.0		100.0		0.0		62.5		0.0		50.0		25.0		50.0	
		TOTAL	178	98.9		100.0		59.0		30.3		0.0		27.0		6.7		19.7	
		1ST DUI	1109	99.1		99.8		88.6		2.0		0.0		2.3		4.4		0.5	
	SUP MUN SAN LUIS OBISPO	2ND DUI	414	99.5		98.8		10.4		79.5		0.0		75.4		3.4		41.3	
		3RD DUI	134	95.5		89.6		11.2		24.6		0.0		32.1		9.7		20.9	
		4TH+ DUI	41	80.5		90.2		19.5		19.5		0.0		17.1		19.5		14.6	
		TOTAL	1698	98.5		98.5		61.8		23.1		0.0		22.8		4.9		12.4	
	JUV SAN LUIS OBISPO	1ST DUI	11	100.0		90.9		27.3		0.0		0.0		9.1		9.1		0.0	
		2ND DUI	3	33.3		100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		3RD DUI	5	100.0		100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	34	67.6		100.0		11.8		2.9		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
	S LUIS OBISPO	TOTAL	53	75.5		98.1		13.2		1.9		0.0		1.9		1.9		0.0	
		1ST DUI	15	93.3		0.0		66.7		0.0		0.0		33.3		66.7		0.0	
		2ND DUI	1	100.0		0.0		100.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		100.0		0.0	
		TOTAL	16	93.8		0.0		68.8		0.0		0.0		31.3		68.8		0.0	
	GROVER BEACH	1ST DUI	998	99.1		99.1		92.9		0.6		0.0		1.4		0.3		0.0	
		2ND DUI	357	99.2		98.9		6.4		83.2		0.0		83.5		0.0		4.5	
		3RD DUI	83	95.2		96.4		0.0		72.3		0.0		14.5		2.4		2.4	
		4TH+ DUI	15	100.0		86.7		0.0		40.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
US MAG SAN LUIS OBISPO	US MAG SAN LUIS OBISPO	TOTAL	1453	98.9		98.8		65.4		25.4		0.0		22.3		0.3		1.2	
		1ST DUI	1	100.0		100.0		0.0		100.0		0.0		100.0		0.0		0.0	
		2ND DUI	1	100.0		100.0		0.0		100.0		0.0		100.0		0.0		0.0	
		TOTAL	2	100.0		100.0		0.0		100.0		0.0		100.0		0.0		0.0	

TABLE B4: 1996 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SR 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SAN MATEO	SUP REDWOOD CITY	1ST DUI	16	75.0	100.0	37.5	12.5	0.0	12.5	0.0	6.3
		2ND DUI	7	85.7	100.0	14.3	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	10	30.0	100.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	45	60.0	100.0	0.0	28.9	0.0	2.2	0.0	0.0
	SUP SAN MATEO	TOTAL	78	61.5	100.0	9.0	24.4	0.0	3.8	0.0	1.3
		1ST DUI	35	54.3	2.9	8.6	0.0	0.0	5.7	8.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	3	100.0	33.3	0.0	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	40	60.0	10.0	7.5	2.5	0.0	5.0	7.5	0.0
	SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO	1ST DUI	1156	99.0	97.9	93.5	1.5	0.0	0.9	0.2	0.6
		2ND DUI	288	99.0	98.3	3.5	89.2	0.0	77.8	2.8	67.0
		3RD DUI	68	95.6	97.1	0.0	86.8	0.0	70.6	1.5	60.3
		4TH+ DUI	4	75.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0
SANTA BARBARA	REDWOOD CITY	TOTAL	1516	98.8	97.9	72.0	22.0	0.0	18.7	0.7	16.0
		1ST DUI	921	98.2	98.6	92.9	2.4	0.1	1.5	0.2	0.9
		2ND DUI	325	98.8	98.2	7.4	88.9	0.0	68.9	0.9	54.2
		3RD DUI	68	89.7	98.5	1.5	76.5	0.0	36.8	1.5	29.4
	SUP SANTA BARBARA	4TH+ DUI	8	50.0	100.0	0.0	37.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1322	97.6	98.5	66.6	27.7	0.1	19.9	0.5	15.4
		1ST DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.4	0.0	44.4
		2ND DUI	9	100.0	55.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
	SUP SANTA MARIA	3RD DUI	2	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	40.0
		4TH+ DUI	10	80.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	27	92.6	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	4	75.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
JUV SANTA BARBARA	JUV LOMPOC	2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	12	41.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	41.7
		TOTAL	18	55.6	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0	27.8
	SANTA BARBARA	1ST DUI	8	62.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	62.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	8	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	8	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	SANTA BARBARA	1ST DUI	887	98.4	72.0	92.8	0.1	0.0	85.1	13.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	300	96.0	94.7	5.7	26.7	0.0	34.7	62.3	12.0
		3RD DUI	75	90.7	97.3	6.7	64.0	0.0	16.0	73.3	8.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
SANTA MARIA	SANTA MARIA	TOTAL	1266	97.4	79.0	66.7	10.3	0.0	68.8	29.0	3.3
		1ST DUI	537	99.4	94.0	71.5	2.0	0.0	33.1	18.4	0.2
		2ND DUI	169	98.2	99.4	6.5	72.8	0.0	30.2	61.5	10.1
		3RD DUI	51	94.1	98.0	2.0	51.0	0.0	9.8	84.3	7.8
	SANTA MARIA	4TH+ DUI	12	58.3	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		TOTAL	769	98.2	95.6	51.5	21.1	0.0	30.4	32.5	2.9



TABLE B4: 1996 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL		1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG		SR 38 ALCOHOL PROG		30-MONTH PROGRAM		LICENSE RESTRICTION		COURT SUSPENSION		IGNITION INTERLOCK	
				%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
SANTA BARBARA (cont.)	LOMPOC	1ST DUI	132	100.0	100.0	12.1	91.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	3.0	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	50	100.0	100.0	94.0	4.0	84.0	0.0	84.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	82.0	82.0	2.0	14.0	14.0	14.0
		3RD DUI	10	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	90.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	2	50.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	194	99.5	38.7	63.4	63.4	23.7	0.0	23.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.2	24.2	7.7	3.6	3.6	3.6
	SOLVANG	1ST DUI	84	100.0	10.7	86.9	0.0	2.4	0.0	84.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	85.7	85.7	10.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	26	96.2	100.0	100.0	0.0	42.9	0.0	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	80.8	80.8	15.4	11.5	11.5	11.5
		3RD DUI	7	85.7	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.3	14.3	71.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	118	97.5	36.4	61.9	61.9	22.9	0.0	22.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	79.7	79.7	15.3	2.5	2.5	2.5
SANTA CLARA	SUP SANTA CLARA	1ST DUI	126	65.9	92.1	46.0	46.0	4.0	0.0	37.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.5	9.5	2.4	1.6	1.6	1.6
		2ND DUI	64	68.8	95.3	10.9	10.9	31.6	0.0	31.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.9	10.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	38	52.6	97.4	2.6	2.6	23.8	0.0	23.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	130	48.5	98.5	0.8	0.8	20.1	0.0	20.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.3	5.3	1.1	0.8	0.8	0.8
		TOTAL	358	58.7	95.5	18.7	18.7	1.4	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.8	6.8	51.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
	JUV SANTA CLARA	1ST DUI	74	95.9	60.8	85.1	85.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0	50.0	100.0	100.0	85.5	0.0	85.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.6	6.6	52.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	76	96.1	60.5	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SANTA CLARA	PALO ALTO	1ST DUI	378	99.7	93.4	91.8	91.8	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.2	8.2	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	141	96.5	97.9	7.8	7.8	72.3	0.0	72.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.4	21.4	4.3	9.9	9.9	9.9
		3RD DUI	28	89.3	92.9	3.6	3.6	14.3	0.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.1	26.1	15.7	0.3	0.3	0.3
		TOTAL	547	98.4	94.5	65.6	65.6	19.7	0.0	19.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.4	5.4	21.0	6.9	6.9	6.9
		1ST DUI	3107	96.0	95.6	80.3	80.3	2.1	0.0	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.3	66.3	7.9	5.3	5.3	5.3
	SAN JOSE	2ND DUI	1247	94.3	97.5	4.0	4.0	11.2	0.0	11.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.3	4.3	17.4	4.3	4.3	4.3
		3RD DUI	356	92.4	95.8	1.4	1.4	4.3	0.0	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.9	21.9	16.5	2.4	2.4	2.4
		4TH+ DUI	23	91.3	95.7	4.3	4.3	19.7	0.0	19.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	4733	95.2	96.1	53.9	53.9	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	3	66.7	100.0	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.5	6.5	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
SANTA CLARA	SUNNYVALE	TOTAL	3	66.7	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	76.5	76.5	6.1	21.2	21.2	21.2
		1ST DUI	520	98.8	91.3	86.9	86.9	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	30.0	5.0	12.5	12.5	12.5
		2ND DUI	179	93.9	93.3	5.0	5.0	76.0	0.0	76.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	40	95.0	85.0	2.5	2.5	35.0	0.0	35.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.7	24.7	7.5	5.8	5.8	5.8
		4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	6.3	9.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	GILROY	TOTAL	742	97.4	91.5	62.3	62.3	20.9	0.0	20.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	69.4	69.4	9.0	4.2	4.2	4.2
		1ST DUI	429	97.7	97.7	87.2	87.2	2.8	0.0	2.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.5	15.5	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	144	96.5	99.3	5.6	5.6	13.8	0.0	13.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.9	0.9	0.9	0.9
		3RD DUI	58	94.8	100.0	1.7	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	8	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.3	21.3	8.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
SANTA CRUZ	SUP SANTA CRUZ	TOTAL	639	97.2	98.3	59.9	59.9	19.9	0.0	19.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	8.3	83.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	12	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.7	7.7	76.9	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE B4: 1996 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %	
SANTA CRUZ (cont.)	SANTA CRUZ	1ST DUI	852	98.8	95.2	91.4	0.5	0.0	7.0	0.2	0.0	
		2ND DUI	281	98.9	99.6	4.6	63.7	0.0	65.5	0.7	0.4	
		3RD DUI	60	95.0	100.0	0.0	6.7	0.0	5.0	3.3	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	50	74.0	96.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	1243	97.7	96.5	63.8	15.0	0.0	19.9	1.2	0.1	
	WATSONVILLE	1ST DUI	377	99.5	99.5	72.9	2.9	0.0	3.4	1.1	0.0	
		2ND DUI	133	99.2	99.2	3.0	51.1	0.0	55.6	0.8	0.0	
		3RD DUI	58	100.0	98.3	0.0	3.4	0.0	0.0	3.4	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	569	99.5	99.3	49.0	14.2	0.0	15.3	1.2	0.0	
SHASTA	SUP REDDING	1ST DUI	10	80.0	100.0	70.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	0.0	
		2ND DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	16.7	16.7	0.0	
		3RD DUI	3	33.3	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	6	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	25	60.0	100.0	28.0	16.0	0.0	8.0	8.0	0.0	
	JUV SHASTA	1ST DUI	10	70.0	70.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	80.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	10	70.0	70.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	80.0	0.0	
		BURNLEY	1ST DUI	26	100.0	100.0	92.3	7.7	0.0	3.8	11.5	0.0
			2ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
			3RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0	50.0	0.0
SIERRA	REDDING		TOTAL	33	100.0	100.0	75.8	18.2	0.0	15.2	15.2	0.0
			1ST DUI	510	98.2	97.6	93.7	1.8	0.0	3.9	3.3	0.0
		2ND DUI	186	96.2	98.4	8.6	83.9	0.0	79.0	5.9	0.0	
		3RD DUI	68	97.1	100.0	0.0	54.4	0.0	22.1	58.8	0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	19	21.1	100.0	0.0	15.8	0.0	10.5	0.0	0.0	
	SUP SIERRA	TOTAL	783	95.8	98.1	63.1	26.2	0.0	23.5	8.7	0.0	
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	
		DOWNIEVILLE	1ST DUI	19	94.7	94.7	94.7	0.0	0.0	10.5	0.0	0.0
			2ND DUI	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0
SISKIYOU	TOTAL		22	95.5	95.5	86.4	9.1	0.0	18.2	0.0	0.0	
	1ST DUI		1	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	4TH+ DUI		2	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	TOTAL	3	66.7	66.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	1ST DUI	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	TOTAL	2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	1ST DUI	69	100.0	94.2	82.6	1.4	0.0	1.4	4.3	0.0		
	2ND DUI	26	100.0	100.0	19.2	73.1	0.0	65.4	0.0	0.0		
WEED	3RD DUI	6	100.0	100.0	16.7	66.7	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0		
	4TH+ DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
	TOTAL	103	100.0	96.1	61.2	23.3	0.0	18.4	2.9	0.0		

TABLE B4: 1996 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SR 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SISKIYOU (cont.)	YREKA/JUD DIST	1ST DUI	110	99.1	96.4	78.2	4.5	0.0	4.5	5.5	0.9
		2ND DUI	34	100.0	91.2	17.6	64.7	0.0	52.9	17.6	35.3
		3RD DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	50.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	149	98.7	95.3	61.7	20.8	0.0	16.8	8.1	10.1
		1ST DUI	10	40.0	100.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	5	60.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	3	66.7	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	0.0	33.3	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	20	50.0	100.0	5.0	15.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	0.0
		TOTAL	38	50.0	100.0	7.9	13.2	0.0	0.0	34.2	0.0
SOLANO	JUV SOLANO	1ST DUI	13	84.6	7.7	84.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	69.2	0.0
		TOTAL	13	84.6	7.7	84.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	69.2	0.0
	DIXON	1ST DUI	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	631	96.7	97.9	88.7	2.5	0.2	3.8	4.4	0.3
		2ND DUI	242	95.0	98.8	8.3	81.0	0.0	25.6	3.3	2.9
		3RD DUI	59	84.7	100.0	8.5	59.3	0.0	10.2	5.1	5.1
		4TH+ DUI	5	60.0	100.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	937	95.3	98.3	62.5	26.4	0.1	9.8	4.2	1.3
		1ST DUI	2	100.0	100.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0
SONOMA	VALLEJO	TOTAL	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	33.3	0.0	16.2	33.3	0.0
		1ST DUI	241	98.3	85.9	93.4	3.3	0.4	16.2	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	104	99.0	99.0	16.3	78.8	0.0	60.6	1.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	15	100.0	100.0	13.3	60.0	0.0	13.3	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	6	100.0	100.0	0.0	50.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	366	98.6	90.4	66.7	27.9	0.3	28.7	0.3	0.0
	SUP SONOMA	1ST DUI	7	100.0	85.7	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	13	61.5	100.0	15.4	15.4	0.0	15.4	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	6	50.0	100.0	0.0	16.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	46	63.0	100.0	0.0	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	72	65.3	98.6	4.2	5.6	0.0	2.8	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	43	23.3	0.0	20.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	44	22.7	2.3	20.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	1437	98.1	97.1	87.8	0.4	0.0	2.6	0.5	0.0
		2ND DUI	513	97.5	98.4	8.6	55.0	0.0	54.8	0.6	0.0
STANISLAUS	SANTA ROSA	3RD DUI	148	91.9	98.6	2.7	12.8	0.0	11.5	0.7	2.0
		4TH+ DUI	39	82.1	100.0	0.0	2.6	0.0	2.6	2.6	0.0
		TOTAL	2137	97.2	97.6	61.3	14.4	0.0	15.7	0.6	0.1
	SUP STANISLAUS	1ST DUI	10	60.0	90.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	20.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	7	71.4	100.0	14.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	7	57.1	100.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	25	68.0	100.0	4.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	49	65.3	98.0	8.2	8.2	0.0	2.0	4.1	0.0
	JUV STANISLAUS	1ST DUI	33	42.4	45.5	24.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	81.8	0.0
		TOTAL	33	42.4	45.5	24.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	81.8	0.0

TABLE B4: 1996 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION %	JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG %	SR 38 ALCOHOL PROG %	30-MONTH PROGRAM %	LICENSE RESTRICTION %	COURT SUSPENSION %	IGNITION INTERLOCK %
SUTTER	MODESTO	1ST DUI	1123	98.8	98.6	89.0	3.6	0.0	3.7	0.3	0.6
		2ND DUI	426	97.9	98.1	8.7	82.6	0.0	71.1	5.4	31.9
		3RD DUI	142	97.9	98.6	2.1	85.2	0.0	52.1	4.2	28.2
		4TH+ DUI	39	84.6	89.7	2.6	38.5	0.0	23.1	0.0	10.3
	OAKDALE	TOTAL	1730	98.2	98.3	60.2	30.5	0.0	24.7	1.8	10.8
		1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	174	100.0	99.4	94.3	2.9	0.0	0.6	2.3	0.0
	TURLOCK	2ND DUI	52	100.0	98.1	13.5	82.7	0.0	34.6	25.0	1.9
		3RD DUI	21	95.2	95.2	4.8	71.4	0.0	23.8	14.3	19.0
SUTTER	YUBA SUP MUN	4TH+ DUI	3	100.0	100.0	33.3	66.7	0.0	33.3	0.0	33.3
		TOTAL	250	99.6	98.8	69.2	26.0	0.0	10.0	8.0	2.4
		1ST DUI	11	72.7	100.0	72.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	63.6	0.0
		2ND DUI	10	70.0	100.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	30.0	40.0	0.0
	YUBA CITY	3RD DUI	4	50.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		TOTAL	29	72.4	100.0	27.6	20.7	0.0	10.3	58.6	0.0
		1ST DUI	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	YUBA CITY	TOTAL	3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	270	98.5	99.6	93.7	0.4	0.0	1.9	2.2	0.0
TEHAMA	SUP TEHAMA	2ND DUI	83	100.0	100.0	9.6	79.5	0.0	61.4	18.1	0.0
		3RD DUI	25	96.0	100.0	4.0	16.0	0.0	8.0	72.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		TOTAL	379	98.7	99.7	69.1	18.7	0.0	15.3	10.3	0.0
	JUV TEHAMA	1ST DUI	5	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	1	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0
		TOTAL	10	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.0	0.0
	CORNING	1ST DUI	3	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		2ND DUI	2	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	5	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	80.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	87	89.7	96.6	69.0	1.1	0.0	72.4	2.3	0.0
TRINITY	RED BLUFF	2ND DUI	32	87.5	93.8	12.5	65.6	0.0	68.8	9.4	0.0
		3RD DUI	10	30.0	80.0	20.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
		TOTAL	129	84.5	94.6	51.2	17.8	0.0	65.9	4.7	0.0
		1ST DUI	173	89.0	97.1	1.2	0.0	0.0	1.7	19.7	0.0
	SUP TRINITY	2ND DUI	54	75.9	98.1	0.0	66.7	0.0	59.3	16.7	0.0
		3RD DUI	14	28.6	92.9	0.0	21.4	0.0	14.3	28.6	0.0
		TOTAL	241	82.6	97.1	0.8	16.2	0.0	15.4	19.5	0.0
		1ST DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	WEAVERVILLE TRINITY	2ND DUI	1	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	100.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0	100.0	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	50.0	0.0
		TOTAL	45	97.8	95.6	95.6	0.0	2.2	2.2	0.0	0.0
		1ST DUI	23	100.0	100.0	0.0	91.3	0.0	43.5	8.7	4.3
TEHAMA	WEAVERVILLE TRINITY	2ND DUI	6	83.3	100.0	0.0	66.7	0.0	16.7	50.0	0.0
		3RD DUI	4	50.0	75.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0
		4TH+ DUI	78	94.9	96.2	55.1	33.3	1.3	16.7	6.4	1.3
		TOTAL	78	94.9	96.2	55.1	33.3	1.3	16.7	6.4	1.3

TABLE B4: 1996 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL		1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG		SR 38 ALCOHOL PROG		30-MONTH PROGRAM		LICENSE RESTRICTION		COURT SUSPENSION		IGNITION INTERLOCK	
				%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
TULARE	SUP TULARE	1ST DUI	66	77.3		95.5	3.0			1.5		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		2ND DUI	18	72.2		100.0	0.0			5.6		0.0		5.6		0.0		0.0	
		3RD DUI	4	50.0		100.0	0.0					0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	34	52.9		100.0	0.0			2.9		0.0		0.0		14.7		0.0	
	JUV TULARE	TOTAL	122	68.9		97.5	1.6			2.5		0.0		0.8		4.1		0.0	
		1ST DUI	36	55.6		8.3	0.0			0.0		0.0		8.3		55.6		0.0	
		TOTAL	36	55.6		8.3	0.0			0.0		0.0		8.3		55.6		0.0	
		1ST DUI	255	98.0		99.6	89.8			0.4		0.0		0.4		0.0		0.0	
	DINUBA	2ND DUI	101	97.0		100.0	11.9			23.8		0.0		20.8		0.0		0.0	
		3RD DUI	31	87.1		100.0	6.5			3.2		0.0		3.2		0.0		0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	4	75.0		100.0	0.0			0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		TOTAL	391	96.7		99.7	62.1			6.6		0.0		5.9		0.0		0.0	
	EXETER	1ST DUI	97	99.0		100.0	94.8			2.1		0.0		1.0		2.1		0.0	
		2ND DUI	27	100.0		100.0	18.5			74.1		0.0		29.6		0.0		0.0	
		3RD DUI	6	100.0		100.0	0.0			100.0		0.0		0.0		16.7		0.0	
		TOTAL	130	99.2		100.0	74.6			21.5		0.0		6.9		2.3		0.0	
	LINDSAY- EXETER	1ST DUI	135	99.3		100.0	92.6			3.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		2ND DUI	71	100.0		100.0	11.3			83.1		0.0		21.1		1.4		0.0	
		3RD DUI	20	100.0		100.0	10.0			80.0		0.0		5.0		10.0		0.0	
		TOTAL	226	99.6		100.0	59.7			35.0		0.0		7.1		1.3		0.0	
	PORTERVILLE	1ST DUI	341	97.4		98.5	83.9			6.2		0.0		2.6		0.3		0.3	
		2ND DUI	142	97.9		97.9	12.7			74.6		0.0		44.4		2.8		3.5	
		3RD DUI	54	98.1		100.0	0.0			64.8		0.0		11.1		3.7		3.7	
		4TH+ DUI	12	100.0		100.0	0.0			50.0		0.0		8.3		16.7		8.3	
	TULARE	TOTAL	549	97.6		98.5	55.4			30.6		0.0		14.4		1.6		1.6	
		1ST DUI	205	98.5		98.0	84.4			7.3		0.0		7.3		0.0		0.5	
		2ND DUI	82	96.3		95.1	8.5			56.1		0.0		52.4		1.2		2.4	
		3RD DUI	22	100.0		100.0	0.0			36.4		0.0		31.8		0.0		0.0	
TUOLUMNE	VISALIA	4TH+ DUI	7	100.0		100.0	0.0			28.6		0.0		14.3		0.0		0.0	
		TOTAL	316	98.1		97.5	57.0			22.5		0.0		20.9		0.3		0.9	
		1ST DUI	495	96.2		97.8	85.3			2.6		0.0		6.9		0.0		0.6	
		2ND DUI	161	96.3		97.5	11.2			78.3		0.0		75.8		0.0		28.0	
	WOODLAKE- EXETER	3RD DUI	43	93.0		95.3	9.3			67.4		0.0		58.1		0.0		30.2	
		4TH+ DUI	19	47.4		94.7	0.0			21.1		0.0		21.1		5.3		15.8	
		TOTAL	718	94.7		97.5	61.8			24.0		0.0		25.8		0.1		8.9	
		1ST DUI	66	98.5		97.0	90.9			3.0		0.0		3.0		1.5		0.0	
	SUP SONORA	2ND DUI	21	100.0		100.0	14.3			85.7		0.0		19.0		4.8		0.0	
		3RD DUI	8	100.0		100.0	12.5			50.0		0.0		0.0		12.5		0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	2	100.0		100.0	50.0			50.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		TOTAL	97	99.0		97.9	67.0			25.8		0.0		6.2		3.1		0.0	
	JUV SONORA	1ST DUI	2	50.0		100.0	0.0			0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		2ND DUI	7	42.9		100.0	14.3			14.3		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		3RD DUI	1	0.0		100.0	0.0			0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		4TH+ DUI	9	44.4		100.0	0.0			33.3		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
	JUV SONORA	TOTAL	19	42.1		100.0	5.3			21.1		0.0		0.0		0.0		0.0	
		1ST DUI	8	0.0		12.5	0.0			0.0		0.0		0.0		12.5		0.0	
		TOTAL	8	0.0		12.5	0.0			0.0		0.0		0.0		12.5		0.0	

TABLE B4: 1996 DUI SANCTIONS BY COUNTY, COURT AND OFFENDER STATUS\* - continued

COUNTY	COURT	DUI OFFENDER STATUS	TOTAL N	PROBATION		JAIL %	1ST OFFENDER ALCOHOL PROG		SB 38 ALCOHOL PROG	30-MONTH PROGRAM		LICENSE RESTRICTION	COURT SUSPENSION		IGNITION INTERLOCK
				%	%		%	%		%	%	%	%	%	
TUOLUMNE (cont.)	SONORA	1ST DUI	188	100.0		98.9	98.4		0.0	0.0		1.1	4.3		0.0
		2ND DUI	73	100.0		98.6	6.8		90.4			89.0	1.4		0.0
		3RD DUI	19	100.0		100.0	0.0		10.5			10.5	0.0		0.0
		4TH+ DUI	1	100.0		100.0	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	281	100.0		98.9	67.6		24.2			24.6	3.2		0.0
VENTURA	JAMESTOWN	1ST DUI	10	100.0		100.0	100.0		0.0			0.0	0.0		0.0
		2ND DUI	4	100.0		100.0	0.0		75.0			100.0	0.0		0.0
		3RD DUI	2	100.0		100.0	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	16	100.0		100.0	62.5		18.8			25.0	0.0		0.0
		1ST DUI	11	81.8		72.7	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0		0.0
YOLO	WOODLAND	TOTAL	11	81.8		72.7	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0		0.0
		2ND DUI	1	100.0		100.0	0.0		100.0			100.0	0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	1	100.0		100.0	0.0		100.0			100.0	0.0		0.0
		1ST DUI	2404	97.8		99.1	89.3		1.5			2.0	7.2		0.5
		2ND DUI	666	98.0		95.5	13.8		72.5			81.7	4.4		19.7
YUBA	SUP YUBA	3RD DUI	139	92.1		95.7	9.4		55.4			63.3	12.2		15.8
		4TH+ DUI	27	55.6		55.6	11.1		7.4			7.4	25.9		3.7
		TOTAL	3236	97.3		97.8	69.7		18.5			21.1	7.0		5.1
		1ST DUI	326	97.9		92.6	80.7		5.8			12.0	4.6		1.2
		2ND DUI	138	97.8		95.7	8.7		80.4			81.2	0.7		2.9
YUBA	MARYSVILLE	3RD DUI	55	94.5		100.0	3.6		27.3			18.2	9.1		0.0
		4TH+ DUI	21	71.4		90.5	0.0		28.6			19.0	0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	540	96.5		94.1	51.3		28.0			30.6	3.9		1.5
		1ST DUI	1	0.0		100.0	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0		0.0
		2ND DUI	2	100.0		100.0	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0		0.0
BEALE AFB	BEALE AFB	4TH+ DUI	6	50.0		100.0	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	9	55.6		100.0	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0		0.0
		1ST DUI	3	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	3	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0		0.0
		1ST DUI	265	99.2		92.8	89.8		0.4			1.1	3.4		0.0
BEALE AFB	BEALE AFB	2ND DUI	79	100.0		98.7	8.9		59.5			54.4	10.1		0.0
		3RD DUI	25	100.0		100.0	0.0		8.0			8.0	12.0		0.0
		4TH+ DUI	4	100.0		100.0	0.0		0.0			0.0	50.0		0.0
		TOTAL	373	99.5		94.6	65.7		13.4			12.9	5.9		0.0
		1ST DUI	4	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0		0.0
		TOTAL	4	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0			0.0	0.0		0.0

TABLE B5: DEMOGRAPHIC 2-YEAR PRIOR DRIVER RECORD VARIABLES  
BY YEAR AND SANCTION GROUP FOR SECOND DUI OFFENDERS

YEAR GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	PERCENT FEMALE	MEAN AGE	PERCENT COMMERCIAL DRIVERS	2-YEAR PRIOR INCIDENTS PER 100 DRIVERS			ZIP CODE ACCIDENT AND CONVICTION INDICES**					
					TOTAL ACCIDENTS	ALCOHOL ACCIDENTS	MAJOR CONVICTIONS	MINOR CONVICTIONS	TOTAL ACCIDENTS	INJURY ACCIDENTS	MAJOR VIOLATIONS	MOVING VIOLATIONS	
1994													
Suspension	5,460	9.1	34.0	2.2	3.01	1.85	4.02	10.10	1.46	.44	.10	3.27	
SB 38 program & restriction	8,595	11.2	35.4	2.6	3.01	1.90	2.97	8.09	1.55	.45	.09	3.24	
SB 38 program & Interlock	4,338	8.7	35.7	2.6	3.39	2.12	2.94	8.60	1.56	.45	.09	3.32	
Other	7,272	9.4	35.2	2.7	3.07	1.88	3.57	9.16	1.53	.44	.09	3.24	
Statistical significance test		$\chi^2 = 28.1^*$	$F = 27.1^*$	$\chi^2 = 2.7$	$F = 5.1^*$	$F = 3.9^*$	$F = 64.0^*$	$F = 27.4^*$	$F = 121.8^*$	$F = 29.7^*$	$F = 129.5^*$	$F = 17.4^*$	
1996													
Suspension	4,623	8.6	35.2	2.5	2.9	1.78	3.88	8.5	1.44	.41	.06	2.65	
SB 38 program & restriction	7,810	11.6	35.9	2.9	2.9	1.67	3.02	7.5	1.52	.42	.05	2.64	
SB 38 program & Interlock	5,408	9.5	35.9	2.2	3.2	1.97	3.05	7.9	1.53	.42	.05	2.74	
Other	7,300	10.8	35.9	2.9	3.0	1.80	3.55	8.0	1.48	.41	.05	2.66	
Statistical significance test		$\chi^2 = 33.7^*$	$F = 6.4^*$	$\chi^2 = 9.0^*$	$F = 3.7^*$	$F = 5.6^*$	$F = 40.4^*$	$F = 5.8^*$	$F = 97.9^*$	$F = 19.5^*$	$F = 84.3^*$	$F = 28.6^*$	

\*Statistical significance at  $p < .05$ , (two-tailed).

\*\*The ZIP Code indices for the 1994 and 1996 cases are based on 3.25 years of driver record data.

TABLE B6: ZIP CODE CENSUS VARIABLES (COVARIATES) BY YEAR AND SANCTION  
GROUP FOR SECOND DUI OFFENDERS

YEAR GROUP	SAMPLE SIZE	MONTHS IN STUDY	PERCENT SINGLE	PERCENT LEAVE WORK 4 PM	PERCENT ELEM. EDUCATION	PERCENT BLACK	PERCENT RENTING	TRAVEL TIME TO WORK (MIN.)
1994								
Suspension	5,460	44.4	NA	NA	NA	NA	45.2	25.5
SB 38 program & restriction	8,595	44.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	44.3	26.6
SB 38 program & interlock	4,338	44.0	NA	NA	NA	NA	46.5	26.8
Other	7,272	44.2	NA	NA	NA	NA	44.5	26.4
Statistical significance test		$F = 11.6^*$					$F = 22.4^*$	$F = 77.2^*$
1996								
Suspension	4,623	20.4	28.8	5.8	15.7	6.5	NA	NA
SB 38 program & restriction	7,810	19.9	28.6	5.7	12.9	6.4	NA	NA
SB 38 program & interlock	5,408	20.2	30.5	5.7	15.1	8.1	NA	NA
Other	7,300	20.1	28.3	5.7	14.2	6.5	NA	NA
Statistical significance test		$F = 17.3^*$	$F = 106.0^*$	$F = 6.4^*$	$F = 65.0^*$	$F = 32.2^*$		

\*Statistical significance at  $p < .05$ , (two-tailed).